



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_46041
Title of the Manuscript:	Design and Development of Fruits Washer
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The author did not mention anything about the safety of fruits during washing. In comparisons between manual method and machine cleaning, energy consumption should be considered. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> It was stated under design consideration that the machine components that have contact with the products were made of food grade materials. For instance the roller brushes were made of soft fibrous material which ensure safety and quality of the products. The pictures of the products before and after washing is shown in the Appendix 2 Manual method was actually compared to machine cleaning in term of washing efficiency, time of washing and capacity as indicated in the results. However, the comparisons based on energy consumption shall be considered in further work.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	There is no ethical issues in this manuscript