



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_47738
Title of the Manuscript:	Biodiversity in Forest Fragments Under Different Forms of Environmental Conservation
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Introduction</b></p> <p>Kindly stress the relevance of the research to the study area and what readers are to expect from the research at the concluding part of the introductory section.</p> <p><b>Materials and Methods</b></p> <p>1. Were the study and the writing of the research paper carried out in one month? Is that correct? If not, kindly correct.</p>	<p>Introduction</p> <p>It is known that the interference of man can cause disorders to environmental factors, in this way it becomes necessary to understand the dynamic interaction between man and the environment.</p> <p>Materials and Methods</p> <p>1. Yes, that is correct.</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>1. Is there a reason for conducting the study in April, related to climate conditions?</p> <p>2. Please, add some recommendations from the study's findings for implementation by environmental agencies and environmentalists in general.</p>	<p>1. Not.</p> <p>2. The intervention of environmental agencies and environmentalists can be implemented both in the group characterized as suitable for use as legal reserve, and in the inadequate group as a form of intervention seeking improvements.</p>
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The study is well carried out. I am particularly fascinated by the rigor in the presentation of the results and how scholarly the discussion was made. The methodology is sound and comprehensive enough to allow for replication of the study for validation of the results by other scholars. It merits publication after the few suggestions have been effected.	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
--	--------------------	---



SDI Review Form 1.6

Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	There are no ethical issues in this manuscript
--	--	--