



SDI EDITORIAL COMMENTS FORM

EDITORIAL COMMENT'S on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to editor's comments
<p>1, While a few sentences have been included to the background. The issue to be investigated is still NOT CLEAR. For instance why is it pertinent to understand and analyse the spatio-temporal growth of urban population in an area in order to examine its effects? Also, when you mention that many studies on the urbanisation process in the district of North 24 Parganas have been taken up... we expect to see citations on these, including the key issues such studies have addressed. This will now clearly show the window of entry for your research. Surprisingly, the author fails to include information from the UN-Habitat Reports. This is very important in the context of urbanization trends across the world. It is not clear the in-text citation style that the author is using. I suggest APA, except there is a recommended one. Very few sources, some really old.</p> <p>2. The objectives should logically be fused with the introduction, including the operational variables to be analysed.</p> <p>3. The results have not been discussed. I think the author should elaborately situation the work in the context of other results. How does it agree or disagree with them?</p> <p>4. Conclusions are not only draw based on your results, but should be linked to the BROADER THEORETICAL FIELD. This is absent</p> <p>5. The work will benefit from editing for grammatical issues.</p>	<p>I have tried to distinctly clarify my research issue and purpose of the study.</p> <p>Citations have been included.</p> <p>Reference section has been rewritten.</p> <p>Attempt has been made to incorporate the change.</p> <p>I have attempted to discuss the results to the best of my ability. Some changes have been included as per suggestion.</p>