



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_45470
Title of the Manuscript:	APPRAISING OF AIR CONDITIONAL SYSTEM CONDENSATE DISCHARGE RATE IN SOUTHWEST, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Line 11: No word in the main topic should be used or repeated as part of keywords</li> <li>Line 11: There should be a space in-between a value and its corresponding unit of measurement i. e. 32 mm, 2 HP etc.</li> <li>Line 30: Abbreviation for Centigrade should be written in upper letter, I .e 34 °C and 22 °C.</li> <li>Line 31: There should not be a space between 8 and %, that is it should be written as 8%</li> <li>Line 55: Author should be consistent with the units of measurements. It is either use SI units in the text or imperial units</li> <li>Line 62: HVAC needs to be properly defined</li> <li>Line 251, Table 2: Multiplying 42.03 litres by 83 will give 3488 litres. Author needs to confirm this and correct this value accordingly.</li> <li>Line 281 – 307: References should be in strictly accordance with Journal's recommendations. References were written with mixed of different styles.</li> </ol>	<p>Authors very much appreciate the comments of the reviewers. We tried to improve the quality of the manuscript based on the thoughtful comments of the reviewers.</p> <p>Corrections are marked with yellow highlight.</p>
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	