



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_48285
Title of the Manuscript:	Incidence of alarming microbial pathogens over Refuse Dump Sites and its 'Harmful Distance' In Port Harcourt, South South Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>Review to Ms JSRR 48285</p> <p>General This paper is not an original research article, but case study article because the techniques used in the paper are setting plate sampling method and identification of microorganisms were already reported elsewhere.</p> <p>This paper can be acceptable after revision as a case study article.</p> <p>Individual Identification method was only cited papers, but describe your procedure in 2.3 concretely. cfu to CFU Data should change to CFU/10min-10m2 because the presented data is too tiny for evaluation.</p>	<p>The paper is original research article, practical sampling, evaluation and analysis carried out. It is an extensive research work we carried out.</p> <p>All identified areas have been corrected accordingly.</p>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	