



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_44864
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative study of 50% Trichloroacetic acid solution versus 10% Potassium hydroxide solution in the treatment of plane warts
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	None	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discussion should be more elaborated and clear. 2. Include more references. 3. Conclusion should be more scientific and accurate. 	Done
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Title could be improved by making it more catchy. 2. Chart can be used for good explanation. 	Title changed. Detailed tables are attached.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	