



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_48149
Title of the Manuscript:	Determination of proximate and phytochemical effect of <i>Corchorus Oliterious</i> (Ewudu) Harvested in Ugep, Cross River State.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Change the tittle to “Determination of proximate and some phytochemical composition of <i>Corchorus Oliterious</i> (Ewudu) Harvested in Ugep, Cross River State”.</p> <p>The highlighted areas in red in the abstract are to be revised. While that of the discussion subtitle in the abstract must be removed.</p> <p>The referencing style is not in line with the SDI Authors guideline. Revise them.</p> <p>Is there a voucher number for the plant? Revise the areas highlighted in red in the materials and methods.</p> <p>Compress the methods used for the proximate and phytochemicals analysis. Say methods and references.</p> <p>Declare the statistical approach used for the data analysis in the materials and methods.</p> <p>Remove the key below table. Only indicate the implications of +,++,+++ etc.</p> <p>The areas highlighted in red in the discussion are to revised with the serial number appearing nor as it is been presented as a mere note. Make it lucid and concise.</p> <p>There has to other reason for the variations in results other than location and concentration. Come up with them.</p> <p>Phytochemicals are different from phytonutrient. They are both different. Revise.</p> <p>Focus your conclusion solely on the outcome of the study. Revise.</p> <p>The reference are to be revise to the SDI authors' guideline.</p>	<p>Title modified</p> <p>All corrections done</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Attend to the raised issues and fix the grammatical errors.	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	