



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_48476
Title of the Manuscript:	Design of Domestic Sewage Treatment System Based on Programmable Logic Controller
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The idea of this paper is interesting. Points of reference and improvement: 1. The paper does not sufficiently describe the methodology in a scientifically appropriate way 2. References are limited and in some instances the relation from the text to the indicated reference is not clear. 3. In the Introduction a more extensive and up-to-date overview on the composition of wastewater in general, and domestic sewage discharged from a residential area. 4. More details on SBR meaning of the name and comparison with other treatment methods 5. In Figure 1-4 the meaning of the stages must be explained	Based on your comments, we have made the following changes to the article: 1:References have been modified. 2:In the introduction, a specific overview of the residential sewage in the community has been added. 3:A comparison of the SBR process with other processes has been added to the paper. 4: Figures 1-4 in the text have corresponding explanations. The changes made above are marked in the article as required. Thank you for your comments.
Minor REVISION comments	English language and style are fine/minor spell check required	English language and style have been modified.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	