SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Materials Science Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JMSRR_46014**
Title of the Manuscript:	**An overview of the green road to the synthesis of nanoparticles**
Type of the Article	**Review Paper**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**1. Green synthesis of nanoparticles is not clearly mentioned. Add more.** **2. Apart from plant synthesis, elaborate more about other sources like fungi, bacteria and yeast** **3. Compare green approach with Physical and chemical methods.** **4. Nothing about the characterization of these nanoparticles then how author justify nanoparticles formation, Instrumentational evidence. Add** **5. Author mention antibacterial why not role of nanoparticles in antifungal, antiviral and anticancer effect. Add** **6. English language should be improved.** **7. Add more references**	Thank you for your comments; we have taken them into careful consideration. We have edited the abstract and introduction to clarify our purpose and scope of the paper. Kindly note, this is a mini review article with focus mainly on research works in NPs green synthesis during the last five years. We have expanded the text regards NPs synthesis using microorganisms. A comparison of the merits of a green approach over physical and chemical synthesis methods has been done in section 1B. NPs formation, characterization and instrumentation is a paper topic in itself and is out of the scope of this review. We have mentioned other antimicrobial and anticancer effects [8-12, 18-25, 51, 57]. English language editing and proof reading has been done. Appropriate text and references added.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	