



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_48724
Title of the Manuscript:	The Factors Related to Using Evidence-Based Guideline in Patients with Acute Ischemic Stroke
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The title is not describe about the reaserch The researched about knowledge the nurse I recommend to change the title	We revised the article title according to the reviewer comment as follows: The Knowledge of Nurses about Evidence-Based Guideline in Patients with Acute Ischemic Stroke
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	There was not ethical issues .However we notice to this issues as follows: In the present study, the ethical permission was received from the ethical committee of GUMS with the ethics code No: IR.GUMS.REC.1396.335. Also, after explaining the goals of the study, the method of implementation, and assuring confidentiality of the collected information and the possibility to terminate cooperation at each stage of the study, the written informed consent was received from the participants.