



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JGEESI_49191
Title of the Manuscript:	Growth and Pattern of Urbanisation : An Analysis of Barasat Subdivision, North 24 Parganas, West Bengal
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>In this paper authors have shown a slow and steady growth of urbanisation since independence within the sub-division district. Although the percentage of urban population has remained below the district average it has been significantly higher than the state and national average. The subdivision was overshadowed in the urban scenario mainly due to the overwhelming presence of neighbouring Barrackpore subdivision till 1981. The situation has significantly altered after Barasat being declared the district headquarter in 1986. However there are spatio-temporal variation in levels of urbanisation within the subdivision. In this paper, authors attempted to analyse the growth, pattern and levels of urbanisation within the subdivision in the post –independence period.</p> <p>The study is very interesting and manuscript is almost structured properly. Following Explanations are needed-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Page 3, Line 57: MATERIAL AND METHODS is to be replaced as MATERIAL AND METHODOLOGY • Page 21, 324-342: CONCLUSION is to be re-written with point wise out come in brief, not referring the Tables: 11-12 • Sub titles are to be numbered. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Change incorporated • Conclusion has been re-written • Sub titles numbered
Optional/General comments	<p>Manuscript is interesting and structured properly, but need to be improvised linguistically.</p> <p><i>The review manuscript is recommended for publication after incorporating above suggestion / comments.</i></p>	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	





SDI Review Form 1.6

Prof. (Dr.) Bharat Raj Singh, Ph.D.	Director General (Technical), School of Management Sciences, Technical Campus, (Affiliated to: Dr. APJ Abdul Kalam Technical University, Lucknow, India)	Professor & Director General (Technical)	brsinghlko@yahoo.com ; brsinghlko@gmail.com brsinghlko@yahoo.com	Zero pollution engine, environmental issues, global warming, thermal power and IC engine
Please provide the proper information (Name, University and Country). The 'Certificate' for reviewing this paper will be issued using this information.		Prof. Bharat Raj Singh, School of Management Sciences, AKTU, Lucknow, India		