



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_48906
Title of the Manuscript:	The management of zygomatic complex fractures: a literature review
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) The text should be in accordance with the author guideline Style of head: Arial, Bold, 11 font, left aligned Style of text: Arial, normal, 10 font 2) In introduction section fourth sentences, it's more convenient if you define zygomaticomaxillary (Zygomaticomaxillary bone or complex?) 3) The 'of' was used twice. (The masseter muscle be made of of three superimposed layers which blend anteriorly gains attachment from zygoma and the zygomatic arch.) 4) They may be more convenient to write as zygomatic sphenoid suture: zygomaticosphenoid suture; zygomatico-maxillary complex: zygomaticomaxillary complex; zygomatico-frontal... The terms may be better if written in the same way in all text 5) Is the term of orbitozygomatico- maxillary complex true? 6) It may be more appropriate when the reports for the zygomatic complex fractures heading is written before the fracture management 7) References must be numbered in the order that they appear in the text. In the text, citations should be indicated by the reference number in brackets. 8) Use of DOI numbers for the full-text article is encouraged. (if available) 9) Some of references style are not suitable. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -The paper edited and re-writhe according to reviewer opinion -More and new data were added -Yes this word is true -new references were added
Optional/General comments		

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	