



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JGEESI_48586
Title of the Manuscript:	SEDIMENTARY TRANSPORT INFLUENCES ON DIAGENETIC PROCESSES AT THE AMAZON CONTINENTAL SHELF, BRAZIL
Type of the Article	Original research paper

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>KEYWORDS:</b> Restructure the keywords to include sedimentary characterization, diagenetic processes. These words are widely used in the topic and main manuscript.</p> <p><b>LANGUAGE:</b> There are some cases of poor grammar and sentence structures (e.g. lines 43, 476, 494, and 525). See the manuscript for appropriate suggestions and corrections.</p> <p><b>CONCLUSION:</b> The starting sentence in the conclusion (with establishing in line 523) is inappropriate. Consider rephrasing the sentence. <b>Also, sentence in 524 and 526</b> ". . . was able to the following conclusions" is erroneous and should be restructure to make meaning</p>	<p>Ok, it's done</p> <p>Ok, the manuscript was revised.</p> <p>Ok, it's done</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	The numbering of points in the concluding part of the manuscript is not necessary. You may eliminate the numbers	Ok, it's done
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	This is a well written manuscript with minor corrections. The author(s) should correct the identified issues accordingly.	Thank you for the suggestions and corrections.

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	