SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Materials Science Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JMSRR_46014**
Title of the Manuscript:	**An overview of the green road to the synthesis of nanoparticles**
Type of the Article	**Review Paper**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Abstract** was completely plagiarized **References** should be compatible with reference style recommended by the journal in GUIDELINE FOR AUTHORS	Thank you for the comments. We have taken them into careful consideration and revised the MS accordingly. Kindly note, that the abstract is a copy of our work that was a poster contribution from us for an international conference and no plagiarism. The abstract has been modified and the references are now as per journal guidelines for authors.
Minor REVISION comments	Grammars and some font editions, highlighted an illustrated as comments in the manuscript	DONE
Optional/General comments	None	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.
Kindly see the following link:

http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)* No ethical issues	
If plagiarism is suspected, please provide related proofs or web links.	Abstract was completely plagiarized https://smallseotools.com/plagiarism-checker/	