SCIENCEDOMAIN international





SDI EDITORIAL COMMENTS FORM

EDITORIAL COMMENT'S on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to editor's comments
The manuscript has many grammatical errors, even in the headings you can see	
inconsistency in the use of upper case/ lower case.	Thanks Sir for your comments. For the grammatical errors, we have worked on them.
Only the most relevant and most recent references should be given. Around 30 references should be sufficient.	
	Concerning references, it was the recommendation of one of the reviewers from
Conclusion is too long; it should be concise and focused; some points may be shifted to the discussion section.	the version 1 of the manuscript.
There are too many references. However, the authors should make effort to improve the language and description of their manuscript.	We have improved according to them. The conclusion is mixed with the perspective and it has been improved according to the reviewers' comments. One part of the recommendation has been shifted to the discussion as suggested.

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.5 (4th August, 2012)