



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JGEESI_48873
Title of the Manuscript:	Further Evidence that Particulate Pollution is the Principal Cause of Global Warming: Humanitarian Considerations
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Authors have reviewed a mechanism that accounts for both local and global warming resulting from (1) aerosol particulate pollutants absorbing radiation and being heated in the troposphere, (2) the transfer of that heat to the surrounding atmosphere, (3) the lowering of the atmospheric adverse temperature gradient relative to the Earth's surface, (4) the consequent reduction of atmospheric convection, and (5) concomitant reduction of convection-driven surface heat loss. Graphic data shows global warming in lockstep with tropospheric aerosol particulate pollution, with both processes increasing in exponential fashion in recent decades. Particulate pollution health risks are reviewed, noting for example that fine pollution particles penetrate deep into lungs and systemic circulation and contribute to stroke, heart disease, lung cancer, COPD, respiratory infections, asthma and contribute to neurodegenerative disease.</p> <p>Authors have concluded that i). Global warming can be substantially and quickly reduced if particulate-trapping and particulate-reducing technologies are universally applied <i>and</i> the covert geoengineering aerial particulate jet-spraying ceases and ii). Dominant segments of academic and other significant institutional communities – government and government-contractors, intelligence agencies, environmental organizations, media, and military – are complicit and profit from poisoning the air we breathe.</p> <p>The study is very interesting and manuscript is almost structured properly.</p> <p>Following Explanations are needed- Page 10: 8. CONCLUSIONS are <i>to be re-written more specifically</i>.</p>	<p>Many thanks for the review. We re-wrote the Conclusions to be more specific and, of course, it improved the manuscript. Thanks again.</p>
Optional/General comments	<p>Manuscript is interesting and structured properly, but need to be improvised linguistically. The review manuscript is recommended for publication after incorporating above suggestion / comments.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	