



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	2016_JSRR_24873
Title of the Manuscript:	Grooming telecommunications network: Optimization models and methods

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
<p>I read carefully the third version of the manuscript itself and I noted that the manuscript suffers from fundamental deficiencies in the writing style. In parallel, I performed an extensive literature search at the web for similar studies and I were badly surprised from the fact the manuscript reviewed has been already published from the following open-accessible paper (being authored from other-than those of the manuscript reviewed-scientists):</p> <p>Richard S. Barr, M. Scott Kingsley, Raymond A. Patterson (2005). Grooming Telecommunications Networks: Optimization Models and Methods. technical report 05-emis-03. June 22, 2005. Open access from:</p> <p>http://opti.tmit.bme.hu/~cinkler/TMP/MYPUBwithcitations/pdf/J_200302_ieeeNetwork_Grooming_c.pdf</p> <p>I also attach the relevant full article of this paper, too. There are striking identifications in the Introduction section, the Figures identification, and the almost overly representation of the same references' list in the "References" section.</p>	<p>Some of the words that are used in this article and the article cited in the link you mentioned were used by the authors to buttress previous works done by some scholars and their names were included in the manuscript as well as the references. However, the construction of this manuscript is different as there are some sections and terms used here which are not included in the previous articles and vice versa. The authors will be glad if the manuscript is published.</p> <p>Thank so much for the comments.</p>