



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_48149
Title of the Manuscript:	Determination of proximate and phytochemical effect of <i>Corchorus Oliterious</i> (Ewudu) Harvested in Ugep, Cross River State.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Title</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> It is spelt 'olitorius" not oteherwise. It is spelt 'Eweddu' not otherwise <p>Abstract</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The abstract should be in accordance with journalsubheading such as AIM, METHODS,RESULTS,CONCLUSION No discussion in abstract(please remove) Change 'introduction' to 'aim' <p>Introduction</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Change the paragraphing pattern Start INTRODUCTION from a new page Add more vital information about C. Olitorius <p>Materials and Methods</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The plant should be identified by plant experts Give information on the sample storage in herbarium with appropriate voucher number. Why drying under the sun? Did you really dry for 2 days only. What was the percentage yield after extraction? How come you used several and diferrent solvents for initial extraction? How did you use filter in the extraction chamber. Am thinking using cotton or glass wool would have been appropriate. Please kindly put a brief preamble into the phytochemical tests as you did for proximate to clearl distinguish between the two. <p>Generally</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Use block paragraphing All internal references should be in numerics. Your references should be number according to publishers requirement or authors guidelines. 	All corrections have been considered while modifying the manuscript
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>



PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	