



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Microbiology Research Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_48416
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF SESQUITERPENES IN THE BARK EXTRACTS OF <i>PILIOSTIGMA RETICULATUM</i> (DL.) HOCHST AND <i>CLEISTOPHOLIS PATENS</i> (BENTH.) ENGL & DIELS AND THEIR ANTIBACTERIAL ACTIVITIES ON <i>SHIGELLA DYSENTERIAE</i> AND <i>STREPTOCOCCUS PYOGENES</i> .
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<p>This is a well prepared paper concerning the antimicrobial activity of natural products.</p> <p>The authors have prepared the manuscript according to the style of the journal.</p> <p>The authors have presented data in the form of useful Tables and figures.</p> <p>This is an interesting article using natural products to inhibit bacteria.</p> <p>ACCEPT FOR PUBLICATION</p>	Thank you very much.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	