



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOCAMR_48431
Title of the Manuscript:	Knowledge, Attitude, and Utilization of Traditional Medicine for Type 2 Diabetes among residents of St. Cuthbert's Mission, Guyana
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Period of study not mentioned. Key words must be 5-10 in number in MeSH terms other than those found in title in alphabetical order. Intext citations of reference numbers must be within square brackets. References list also should be numbered in square brackets as in main text citations. References are not up to date. The references should be in Vancouver style. For full details on this refer to the following link to university of Queensland (http://www.library.uq.edu.au/training/citation/vancouv.pdf) 	<p>All corrections have been done in the revised article</p> <p>Correction made</p> <p>Reference section corrected</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> This study is on knowledge, attitude and practice (usage) of traditional medicine along with allopathic drugs. It does not reveal that the contribution of the traditional medicine on the final outcome of management of T2DM as compared with patients treated with allopathic drugs only or traditional medicine only. It needs separate study with statistical significance of the results to prove the real efficacy of the said traditional medicines alone by themselves or their complimentary effectiveness with allopathic drugs. This is a major limitation of this study which is to be mentioned in the manuscript by the authors. The combined use of allopathic drugs with traditional alternate medicines by allopathic medicine practitioners or the combined use of traditional alternate medicines with allopathic drugs by alternate medicine practitioners amounts to quackery and punishable in many countries. In this study the authors have obtained ethical clearance from their country ministry. Otherwise it is good attempted manuscript with reference to concept, study design, sample size calculation and statistical analysis and interpretation of results. 	<p>All correction done in the manuscript</p> <p>Noted and corrected</p>

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	