



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_48475
Title of the Manuscript:	Monitoring system design based on kingview sewage treatment
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	THE AUTHOR(S) HAS SUCCEEDED IN DOING WHAT LOOKS LIKE A REVIEW OD SEWAGE TREATMENT AND THAT OF KINGVIEW SOFTWARE. I HAVE FORWARDED THE MANUSCRIPT WITH MY COMMENTS AND I THINK IT SHOULD BE PASSED TO THE AUTHOR(S). THEY SHOULD INDICATE THE BASIC SPECIFICATIONS OF THE SYSTEM	<b>Thank you for your comment. This article only designed the sewage monitoring system. It is shown in the diagram of the parameter article involved, but the system has not been applied in concrete.</b>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	