



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_48475
Title of the Manuscript:	Monitoring system design based on kingview sewage treatment
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>A few major revisions are list below:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Although the language is fluent, I also suggest the author should check the language more carefully, in order to avoid spelling and grammar error. 2. The abstract unclear Abstract is suggested to be concise the expressions. Please rewrite abstract. 3. The resolution of figures (1-4) are unclear 4. The literature review on the recent progress for this research topic is not sufficient. 5. There must be a comparison between the previous work and work search 6. The conclusion unclear please rewrite conclusion 7. Research needs to be more modern references 	<p>The problems you pointed out:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Article language has been modified. 2. Abstract has been reworked. 3. The resolution of figures (1-4) have been modified. 4. This article has added some of the recent literature reviews and more modern sources of this research topic. <p>The above amendments have been marked.</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	