



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Research in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJRM_48084
Title of the Manuscript:	An Over View of Dermatophytosis in Rabbits
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The work is interesting and the author has done a good job, on the Over View of Dermatophytosis in Rabbits. However, figures were provided but not being referred in the text. Author is advised to refer figures in the text. Also figures3-7 have not be acknowledged. English is generally good but need more improvement.	Figures are corrected to be refer in text Every figure is refer to its author
Minor REVISION comments	Line 9 delete 'will' Line 20 delete 'be' Line 20-21 need reference Line 30-31. The susceptibility of young rabbit to dermatophytes could be as a result of immunity not fully developed. Line 32 and 44; adopt one (ectoparasites or ecto-parasites Line 69 Alopecia in the rabbit mouth Line 72 Localized skin lesion of dermatophytes on rabbit leg Line 90-92. Hair and skin scraping samples from rabbit suspected of dermatophytes infection are collected on the basis of gross lesions. Line 95 Polythene containing sample of skin scraping Line 99. Check the statement Line 193. Delete 'were'	I agree will was deleted Be was deleted Reference was added number 6 Line 30-31 was corrected Ectoparasite was written Line 69 was corrected Line 72 was corrected Fig 4 was deleted Line 99 was checked Line 193 were was deleted
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	
Are there competing interest issues in this manuscript?		