SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JPRI_49037**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Evaluation of Emergency Nurses' Knowledge and Performance about Hospital Triage**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	This is an observational study based on a questionnaire. 1. The objective of this study was to evaluate triage knowledge and skills of emergency nurses in Yazd province of Iran. However, skills have not been assessed. 2. Objective and one of the conclusions are not related ('the performance of nurses working in the emergency departments of the aforementioned centers is higher than average'). This study is just based on nurses' views. There is not data about performance of these nurses. 3. A total of 84 questionnaires were obtained, there is not information about response rate. Ranges of the scales used are not provided. 4. I'm not able to understand the tables. The information provides is just interesting for this hospital. 5. Discussion starts with information barely relevant.	Dear Reviewer Thanks for comments. Answer to reviewer: 1. Yes, it is my mistake in translation, only the objective of this study was to evaluate triage knowledge and performance of emergency nurses in Yazd province of Iran, not skill. It is corrected in the main text. 2. As I have mentioned before, the objective of this study was to evaluate triage knowledge and performance. The questionnaire consisted of three parts. The first part contained 11 questions on demographic information, working conditions and the triage-performing conditions at the relevant center. The second part included 15 questions for assessing the knowledge of nurses through hospital triage. Finally, to assess the performance of the nurses in triage, a test consisting of 10 questions, each suggesting a different scenario for prioritizing patients, was designed and was made available to the respondents. These scenarios have been adjusted by emergency medical experts in the field of triage according to the prevalence of emergency department visits, and its validity and reliability have already been confirmed. So, data was collected and analysis and the result of this show in table 4 and 5. I have corrected in the text. 3. All nurses responsible for triage in emergency departments of 8 educational hospitals of Yazd University of Medical Sciences were included for our sampling in the study, after evaluating all the questionnaires, 84 of them were completed, and others were excluded from the study. I have corrected in the text. 4. Yes, Dear reviewer, table 1 shows demographic characteristics and the comparison of triage knowledge, performance and total score between categorized groups and Table 2 shows comparison of questionnaire scores between male and female participants, as mentioned in the text, there is not any significant relationship between categories. 5. The start of the discussion has changed well.
Minor REVISION comments	1. Quantitative in this phrase is not necessary 'This was a quantitative cross-sectional study' 2. Verbs must be included in the past.	Answer to reviewer: 1. Quantitative has removed from the material and method and corrected in the text. 2. All verbs changed in the past form.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)* Authors only describe that oral consent from participant was obtained. 1. There is not information about the approbation of this study by a research ethic committee. There were personal data codified. 2. Moreover, authors explain that 'Finally, if the questionnaire was filled out incompletely, the participants were asked to complete the forgotten information' this pressure could introduce a relevant bias.	Answer to reviewer: 1. Yes, we only describe that oral consent from the participant, and there is no need to have an ethics committee code for this study. 2. No, we did not enter any pressure on nurses to fill the questionnaire, we just asked them to complete the forgotten information, and if they do not want to fill the remaining information, that questionnaire has excluded from the study. Also before the study was begun, the researcher was presented to intended nurses. The goals and methods of conducting the research were explained to nurses and their oral consent was obtained for participation in this study. In addition, the nurses were assured that the results would be kept confidential.