



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJ SSE_45575
Title of the Manuscript:	Rural-urban migration in China and implications for urbanization and industrialization in the new era
Type of the Article	COMMENTARY

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The author needs to address the topic under study. As it is, the author has not contextualized the study. The study is mixed up as the author jumps from one topic to another e.g. introduction (line 19) introduces economic development in China but jumps to new concept without linking them together. Use problem citation (check line 63) The author introduces migration but doesn't provide more information e.g. line 74.. this mass migration without showing or leading the reader. The study is more of Urbanization and industrialization. The author concludes on infrastructure rather than migration and its effects on land and labour. Generally, the author has the content but it is mixed up and it is confusing. I find it hard to understand what exactly the author wants to study. It is not coming out in the study. 	<p>I take the referee's suggestion and will change the title to now read "Rural-urban migration in China and implications for urbanization and industrialization in the new era"</p> <p>The paper is COMMENTARY it raises issues and highlights the interlinkages</p> <p>Title changed</p> <p>Text adjusted to reduce confusion</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	