



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JPRI_47933</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Development and Psychometric Assessment of the Need Assessment Tool for Women with the Experience of Pregnancy Termination due to Fetal Anomalys</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Study Protocols</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>1.Since the Article is classified as Study Protocols, it should follow specific structure (Protocol outline: 1. Presentation 2. Background and justifications 3. Objectives 4. Methods 5. Ethical considerations 6. Project management 7. Timetable 8. Resources 9. References 10. Appendices). Please look: Eriksen,2004, How to write study protocol.... After <b>Phase 2</b> (pg. 3), the text is increasingly hard to follow.</p> <p>2.In the Introduction- incorporate The "Discussion" -Review relevant literature and current knowledge. -Define relevant terms (i.e. content, face and construct validity)</p> <p><b>3.In Methods – Participants:</b> define what the sample size is (i.e. "till reaching data saturation")</p> <p>4.In order to make it easier to follow, it would be good to mark the chapters (different font, number the phases; i.e. Phase 1a, Phase 2a....).</p> <p>5.Please mind your English.</p>	We revised all the items in the text.
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>Ethical approval should be at the end.</p> <p>References: after the number follows "." instead "-"</p>	<p>We revised this item in the text.</p> <p>We revised this item in the text.</p>
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The topic is very interesting. There is a need for this type of research since little is known about the experience of those women.	-

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<p><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></p> <p>There is no ethical issues in this manuscript.</p>	We revised this item in the text.

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>