



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Research in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJRM_48760
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Probiotic Potential of Lactic Acid Bacteria Isolated from Raphia Palm Wine (Raffia mambillensis)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript provides interesting results; however, minor changes are necessary, before it might be accepted for publication in the Journal of South Asian Journal of Research in Microbiology , including those mentioned bellow:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • (Abstract): In my opinion, the objective of this manuscript is very broad and does not reflect what was actually performed in this study. Therefore, I recommend specifying better the aim of this manuscript. • The aim of the work needs to be revised based on the work done; • In the methods:- where is the growth conditions of isolates, insert first paragraph in material and methods about growth conditions of isolates • Where is the phylogenetic trees of isolates • Where is the accession number of isolates, Please register the isolates in the gene bank (NCBI) • Where is the result of Hemolysis test • Discussion should be precise, including relevant data from studies involving 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The objective of the work has been corrected based on the work carried out. • Corrected. • Growth conditions of the isolates are specified on line 91- 92 (30°C for 48 hours under anaerobic conditions). • Thanks for that remark. We didn't perform 16S rRNA gene sequencing of the isolates in this study due to lack of facilities. Based on the results obtained from this part of the work we are planning for sequencing in the next step. • The results of hemolysis test were provided on line 469 – 474. They have been added again in table 6. • Discussion was revised.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		



PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	