



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JGEESI_48586
Title of the Manuscript:	SEDIMENTARY TRANSPORT INFLUENCES ON DIAGENETIC PROCESSES AT THE AMAZON CONTINENTAL SHELF, BRAZIL
Type of the Article	Original research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>KEYWORDS: Restructure the keywords to include sedimentary characterization, diagenetic processes. These words are widely used in the topic and main manuscript.</p> <p>LANGUAGE: There are some cases of poor grammar and sentence structures (e.g. lines 43, 476, 494, and 525). See the manuscript for appropriate suggestions and corrections.</p> <p>CONCLUSION: The starting sentence in the conclusion (with establishing in line 523) is inappropriate. Consider rephrasing the sentence. Also, sentence in 524 and 526 ". . . was able to the following conclusions" is erroneous and should be restructure to make meaning</p>	<p>Ok, it's done</p> <p>Ok, the manuscript was revised.</p> <p>Ok, it's done</p>
Minor REVISION comments	The numbering of points in the concluding part of the manuscript is not necessary. You may eliminate the numbers	Ok, it's done
Optional/General comments	This is a well written manuscript with minor corrections. The author(s) should correct the identified issues accordingly.	Thank you for the suggestions and corrections.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	