



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_44864
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative study of 50% Trichloroacetic acid solution versus 10% Potassium hydroxide solution in the treatment of plane warts
Type of the Article	Original Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Line no 51: As per your literature reference 10%- 30% TCA was used for the desirable treatment of common warts. Why have you used 50% of TCA in comparison to 10% KOH. Please Justify with reference if any???</p> <p>Results: Needs major revision of the results. Please give detailed results with proper tables. Either add separate frequencies table for independent variables or add a column in the present table for frequencies of each variable.</p> <p>Discussion: Your results findings are not so well correlated with the literature please revise the content adding more relevant references.</p> <p>Conclusion: Your results didn't showed any statistical significant findings highlighting similarity of the efficacy of both the drugs, thus your findings cant be applied on generalized population. So kindly add this as limitation of your study.</p> <p>References no: 1,2,3,4,5,10,11,14 & 21 are not so recent please mention new references in its place.</p>	<p>Due to identical efficacy, 10% potassium hydroxide and 30% trichloroacetic acid, we compared the higher percentage of trichloroacetic acid to see if it had higher efficacy.</p> <p>Tables are attached.</p> <p>The results of the studies are listed separately and our results are similar to the Indian study comparing 10 and 30 percent.</p> <p>It is done.</p> <p>New relevant reference was not found.</p>
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Line no 73: Patients are divided into 2 groups A (10% KOH) and B (50% TCA). Please mention it clearly here... and then only corresponds to these two groups by Group A and B only. And incorporate these groups labelling in the Table 1 as well.</p> <p>What you mean by Interiors Location in Table 1 (you mean Anterior???)??</p> <p>Materials & Methods: Please arrange the following with paragraphs as follows: In 1st Paragraph: Mention Type, duration and place of study, ethical approval, inclusion & exclusion criteria, informed consent and groups A and B. In 2nd Paragraph: Method of topical application. In 3rd Paragraph: Clinical assessment (Resolution of Warts) criteria. In 4th Paragraph: Statistic analysis.</p> <p>Line no 68: Mention reference for estimation of sample size and age groups (reference range)??</p> <p>Change the title of the Table 1: Write an appropriate title for the table example general characteristics of the patients in group A & B. Mention age & gender variables first than the rest of the variables in table 1.</p>	<p>Done.</p> <p>Corrected. It was misspelled.</p> <p>Done.</p> <p>According to statistical calculations by the statistics expert using the study 'Comparative Evaluation of Topical 10% Potassium Hydroxide and 30% Trichloroacetic Acid in the Treatment of Plane Warts', sample size was determined.</p> <p>Comparative Evaluation of Topical 10% Potassium Hydroxide and 30% Trichloroacetic Acid in the Treatment of Plane Warts</p> <p>Done.</p>
Optional/General comments	Good work but needs little effort with the improvisation	



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	In all patients, the treatment approved by FDA was used, not placebo. Only two approved treatments were compared.

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>