



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JGEESI_48174
Title of the Manuscript:	A STUDY ON THE PRODUCTIVITY OF POTATO IN HUGLI DISTRICT, WEST BENGAL
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Graphic figures should be clearly indicated outside under it; not inside the figure. Precise results should be reported in the abstract. Results should be discuss more and supported with recent citations. References and citations should follow journal guidelines.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Minor corrections should be corrected as highlighted and indicated in the manuscript.	All corrections have been done
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Methodology was clear and understandable. Graphic figures should be clearly indicated outside under it; not inside the figure. Precise results should be reported in the abstract. . Results should be discuss more and supported with recent citations. References and citations should follow journal guidelines. The manuscript should be consider for publication after minor correction and moderate revisions especially for supporting the results with recent citations.	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	