



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">South Asian Journal of Research in Microbiology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJRM_48084
Title of the Manuscript:	An Over View of Dermatophytosis in Rabbits
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	In the Fig. 9 - Back side of <i>Trichophyton mentagrophytes</i> : pale yellow color. I suggest to review because it is yellow very similar to the culture of <i>M. canis</i> .  Describe the type of study. Make it clear that rabbits were part of the research and how many.  In the title says to treat itself to be a review, but in the body of the work was not clear	1- Identification of dermatophytes is based on many sides like texture obverse and reverse colour so similarity of pale yellow colour will not confuse in identification 2- The type of study is review article 3- I discuss different aspect of the disease in rabbits
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	I suggest removing figure 4 because it is not of good quality and does not demonstrate the collection of the material.  Line 12 - Dermatophytosis, Rabbit, Clinical feature, Diagnosis, Treatment	I agree to remove fig 4 Not agree
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	