



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_46062
Title of the Manuscript:	Workforce perceptions of hospital safety culture: case of Iran teaching hospitals
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	Complete spelling check to be done	The article spelling was checked and corrected fully.
Optional/General comments	Nice to read the article for giving emphasizes on patient safety all the accreditation related to healthcare firmly requests all the stakeholders to give prime importance to the patient safety. Author can give separate heading for conclusion, it is combined with discussion. It is very important that any management should give comfort level to the staff to perform their function properly. Overload makes errors. Overall looks good, statistical representation based on questionnaire also done. Once author can go through again.	Conclusion section separated from discussion section.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	There is no ethical issue in the study.