



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_47738
Title of the Manuscript:	Biodiversity in Forest Fragments Under Different Forms of Environmental Conservation
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Please include the dominant species or species with higher frequencies of plants, mammals etc. as an example to highlight the differences in diversity</p> <p>For the analysis of vegetation, do you only measure the occurrence/frequency of araticum-de-terra-fria (<i>Annona marginata</i> (Schltdl.) H. Rainer) and dairy (<i>Tabernaemontanacatharinensis</i> or also other plant species?</p> <p>Please explain your abbreviation in the first paragraph for every section, it's quite confusing to go back and forth to understand the abbreviation you used</p> <p>Your discussion is also quite confusing as you discuss different things, and not based on your result. Please discuss your results accordingly.</p> <p>In your conclusion, please also state what is the importance/implication of your results to the i.e. forest management, local communities</p> <p>Also, what is your recommendation based on your results?</p>	The analysis of the vegetation and information collection on the species found and chosen were carried out based on the specialized literature of Lorenzi (2019).
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u><i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></u>	There are no ethical issues in this manuscript