

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43

**Original Research Article**

**MICROBIAL ASSESSMENT OF SELECTED, LOCALLY-FERMENTED AND READY-TO-EAT CASSAVA PRODUCTS SOLD IN LOKOJA, NIGERIA.**

**ABSTRACT**

This study was conducted to assess locally-fermented, ready-to-eat cassava products in Lokoja for microbial contamination. Sixty samples comprising; twenty white garri, twenty yellow garri and twenty fufu were subjected to microbial analysis. Samples were serially diluted to 10<sup>-4</sup> and appropriate dilutions inoculated by spread plate method unto Nutrient agar, MacConkey agar and Potato Dextrose agar plates for Total aerobic plate count (TAPC), Coliform count (CC) and Fungal count respectively. The TAPC for white garri ranged from 1.0 x 10<sup>1</sup> to 7.0 x 10<sup>3</sup>, the coliform count ranged from no growth (NG) to 7.1 x 10<sup>3</sup>, while the mean fungal count ranged from 1.0 x 10<sup>2</sup> to 3.0 x 10<sup>3</sup>. The TAPC for yellow garri ranged from 1.1 x 10<sup>2</sup> to 9.0 x 10<sup>3</sup>, the coliform count ranged from NG to 6.0 x 10<sup>3</sup> and the fungal count ranged from 1.0 x 10<sup>2</sup> to 3.0 x 10<sup>3</sup>. The TAPC of fufu ranged from 1.2 x 10<sup>1</sup> to 5.0 x 10<sup>3</sup>, the coliform count ranged from NG to 3.0 x 10<sup>3</sup> and the fungal count ranged from 1.0 x 10<sup>2</sup> to 7.0 x 10<sup>2</sup>. The bacteria isolated include Bacillus spp., Enterobacter spp., Pseudomonas spp., Staphylococcus aureus, salmonella spp., Escherichia coli and Klebsiella spp. The fungi isolated from the study samples include Aspergillus niger, Cladosporium spp., Fusarium spp., Rhizopus spp., Alternaria spp., Montospora spp., and Penicillium spp. The pH of the samples ranged from 4.02 to 4.96 in white garri, 4.02 to 4.99 in yellow garri, and 5.02 to 6.44 in fufu. Findings show that these widely consumed fermented (ready-to-eat) cassava products presents (may represent) a serious risk and route for transmission of food borne pathogens to consumers and generally huge economic disadvantage to food handlers. Improved manufacturing, packaging and storage practices in garri production and for public health purposes are strongly encouraged.

**1 Introduction**

Food is the basic necessity for human survival and attainment of food security is the priority of any country. However it is important that food security should not be seen only in the perspective of availability either

44 quantitatively or qualitatively. Therefore, food hygiene and safety should  
45 also be given important consideration in order to protect the health of the  
46 people as they could serve as vehicle for the transmission of food borne  
47 diseases [1] [2]. Food may be available but the source from which it is  
48 produced and / or processed may be unhygienic and even the chemicals  
49 that may be used to preserve it may cause serious health hazard [1].

50 Coliforms, particularly *Escherichia coli* are used as indicators of post  
51 process contamination and also the presence of *E. coli* in foods serves as  
52 an indicator of faecal contamination [3]. Coliforms are group of closely  
53 related Gram negative, non-spore forming, rod-shaped aerobes and  
54 facultative anaerobes that ferment lactose to produce acid and gas within  
55 48 h at 35°C. They are mostly harmless and lives in soil, water and in the  
56 gut of animals with few enteric pathogens including *Salmonellae*, *Shigellae*  
57 and enteropathogenic *E. coli* [4].

58 Filamentous moulds and yeasts are common spoilage organisms of food  
59 products and some species of *Penicillia* and *Aspergilli* have been reported  
60 as spoilage organisms of a variety of foods on which they may produce a  
61 quite number of mycotoxins [5].

62 Cassava ranks fourth in the list of major crops in developing countries after  
63 rice, wheat and maize and it is used for the production of a variety of West  
64 African foods [6]. In its natural state, it is toxic to man as it may contain high  
65 levels of linamarin, a cyanogenic glucoside. Hence, processing through  
66 fermentation, enhance its detoxification, improving the quality and hygienic  
67 safety of the food.

68 Garri and fufu happen to be one of the finished products of fermented  
69 cassava and if not properly and carefully handled during processing and /  
70 or storage, it could serve as vehicle for transmission of food borne  
71 pathogens. Moreover, there could be economic losses and widespread of  
72 food borne illnesses as a result of contamination by these microorganisms.  
73 Therefore, this study is aimed at evaluating locally fermented ready-to-eat  
74 cassava products (garri and fufu) for any microbial contamination and  
75 microbiological safety with attempt at awareness creation on food safety to  
76 consumers and relevant additions to the body of knowledge on this all  
77 important staple foods in order to inform right agricultural and health  
78 policies. Furthermore, the obtained result will aid policy makers in making  
79 necessary quality hazard, storage techniques as well as processing line  
80 crucial for the production of fermented staple food from cassava in Nigeria  
81 and West Africa at large.

82

83

84

85

## 86 **2 Materials and Methods**

### 87 **2.1 Sample collection**

88 A total of 60 samples of locally-fermented, ready-to-eat cassava products,  
89 comprising 20 white garri, 20 yellow garri and 20 fufu were collected

90 aseptically from the five major markets in Lokoja Kogi state which includes;  
91 Ganaja, Adankolo, Lokongoma, Old and New International Markets. In  
92 each of the markets, four samples (i.e. from 4 vendors) of each of the  
93 ready-to-eat locally fermented cassava products were collected in sterile  
94 nylon bags and transported to Salem University Advanced Microbiology  
95 Laboratory for analyses.

## 96 **2.2 Microbiological Analysis.**

97 Microbiological analysis was carried out using conventional microbiological  
98 procedures. The analysis involved total aerobic plate count, fungal count  
99 and coliform count. This was determined by the spread plate method using  
100 standard microbiological techniques.

### 101 **2.2.1 Isolation and Identification of Microbial Isolates**

102 Ten gram (10g) of each sample were homogenized in 90 ml sterile distilled  
103 water ( $10^{-1}$  dilution). Serial dilution of sample homogenate to  $10^{-4}$  was  
104 carried out also in sterile distilled water for colony count. Approximate 0.1ml  
105 aliquot of appropriate dilutions were spread plated on plates of Nutrient  
106 agar and Potato Dextrose agar supplemented with 0.2 $\mu$ g of  
107 chloramphenicol (all from Bio-laboratory, Hungary). All Nutrient agar and  
108 MacConkey agar plates were incubated at 37 $^{\circ}$ C for 24-48 h while all potato  
109 dextrose agar plates were incubated at 25 $^{\circ}$ C for 72-120 h. All plates were  
110 prepared in duplicate. Culture plates were examined, while enumeration  
111 and identification of colonies was carried out at the end of the incubation  
112 period. The total microbial population was expressed as colony forming unit  
113 per gram of the sample (cfu/g).

114 **2.2.2 Detection of Hygiene indicator organisms and specific food**  
115 **borne pathogens**

116 Samples were plated on MacConkey agar, Manitol Salt agar and  
117 Salmonella-Shigella agar (Oxoid, England) after pre-enrichment in Selenite  
118 F broth and incubated at 35<sup>0</sup>C for 24-48 h, for isolation of Escherichia coli,  
119 Staphylococci and Salmonellae respectively.

120 **2.2.3 Coliform Test**

121 One gram samples were also inoculated into Lactose broth in screw  
122 capped test tubes with inverted Durham tubes and incubated at 37<sup>0</sup>C for  
123 24-48 h. Tubes showing gas production and/or color change of dye were  
124 reported as presumptive coliform test positive. These positive tubes were  
125 streaked out on duplicate plates of Eosin Methylene Blue (EMB) agar for  
126 confirmatory test and incubated at 37<sup>0</sup>C and 44<sup>0</sup>C respectively for 24 h.  
127 Growth of characteristic colonies on EMB medium represent confirmatory  
128 positive test which were Gram stained and inoculated into lactose broth for  
129 complete coliform test. Gas production and/or color change of dye plus  
130 Gram negative non spore bearing rod represent presence of coliform.

131

132

133 **2.2.4 Isolation and Identification of bacteria and fungi**

134 Pure cultures of suspected colonies were obtained by repeated subculture  
135 on nutrient agar plates and potato dextrose agar plates for bacteria and

136 fungi isolates respectively and stored on slants at 4°C until characterized.  
137 The isolation and identification of the bacteria were carried out using  
138 standard microbiological techniques including; Gram stain, catalase test,  
139 coagulase test, indole test, citrate test, oxidase test [7]. All fungi isolates  
140 were identified following previously described methods [8].

### 141 **2.3 Determination of pH of the locally fermented ready-to-eat cassava** 142 **products**

143 The pH was determined using digital pH meter calibrated with standard  
144 buffer solutions. Ten grams of each sample were weighed and  
145 homogenized in 20ml of sterile distilled water in a beaker for 1 min. The  
146 solution decanted and the pH of the suspension measured.

### 147 **2.4 Statistical analysis**

148 The mean of the total viable microbial count was subjected to analysis  
149 using SPSS version 20. The mean microbial load  $\text{cfug}^{-1}$  and pH of the  
150 samples were presented in tables.

151

152

153

## 154 **3 Results and Discussion**

155 The mean TAPC, fungal count, and coliform count results are shown in  
156 Table 3, and it reveals the samples had TAPC that are within the range of

157  $10^1$  to  $10^3$ . The fungal and coliform counts are about the same order. The  
158 result of this study was in line with the earlier reported data [9] [10]  
159 however, it was slightly lower than another previously reported [11], with  
160 counts that ranged from  $10^3$  to  $10^4$ . Moreover a study conducted in Ebonyi,  
161 Ogun and Oyo states in Nigeria reported microbial burden as high as  $10^6$   
162 to  $10^7$  respectively [12] [13], while another related work reported a fungal  
163 count as high as  $10^4$  to  $10^6$  [14]. The disparity in the microbial count from  
164 these studies could be as a result of the processing method, the quality of  
165 water used in the production process and the length of exposure during  
166 sale. A researcher equally observed back slopping used by some  
167 processors to reduce the length of time for fermentation to compromise the  
168 quality of the product [13], suggesting it could be the lead cause of high  
169 counts observed in their study. Meanwhile, the total aerobic plate count  
170 and fungi counts of this study samples were within the acceptable limit.  
171 Ready-to-eat foods with plate counts of  $\leq 10^3$  are within the acceptable limit  
172 while counts of  $10^4$  to  $10^5$  are tolerable and counts that are  $>10^6$  are totally  
173 unacceptable [15]. Coliform was detected in most of the samples at high  
174 counts  $10^2$  to  $10^3$ , and the presence of *E. coli* calls for serious concern. It  
175 signifies poor sanitary condition and indicative of faecal contamination  
176 during the production process and / or storage of the fermented ready-to-  
177 eat food under study. It is also indicative of the potential presence of  
178 enteric pathogens and therefore makes the study samples of poor quality  
179 for human consumption.

180 Bacterial isolates from the study samples were *Bacillus* sp., *Enterobacter*  
181 sp., *Pseudomonas* sp., *Staphylococcus aureus*, *salmonella* sp.,

182 *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella* sp. (Table 1). Most of the isolates were  
183 glucose positive, indole negative, catalase positive and a reasonable  
184 number of both Gram positives and Gram negatives (Table 4). The  
185 isolation of diverse microbial species from this ready-to-eat fermented  
186 foods did not completely agree with the earlier findings [9] [10] [13] as each  
187 author had dissimilarities in bacterial presence in their study samples. Most  
188 of these studies reported the presence of *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Bacillus*  
189 sp., *Pseudomonas* sp., *E. coli* and *Klebsiella* sp. The observation of  
190 diverse bacteria isolates could be attributed to the fact that these studies  
191 was carried out at different regions and from different sample markets of  
192 which environmental conditions of the study areas could affect the  
193 distribution of organisms. Buyer's attitude towards the exposed food  
194 products in the market could also contribute to the microbial load and  
195 diversity as they touch the products with bare hands and taste it before  
196 they buy. The presence of *Salmonella* in this study calls for concern as this  
197 organism is the common cause of human food poisoning, and  
198 salmonellosis can affect all species of domestic animals and man. It is  
199 important to draw to our attention that the young, aged, stressed,  
200 debilitated and pregnant individuals are more susceptible while the  
201 immunosuppressed and those suffering from malnutrition are at risk for  
202 *salmonella* infection [16]. The presence of *Bacillus* and *Staphylococcus*  
203 *aureus* also calls for concern because some strains of these organisms are  
204 known to be toxigenic and often implicated in food borne intoxication [17]  
205 [18]. *Bacillus* a common environmental contaminant and a spore former  
206 can withstand environmental stress and this may account for its presence



207 in the samples. Meanwhile, *Staphylococcus aureus* is of human origin and  
208 their presence could therefore be from the food handlers, utensils and the  
209 environment. Moreover, garri and fufu is a common food widely consumed  
210 by all in Nigeria and increasing intake of it, especially dry garri as snacks or  
211 with cold water is an added practice that exposes the populace to serious  
212 health risk due to the microbial status of the product.

213 Fungal isolates from the samples collected were detailed in Table 2. More  
214 so, among all fungal isolates; most authors reported the presence of  
215 *Aspergillus* sp., *Fusarium* sp. and *Penicillium* sp. in their study [14] [9] [10],  
216 which agrees with the result of this study. Filamentous fungi are common  
217 environmental contaminants usually implicated in ready-to-eat foods  
218 because they produce spores and this could explain their presence in the  
219 study samples. More so, species of *Aspergillus*, *Penicillium* and *Fusarium*  
220 are known to produce mycotoxins [19] [20] [21] and their presence in the  
221 study samples calls for serious concern.

222 The pH value of white garri and yellow garri ranged from 4.02 to 4.96 and  
223 4.02 to 4.99 respectively while that of fufu ranged from 5.02 to 6.44 (Table  
224 3). It was observed from the study that the pH values of fufu were higher  
225 than that of the garri samples. The pH values recorded in this study was  
226 within the range of those reported in related studies [9] [10] [13] for the  
227 garri samples. Moreover, a study conducted in Ebonyi state, Nigeria  
228 reported higher pH values of 5.47 to 6.61 [12] which is in disagreement  
229 with this study. This disparity observed could be attributed to the length of  
230 fermentation and storage time. A study reported a reduction in pH of

231 cassava mash from 6.2 to 3.2 over a period of 10 fermentation days under  
232 ambient temperature of 28 – 32°C [22]. [14] It was also revealed from a  
233 study that the longer the storage time, the higher the pH. Another study  
234 attributed the increase in pH to be as a result of production of acidic  
235 metabolites by microorganisms during their growth and proliferation [23].

## 236 **Conclusion**

237 This study has shown that the fermented staple food under study were  
238 contaminated with both bacterial and fungal species, with presence of  
239 coliforms. Some of the isolated organisms are well-known causes of food  
240 borne diseases and food intoxications. With these findings, the important of  
241 hygiene during processing and/or storage of these food products cannot be  
242 overemphasized. It is also important that the food handlers properly covers  
243 the food product during storage and also reduce the length of its exposure  
244 in the market place. The use of specific starter culture, effective HACCP  
245 application and good manufacturing practice from farm to fork is also  
246 suggested to help reduce the level of contamination and possibly ward off  
247 food borne pathogens.

248

249

250

251

## 252 **References**

- 253 1. Ojo EO, Adebayo PF. Food security in Nigeria: An overview. *European*  
254 *Journal of Sustainable Development*, 2012; 1(2): 199-122.
- 255 2. Feroz F, Shimizu H, Nishioka T, Mori M, Sakagami Y. Bacterial and  
256 fungal counts of dried and semi-dried foods collected from Dhaka,  
257 Bangladesh and their reduction methods. *Biocontrol Science*, 2016; 21(4):  
258 243-261
- 259 3. Dogan-Halkman HB, Cakir I, Keven F, Worobo RW, Halkman KA.  
260 Relationship among faecal coliforms and *Escherichia coli* in various foods.  
261 *European Food Research and Technology*, 2003; 216: 331-334.
- 262 4. Nkere CK, Ibe NI, Iroegbu CU. Bacteriological Quality of foods and water  
263 sold by vendors and in restaurants in Nsukka, Enugu state, Nigeria: A  
264 comparative study of three microbiological methods. *J Health Popul Nutr*,  
265 2011; 6: 560-566.
- 266 5. Samson RA, Hoekstra ES, Frisvad JC, Filteenberg O. Introduction to  
267 food and air borne fungi. 6th ed. Central bureau voor schimmelcultures.  
268 Utrecht, The Netherlands; 2002. P. 210.
- 269 6. Edward VA, Egounlety M, Huch M, Vanzyl PJ, Singh S, Nesengani ND,  
270 et al. Isolation and screening of microorganisms from garri fermentation  
271 process for starter culture development. *African Journal of Biotechnology*,  
272 2012; 11(65): 12865-12877.
- 273 7. Cheesbrough M. *District laboratory practice in tropical countries*. 2nd ed.  
274 Cambridge University Press; 2006.
- 275 8. Okafor JI, Eze EA. Medical mycology. In: Ezeonu IM, Okafor JI,  
276 Ogbonna JC, editors. *Laboratory exercises in microbiology: a practical*  
277 *manual for students of tertiary institutions*. 1st ed. Ephrata Printing and  
278 Publishing Company, Nsukka; 2011. pp 108-116.
- 279 9. Olopade BK, Oranusi S, Ajala R, Olorunsola SS. Microbiological quality  
280 of fermented cassava (Gari) sold in Ota Ogun state Nigeria. *International*  
281 *Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 2014; 3(3): 888-895.
- 282 10. Akindele ST, Abimbola WA. Microbial evaluation of Garri sold in Ijebu  
283 community. *IOSR Journal of Environmental Science, Toxicology and Food*  
284 *Technology*, 2018; 12(7): 35-38.
- 285 11. Adebayo CO, Aderiye BI, Akpor OB. Assessment of bacterial and  
286 fungal spoilage of some Nigerian fermented and unfermented foods.  
287 *African Journal of Food Science*, 2014; 8(3): 140-147.
- 288 12. Orji JO, Nnachi AU, Ojiochie CO, Egwuatu CC, Efunshile AM, Ezejiofor  
289 OI, Ezeama CO, Nwanchi CU, Mbachu I. Bacteriological quality and public  
290 health implications of fermented cassava (Garri) sold in Okwor and Nkalagu  
291 markets in Ebonyi state, Nigeria. *International Journal of Scientific and*  
292 *Technology Research*, 2016; 5(11): 85-91.

- 293 13. Adebayo-Oyetoro AO, Oyewole OB, Obadina AO, Omemu MA.  
294 Microbiological safety assessment of fermented cassava flour “Lafun”  
295 available in Ogun and Oyo states of Nigeria. *International Journal of Food*  
296 *Science*, 2013; Article ID 845324, 5 pages.
- 297 14. Aguoru CU, Ondo MA, Omoni VT, Ogbonna IO. Characterization of  
298 moulds associated with processed garri stored for 40 days at ambient  
299 temperature in Markurdi, Nigeria. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, 2014;  
300 13(5): 673-677.
- 301 15. International Commission on Microbiological Specifications for Foods  
302 (ICMSF) 1996. *Microorganisms in Foods 5: Microbiological Specifications*  
303 *of Pathogens*.
- 304 16. Rahman HS, Othman HH. Salmonella infection: The common cause of  
305 human food poisoning. *Progress in Bioscience and Bioengineering*, 2017;  
306 1(1): 5-10.
- 307 17. Oranusi S, Galadima M, Umoh VJ, Nwanze PI. Food safety evaluation  
308 in boarding schools in Zaria, Nigeria, using the HACCP system. *Scientific*  
309 *Research and Essay*, 2007; 210, 426-433.
- 310 18. Behling RG, Eifert J, Erickson MC, Gurtler JB, Kornacki JL, Line E,  
311 Radcliff R, Ryser ET, Stawick B, Yan Z, editors. *Principles of*  
312 *Microbiological troubleshooting in the industrial food processing*  
313 *environment, food microbiology and food safety*. Behling food safety  
314 associates Madison, WI, USA, 2010.
- 315 19. Greco MJ, Franchi ML, Golba SLR, Pardo AG, Pose GN. Mycotoxins  
316 and mycotoxigenic fungi in poultry feed for food-producing animals. *The*  
317 *Scientific World Journal*, 2014; Volume 2014, Article ID 968215, 9 pages.
- 318 20. Bennett JW, Klich M. Mycotoxins. *Clinical Microbiology Reviews*, 2003;  
319 16(3): 497-516.
- 320 21. Pitt JI, Basilico JC, Abarca ML, Lopez C. Mycotoxins and toxigenic  
321 fungi. *Medical Mycology*, 2000; 38(1): 41-46.
- 322 22. Oduah NO, Adepoju PA, Longe O, Elemo GN, Oke OV. Effects of  
323 fermentation on the quality and composition of cassava mash (Garri).  
324 *International Journal of Food Nutrition and Safety*, 2015; 6(1): 30-41.
- 325 23. Ogugbue CJ, Mbakwem-Aniebo C, Akubuenyi F. Assessment of  
326 microbial air contaminants of post processed garri on sale in markets.  
327 *African Journal of Food Science*, 2011; 5 (8): 503-512.

328

329

330

331

332 **Table 1: Distribution of bacteria in the food samples across the sampled markets**

Market	Sample	Salm	Kleb	Staph	Bacillus	E.coli	Strept	Pseudo	Entero
Adankolo	White	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-
	Yellow	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-
	Fufu	-	+	+	-	-	-	+	-
Lokongoma	White	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-
	Yellow	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	-
	Fufu	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-
New	White	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	-
	Yellow	+	-	+	-	+	-	-	-
	Fufu	-	+	+	-	-	+	-	-
Old	White	+	-	+	+	+	-	-	-
	Yellow	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	+
	Fufu	+	-	+	-	-	+	-	-
Ganaja	White	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	-
	Yellow	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	-
	Fufu	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	-

333 **Key: Salm = Salmonella sp., Kleb = Klebsiella sp., Staph = Staphylococcus aureus,**

334 **Pseudo = Pseudomonas sp., Entero = Enterococcus sp., + = present, - = absent**

335

336 **Table 2: Distribution of fungi in the food samples across the sampled markets**

Market	Sample	Asper	Peni	Mold	Mucor	Rhi	Fus	Clado	Alter	Monto
Adankolo	White	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	yellow	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Fufu	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lokongoma	White	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-
	yellow	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-
	Fufu	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-
New	White	-	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-
	yellow	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-
	Fufu	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	-
Old	White	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-
	yellow	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-
	Fufu	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-
Ganaja	White	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-

	yellow	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	+
	Fufu	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-

337 **Key: Asper = Aspergillus sp., Peni = Penicillium sp., Rhi = Rhizopus sp., Fus =**  
338 **Fusarium sp., Clado = Cladosporium sp., = Alter = Alternaria sp., Monto = Montospora**  
339 **sp., + = present, - = absent.**

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

UNDER PEER REVIEW

347

348 **Table 3: Mean microbial load in cfu/g and pH**

349

Sample Outlet		White garri				Yellow Garri				Fufu			
		TAPC	CC	FC	pH	TAPC	CC	FC	pH	TAPC	CC	FC	pH
Adankolo	1	$3.2 \times 10^2$	$2.0 \times 10^3$	$4.0 \times 10^2$	4.20	$2.0 \times 10^3$	$1.1 \times 10^3$	$3.0 \times 10^2$	4.34	$1.3 \times 10^2$	$2.0 \times 10^3$	$4.0 \times 10^2$	6.23
	2	$1.0 \times 10^1$	$3.0 \times 10^3$	$3.0 \times 10^2$	4.66	$4.2 \times 10^2$	$1.0 \times 10^3$	$8.0 \times 10^2$	4.83	$2.3 \times 10^2$	$4.0 \times 10^2$	$7.0 \times 10^2$	6.44
	3	$3.0 \times 10^2$	$2.7 \times 10^3$	$3.0 \times 10^2$	4.64	$3.8 \times 10^2$	$2.0 \times 10^3$	$3.0 \times 10^2$	4.02	$3.0 \times 10^2$	$4.0 \times 10^2$	$2.0 \times 10^2$	6.02
	4	$1.8 \times 10^1$	$3.0 \times 10^3$	$4.0 \times 10^2$	4.91	$2.0 \times 10^3$	$4.0 \times 10^2$	$4.0 \times 10^2$	4.33	$3.0 \times 10^2$	NG	$5.0 \times 10^2$	5.93
Lokongoma	1	$1.8 \times 10^2$	NG	$4.0 \times 10^2$	4.36	$3.2 \times 10^2$	$4.0 \times 10^2$	$7.0 \times 10^2$	4.54	$2.0 \times 10^2$	$1.4 \times 10^3$	$1.0 \times 10^2$	5.64
	2	$2.5 \times 10^2$	$2.7 \times 10^3$	$3.0 \times 10^2$	4.43	$3.1 \times 10^2$	NG	$2.0 \times 10^2$	4.30	$4.0 \times 10^2$	$3.4 \times 10^2$	$4.0 \times 10^2$	5.52
	3	$9.6 \times 10^2$	$2.0 \times 10^3$	$3.0 \times 10^2$	4.22	$4.7 \times 10^2$	$1.4 \times 10^3$	$5.0 \times 10^2$	4.49	$1.6 \times 10^1$	$2.0 \times 10^3$	$4.0 \times 10^2$	5.75
	4	$1.5 \times 10^2$	$2.0 \times 10^3$	$6.0 \times 10^2$	4.11	$3.1 \times 10^2$	$3.4 \times 10^2$	$1.0 \times 10^3$	4.67	$1.2 \times 10^1$	$3.0 \times 10^3$	$4.0 \times 10^2$	5.46
New	1	$7.0 \times 10^3$	NG	$3.0 \times 10^3$	4.40	$1.3 \times 10^2$	$6.0 \times 10^3$	$4.0 \times 10^2$	4.52	$3.2 \times 10^2$	$2.7 \times 10^3$	$3.0 \times 10^2$	5.62
	2	$1.0 \times 10^1$	$7.0 \times 10^3$	$4.0 \times 10^2$	4.43	$1.6 \times 10^2$	$1.0 \times 10^3$	$6.0 \times 10^2$	4.23	$1.0 \times 10^3$	$3.0 \times 10^3$	$3.0 \times 10^2$	5.41
	3	$3.8 \times 10^2$	$2.0 \times 10^3$	$3.0 \times 10^2$	4.59	$9.0 \times 10^3$	$8.0 \times 10^2$	$9.0 \times 10^2$	4.12	$8.8 \times 10^2$	NG	$6.0 \times 10^2$	5.03
	4	$1.2 \times 10^2$	$3.0 \times 10^3$	$4.0 \times 10^2$	4.17	$1.2 \times 10^2$	$2.0 \times 10^2$	$4.0 \times 10^2$	4.04	$3.0 \times 10^3$	$2.7 \times 10^3$	$3.0 \times 10^2$	5.14
Old	1	$1.0 \times 10^3$	$8.0 \times 10^2$	$4.0 \times 10^2$	4.08	$1.1 \times 10^2$	$2.0 \times 10^2$	$5.0 \times 10^2$	4.50	$1.0 \times 10^3$	$2.0 \times 10^3$	$4.0 \times 10^2$	6.35
	2	$1.2 \times 10^2$	$7.1 \times 10^3$	$3.0 \times 10^2$	4.87	$1.5 \times 10^2$	$3.0 \times 10^2$	$9.0 \times 10^2$	4.12	$1.2 \times 10^2$	$2.0 \times 10^3$	$3.0 \times 10^2$	6.23
	3	$1.3 \times 10^2$	$3.0 \times 10^2$	$4.0 \times 10^2$	4.96	$1.6 \times 10^2$	NG	$5.0 \times 10^2$	4.73	$1.5 \times 10^2$	$3.0 \times 10^2$	$1.0 \times 10^2$	6.10
	4	$6.0 \times 10^3$	NG	$4.0 \times 10^2$	4.15	$5.0 \times 10^3$	$1.0 \times 10^2$	$1.0 \times 10^2$	4.94	$2.0 \times 10^3$	NG	$6.0 \times 10^2$	6.06
Ganaja	1	$1.2 \times 10^2$	$2.0 \times 10^2$	$3.0 \times 10^2$	4.96	$1.8 \times 10^2$	$4.2 \times 10^3$	$4.0 \times 10^2$	4.56	$1.1 \times 10^2$	$2.0 \times 10^2$	$1.0 \times 10^2$	5.64
	2	$1.9 \times 10^2$	$2.0 \times 10^2$	$1.0 \times 10^2$	4.02	$1.3 \times 10^2$	$3.1 \times 10^3$	$3.0 \times 10^3$	4.15	$1.5 \times 10^2$	$2.0 \times 10^3$	$3.0 \times 10^2$	5.43
	3	$1.5 \times 10^2$	NG	$6.0 \times 10^2$	4.14	$1.2 \times 10^2$	$1.2 \times 10^2$	$5.0 \times 10^2$	4.77	$1.6 \times 10^2$	$8.0 \times 10^2$	$1.0 \times 10^2$	5.02
	4	$2.0 \times 10^2$	NG	$1.0 \times 10^2$	4.25	$5.0 \times 10^3$	NG	$1.2 \times 10^2$	4.99	$5.0 \times 10^3$	$2.0 \times 10^2$	$6.0 \times 10^2$	5.13

350 **Key: TAPC = Total Aerobic Plate Count, CC = Coliform Count, FC = Fungal Count, NG = No Growth.**

351

352

353

354

355

356

357 **Table 4: Biochemical characteristics of bacterial isolates**

358

<b>Bacteria found</b>	<b>Gram stain</b>	<b>H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub></b>	<b>Glucose</b>	<b>Citrate</b>	<b>Indole</b>	<b>Endospore</b>	<b>Oxidase</b>	<b>Coagulase</b>	<b>Catalase</b>
<b><i>Salmonella</i> sp.</b>	-		+	-	-	-	-	-	+
<b><i>Klebsiella</i> sp.</b>	-		+	+	-	-	-	-	+
<b><i>S. aureus</i></b>	+		+	+	-	-	-	+	+
<b><i>Bacillus</i> sp.</b>	+		+	+	-	+	+		+
<b><i>E. coli</i></b>	-		+	-	+	-	-	-	+
<b><i>Streptococcus</i> sp.</b>	+		+			-		-	-
<b><i>Pseudomonas</i> sp.</b>	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	+
<b><i>Enterococcus</i> sp.</b>	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-



359  
360  
361  
362  
363  
364  
365  
366  
367  
368

UNDER PEER REVIEW

369  
370  
371  
372

373  
374  
375

376  
377  
378

UNDER PEER REVIEW