Effect of Climate Change on Agriculture in the Saline Prone Areas of Bangladesh

- 3
- 4

5 ABSTRACT

Southern part of Bangladesh is one of the most affected areas confronting the effects of climate change especially in agricultural sector. The study was planned to examine effect of climate change on agriculture in the saline prone areas, and estimate the level of contribution of the selected characteristics of the farmers to the effect of climate change on agriculture. For this reason, data were collected from 88 farmers under study group and 30 farmers under control group from 16 March, 2017 to 15 April, 2017. Descriptive statistics, multiple regression, t-test were used for data analysis. There was a negative effect of climate change on agriculture comparing the study and control group changed score from 2015 to 2017. In case of study group, 61.4 percent of the farmers had medium effect, 17.0 percent had low effect and 21.6 percent of the farmers had high effect of climate change on agriculture. It was also found that out of eleven factors, seven namely age, level of education, annual family income, farming experience. training exposure, agricultural knowledge and knowledge on climate change had significant contribution to the effect of climate change on agriculture in the saline prone areas. It is concluded that climate change may play a significant role in decreasing the yield of cereal crops, yield of vegetables, yield of pulses and increasing number of adopted new varieties of agricultural crops by the farmers. It is recommended that the Bangladesh government and NGOs should take initiative for reducing effect of climate change on agriculture for a sustainable agricultural development.

6

7 Key words: Effect, climate change, agriculture, Bangladesh

8 1. INTRODUCTION9

10 Climate change refers to the variation in the earth's global climate or in regional climates over time. It is the change of climate which attributed directly or indirectly to human activity that alters the composition of 11 12 the global atmosphere [1]. Climate change is a phenomenon due to emissions of greenhouse gases from 13 fuel combustion, deforestation, urbanization and industrialization [2] resulting variations in solar energy, 14 temperature and precipitation. Climate change is an emerging environmental challenge to date is a 15 natural process and has been considered through increased variability and uncertainty of precipitation. 16 Greenhouse gases (GHGs) mainly CO₂, N₂O and CH₄ majorly emitted from the energy sector are the major contributing agents of climate change. Emission of Carbon Dioxide (CO₂) is the major element 17 18 which forms more than 80% of the total GHG. GHGs have created a greenhouse effect which subsequently altered precipitation patterns and global temperatures. Several basic indicators in our 19 20 surroundings, such as steady rise in temperatures, increasing concentration of greenhouse gases in the atmosphere, and growing weather or climatic uncertainties, show the aggregate effects of these changes. 21 IPCC [3] reported that the global mean surface air temperature has increased in Bangladesh. Bangladesh 22 23 is a densely populated (around 158.9 million people lives in its 1, 47,570 square kilometer of land; [4]) 24 and agro-based (47.5% of the total manpower is involved in agriculture) developing country. Here, 25 agriculture contributes 18.82% of the gross domestic product (GDP) of the country in the year of 2014-26 2015 [5]. The livelihood of the Bangladeshi depends on mostly agriculture for which reason Bangladesh is 27 identified as a highly vulnerable country to Climate Change [6]. The agriculture in Bangladesh is vulnerable for two reasons. First, the existing system of food production is highly climate sensitive 28 29 because of its low level of capital investment and adoption of modern technological options. Second, 30 agriculture is the main source of livelihoods for a majority of the population i.e. 48% population depends 31 on agriculture [7]. This will put greater number of people at risk when agriculture is affected due to 32 climatic variability and uncertainty [8]. Effect of climate change on agriculture are very vague that climate

change may have increased productivity in some region while it to be decreased in another region [9]. 33 34 During the wet monsoon, the severity of salt injury is reduced due to dilution of the salt in the root zone of 35 the standing crop. The dominant crops grown in the saline areas are local transplanted Aman rice with 36 poor yields. Salinity problem received little attention in the past but due to increased demand for growing 37 more food to feed the booming population for the country, it has become imperative to explore the 38 potentials of these lands for crop production. Although, climate change has an enormous effect on 39 agriculture in the saline area of Bangladesh, little research has been conducted regarding the effect of 40 climate change on agriculture in the saline prone areas particularly in Bangladesh. Hence, in view of the 41 foregoing discussion, the research regarding this topic entitled 'Effect of Climate Change on Agriculture in the Saline Prone Areas of Bangladesh' was taken into consideration and the present study was carried 42 43 out to: 44

- i. assess the extent of effect of climate change on agriculture;
- 45 ii. describe some selected characteristics of the farmers;
- 46 iii. explore the contribution of the farmers' selected characteristics to the effect of climate change on 47 agriculture.

49 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

51 2.1 Study Area

52

48

50

53 The study was conducted in the Assasuni Upazila of Satkhira district. The area of Assasuni 54 Upazila (Satkhira district) is 402.36 sq km, located in between 22°21' and 22°40' north latitudes and in 55 between 89°03' and 89°17' east longitudes. It is bounded by Satkhira sadar and Tala Upazilas on the north, Shyamnagar Upazila on the south, Paikgachha and Koyra Upazilas on the east and Kaliganj and 56 57 Debhata Upazilas on the west. Assasuni Upazila has several unions in which Protapnagar union was 58 selected randomly as the study area.

60 2.2 Population and Sampling

61

59

Updated lists of all the farmers of the selected villages of Assasuni Upazila were prepared with the help of 62 SAAO and local leader. A purposive sampling procedure was followed to select the study group. The total 63 64 number of farmers in Protapnagar union is 1001 which constituted the population of the study. The distribution of population, sample and location is shown in Table 1. There are several methods for 65 66 determining the sample size; here, the researcher used Yamane's [10] formula for study group:

 $z^2P(1-P)N$ $n = \frac{z^{-r}(1-r)}{z^2 P(1-P) + N(e)^2}$ 67

Where, n = sample size; N, population size = 1001; e, the level of precision = 10%; z = the value of the 68 69 standard normal variable given the chosen confidence level (e.g., z = 1.96 with a confidence level of 95 70 %) and P, the proportion or degree of variability = 50%;

71

72 According to the formula, the sample size (n) was 88. A reserve list of 9 farmers (ten percent of the 73 sample size) was also prepared so that the farmers of this list could be used for interview if the farmers 74 included in the original sample were not available at the time of conduction of interview.

- 75
- 76

Table 1. Distribution of the farmers according to population and reserve list

Selected Upazila	Selected Union	Selected Villages	Population	Sample Size	Reserve List
		Protapnagar	156	14	1
		Kallayanpur	178	16	2
Accouni	Drotoppogor	Nakna	145	12	1
Assasum	Frotaphayar	Kurikahunia	187	16	2
		Khajra	164	15	1
		Kola	171	15	2
	Total		1001	88	9

78 **2.3 Control Group Selection**

79

80 The respondents' size of the control group was 30 farmers which calculated as one-third of the sampling 81 population number. Sampling was done as 88 respondents who involved in farming activities from the 82 study area and 30 respondents as controls far away from the study area from the farmers. To ensure 83 similar socio-economic conditions for both the control and test groups, a two-way stratified random 84 sampling technique was used [11], in which education and farm size were considered as two individual 85 strata [12]. Education was categorized into three groups: group 1 (denoted E₁), respondents are illiterate or can sign only; group 2 (denoted E_2), respondents have primary education, and group 3 (denoted E_3), 86 respondents have secondary or higher education. Farm size was also categorized into three groups: 87 group 1 (denoted F_1), small farm group (farm size up to 0.5 hectors); group 2 (denoted F_2), medium-farm 88 group (farm size 0.51 to 1.0 hector), and group 3 (denoted F₃), large farm group (farm size above 1.0 89 90 hector). The two-way stratified random table is given as Table 2.

91

93

92 Table 2. Two-way stratified random sampling of respondents based on their Level of education and farm

		size	
Category	% of respondents	Study Group	Control Group (one-third of the study group)
$E_1 \times F_1$	4.5	4	1
$E_1 \times F_2$	20.5	18	6
$E_1 \times F_3$	3.4	3	1
$E_2 \times F_1$	17.1	15	5
$E_2 \times F_2$	29.5	26	9
$E_2 \times F_3$	2.3	2	1
$E_3 \times F_1$	13.6	12	4
$E_3 \times F_2$	5.7	5	2
$E_3 \times F_3$	3.4	3	1
Total	100	88	30

94 95 With the help of the two-way stratified random sampling procedure, homogeneous/ similar categories of control and testing group respondents were selected, and then the proportionate random sampling 96 97 technique was used to select either study or control group respondents from each village/group.

98

99 2.4 Data Collection Methods and Tools 100

101 Individual interviews were used in the survey and were conducted in a face-to-face [13] situation by the researcher. A semi-structured interview schedules were prepared with open and closed questions to 102 103 reach the objectives of the study. The survey tools were initially constructed based on an extensive 104 literature reviews and pre-tested. Then, the schedule was pre-tested with 15 randomly selected farmers in 105 the study area. Thus, necessary additions, deletions, modifications and adjustments were made in the schedule on the basis of experiences gained from pre-test. The questionnaire was also checked for 106 validity by educational experts at Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University (SAU). Finally, based on 107 108 background information, an expert appraisal and the pre-test, the interview schedule was finalized. The 109 final data collection was started from 16 March and completed in 15 April, 2017.

110

2.5 Selection and Measurement of Variables 111

112

A research work usually contains at least two important variables viz. independent and dependent 113 114 variables. In this study 11 farmer's selected characteristics were independent variables and they are: age, 115 level of education, family size, effective farm size, annual family income, experience in farming, training exposure, extension media contact, organizational participation, agricultural knowledge and knowledge 116

S	ize

on climate change. The dependent variable of this study was the 'effect of climate change on agriculture in the saline prone areas of Bangladesh'. The methods and procedures in measuring the variables of this study are presented below:

study are presented below:

121 <u>2.5.1 Measurement of Independent Variables</u>

122

123 Age of the farmers was measured in terms of actual years from their birth to the time of the interview, 124 which was found on the basis of the verbal response of the respondents. Education was measured by 125 assigning score against successful years of schooling by a farmer. One score was given for passing each level in an educational institution. Family size of a farmer was determined by the total number of members 126 127 in his/her family including him/her, children and other dependents. Effective farm size of a farmer referred 128 to the total area of land on which his/her family carried out the farming operation. The term annual income refers to the annual gross income of farmer and the members of his family from different sources. It was 129 130 expressed in taka. In measuring this variable, total earning taka of an individual farmer was converted into 131 score. Farming experience of a farmer was determined by the total number of year involved in farming 132 activities. A score of one (1) was assigned for each year farming activities. Training exposure of a farmer 133 was determined by the total number of agricultural training received regarding farming activities. A score 134 of one (1) was assigned for each type of training attended. Extension media contact of a farmer was 135 measured by computing extension media contact score on the basis of their nature of contact with eight 136 extension media. Organizational participation of a respondent was computed on the basis of his/her 137 participation in different organizations. Agricultural knowledge of a farmer was measured by asking him/her 12 questions related to different components of agricultural production. It was measured 138 139 assigning weight 2 for each question. Climate change knowledge of a farmer was measured by asking him/her 10 questions related to different components of climate change. It was measured assigning 140 141 weight 3 for each guestion.

142

143 **2.5.2 Measurement of Dependent Variable**

144

Effect of climate change on agriculture was the dependent variable of the study. To reveal this effect of climate change on agriculture, the researcher considered four (04) components: change in the yield of cereal crops, change in the yield of vegetables, change in the yield of pulse crops and change in the adopted new varieties. All the major components were measured with the help of identified subcomponents. Each subcomponent was measured against the identified items, collected through the process of review of relevant literature, focused discussion with the officials, experts and experienced farmers. Effect of Climate Change (ECC) on agriculture was calculated by using the formula:

- 152
- 153 ECC = CYCC + CYV + CYPC + CANV
- 154 Where,

ECC = Effect of Climate Change on agriculture, CYCC= Change in the yield of cereal crops, CYV= Change in the yield of vegetables, CYPC= Change in the yield of pulse crops and CANV= Change in the adopted new varieties

- In each case, the effect was measured in difference-in-difference method. In this study, the difference between 2015 and 2017 was measured both for study and control group respondents. Finally, the study group was compared with the control group based on difference between 2015 and 2017 data record [14].
- 162

163 **2.6 Processing and Analysis of Data**

164

Both descriptive and analytical methods were employed in order to analyze the data. Descriptive techniques have been used to illustrate current situations, describe different variables separately and construct tables and graphs presented in results. These included: frequency distribution, percentage, range, mean, median and standard deviation. In most cases the opinions of respondents were grouped in broader categories. Statistical test like multiple regression analysis was run to determine the contribution of the selected characteristics of the farmers to their effect of climate change on agriculture in the saline prone areas of Bangladesh. The model used for this analysis can be explained as follows:

173 $Y_i = a + b_1x_1 + b_2x_2 + b_3x_3 + b_4x_4 + b_5x_5 + b_6x_6 + b_7x_7 + b_8x_8 + b_9x_9 + b_{10}x_{10} + b_{11}x_{11} + e$ (i=1, 2, 3, 4)

174 Where,

175 $Y_{i=1}$ is the change in yield of cereal crops, $Y_{i=2}$ is the change in yield of vegetables, $Y_{i=3}$ is the change in yield of pulse crops, $Y_{i=4}$ is the change in adopted new varieties

Of the independent variables, x_1 is the age of farmer, x_2 is level of education, x_3 is family size, x_4 is effective farm size, x_5 is annual family income, x_6 is farming experience, x_7 is training exposure, x_8 is extension media contact, x_9 is organizational participation, x_{10} is agricultural knowledge and x_{11} is knowledge on climate change. On the other hand, b_1 , b_2 , b_3 , b_4 , b_5 , b_6 , b_7 , b_8 , b_9 , b_{10} and b_{11} are regression coefficients of the corresponding independent variables, and e is random error, which is normally and independently distributed with zero mean and constant variance, and a is constant value of the regression equation.

184

185 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

186

187 **3.1 Characteristics of the Farmers**

188

There were various characteristics of the farmers that might have consequence to fight against climate change. But in this study, eleven characteristics of them were selected as independent variables that might greatly influence the effect of climate change on agriculture are presented below:

193 **3.1.1 Age**

194

Considering the recorded age farmers were classified into three categories namely 'young', 'middle' and 'old' aged following **MoYS [15]**. The distributions of the farmers in accordance of their age are presented in Table 3. Middle-aged farmers comprised the highest proportion (45.5 percent) followed by old aged category (38.6 percent) and the lowest proportion were made by the young aged category (15.9 percent). The middle and old aged farmers were generally more involved in farm activities than the young aged farmers. The researcher thinks that the results might be due to the inherited traits at the study area.

201 202

Table 3. Distribution of the farmers according to their age

Category	Basis of categorization	Observed range	Fa	rmers	Mean	SD
0,	(years)	(years)	Number	Percent	_	
Young aged	≤ 35		14	15.9		
Middle aged	36-50	27-65	40	45.5	45.61	0.20
Old aged	> 50		34	38.6	45.01	9.30
	Total		88	100.0	_	

203

204 3.1.2 Level of Education

205

Based on the educational scores, the farmers were classified into five categories. The distribution of farmers according to their level of education is presented in Table 4. Farmers under primary education category constitute the highest proportion (46.6 percent) followed by secondary education (35.2 percent). On the other hand, the lowest 1.1 percent in above secondary education category followed by can't read and sign category (4.5 percent) and 12.5 percent farmers were above can sign only category. The researcher thinks that the results might have due to the lack of torchbearer's effect at the study area.

212 213

Table 4. Distribution of the farmers according to their level of education

Category	Basis of categorization	Observed range	Fa	rmers	Mean	SD
	(score)	(score)	Number	Percent		
Can't read and sign	0	0-12	4	4.5	4.83	3.25

Can sign only	0.5	11	12.5
Primary education	1-5	41	46.6
Secondary education	6-10	31	35.2
Above secondary	>10	1	1.1
	Total	88	100.0

215 3.1.3 Family Size

216

According to family size, the farmers were classified into three categories (Mean ± Standard Deviation) viz. 'small', 'medium' and 'large' family. The distribution of the farmers according to their family size is presented in Table 5. Large family constitute the highest proportion (71.6 percent) followed by the medium size family (20.5 percent). Only 8.0 percent farmers had small family size. The findings indicated that average family size of the study area was smaller than the national average which is 4.85. The trend of nuclear family has been rising in the study area and subsequently the family member becoming smaller than the extended family.

224

225

Table 5. Distribution of the farmers according to their family size

Category	Basis of categorization	Observed	Farı	mers	Mean	SD
	(score)	range (score)	Number	Percent	-	
Small family	≤ 3 (Mean-1SD)		7	8.0		
Medium family	4-6 (Mean ± SD)	3-7	18	20.5	4.65	1.22
Large family	> 6 (Mean+1SD)	_	63	71.6	-	
	Total		88	100.0	-	

226

227 3.1.4 Effective Farm Size

228

Based on their farm size, the farmers were classified into five categories following the categorization according to **DAE [17]**. The distribution of the farmers according to their farm size is presented in Table 6. The medium farm holder constitutes the highest proportion (51.1 percent) followed by small farm holder (36.4 percent). The findings of the study reveal that most of the farmers were marginal to small sized farm holder. The average farm size of the farmers of the study area (1.39 ha) was higher than that of national average (0.60 ha) of Bangladesh. The researcher thinks that due to the enhancing the economic status of the farmers, farmers is likely to be motivated to buy land.

236

237

 Table 6. Distribution of the farmers according to their farm size

Category	Basis of	Observed	Fari	mers	Mean	SD
	categorization (ha)	range (ha)	Number	Percent		
Landless	≤ 0.02		0	0		
Marginal	0.021-0.20	-	3	3.4	-	
Small	0.21-1.00	0.12-5.35	32	36.4	1 20	1 10
Medium	1.01-3.0	-	45	51.1	1.59	1.10
Large	>3	-	8	9.1	-	
	Total		88	100.0		

²³⁸

239 3.1.5 Annual Family Income

240

241 On the basis of annual family income, the farmers were classified into three categories (national 242 standard) namely 'low', 'medium' and 'high' annual family income. The distribution of the farmers according to their annual family income is presented in Table 7. Data reveals that the farmers having medium annual income constituted the highest proportion (38.6 percent), while the lowest proportion was high income (25.0 percent) and low annual family income constituted by 36.4 percent farmers. Overwhelming majority (75. 0 percent) farmers have low to medium level annual family income. The researcher thinks that the results might have due to the climate changing effects on their farming production at the study area.

- 249
- 250

Table 7. Distribution of the farmers according to their annual family income

Category	Basis of categorization	Observed range ('000'	Far	mers	Mean	SD
	('000' Tk.)	Tk.)	Number	Percent	-	
Low income	≤ 120		32	36.4		
Medium income	121-250	60-540	34	38.6	107 75	107 20
High income	> 250	_	22	25.0	- 197.75	127.30
-	Total		88	100.00	-	

251

252 3.1.6 Farming Experience

253

Considering farming experience scores, the farmers were classified into three categories (Mean ± Standard Deviation) namely 'little, 'medium' and 'high' experience in cultivation. The distribution of the farmers according to their farming experience is presented in Table 8. The majority (64.8 percent) of the farmers fell under medium farming experience category, whereas only 19.3 percent in little farming experience category followed by 15.9 percent in high farming experience category. Around 84.1 percent of the farmers in the study area had low to medium farming experience.

260 261

 Table 8. Distribution of the farmers according to their farming experience

	Basis of	Observed	Far	mers		
Category	categorization (year)	range (year)	Number	Percent	Mean	SD
Little experience	≤ 12 (Mean-1SD)		17	19.3		
Medium experience	13-27 (Mean ±SD)	7-36	57	64.8	- 19.82	7.03
High experience	> 27 (Mean+1SD)	_	14	15.9	-	
	Total		88	100.0	-	

262

263 3.1.7 Training Exposure

264

Based on the training exposure score, the farmers were classified into four categories namely 'no training', 'low', 'medium' and 'high' training exposure. The distribution of the farmers according to their training exposure is presented in Table 9. Highest proportion (78.4 percent) of the farmers had medium training exposure compared to 14.8 percent in high training exposure and 6.8 percent in low training exposure category, respectively. Trained farmers show favorable behavior towards positive attitude in cultivation. The researcher thinks that the results might have due to the materialization of training program by different organizations at the study area.

272 273

Table 9. Distribution of the farmers according to their training exposure

Category	Basis of categorization	Observed range	Far	mers	Mean	SD
category	(score)	(score)	Number	Percent	mean	02
Low training	≤2	0-15	6	6.8	5.94	3.16

	(Mean-1SD)			
Medium training	3-9 (Mean ± SD)	_	69	78.4
High training	> 9 (Mean+1SD)	_	13	14.8
	Total		88	100.0

3.1.8 Extension Media Contact

276

277 The farmers were classified into three categories on the basis of their exposure to farm information through communication exposure scores and distribution of the three categories (Mean ± Standard 278 279 Deviation) namely 'low', 'medium' and 'high' extension media contact. Table reveals that majority of the farmers (79.5 %) had medium extension media contact. Finding also reveals that 11.4 percent of the 280 281 farmers had low extension media contact which demands for strengthening and improving the 282 communication strategy.

283 284

Table 10. Distribution of farmers according to their extension media contact

Catagory	Basis of	Observed	Far	mers	Mean	90
Calegory	(score)	range (score)	Number	Percent		30
Low contact	≤ 21		10	11.4	_ 23.97	1.94
LOW CONTACT	(Mean -1SD)	20-28				
Medium contact	22-26		70	70 5		
	(Mean ± SD)		70	79.5		
High contact	> 26		Q	0.1		
High contact	(Mean +1SD)		0	9.1	_	
	Total		88	100.0	-	

285

3.1.9 Organizational Participation 286

287

288 On the basis of organizational participation score, the farmers were classified into four categories namely 'no', 'low', 'medium' and 'high' organizational participation. The distribution of the farmers as per their 289 290 organizational participation is presented in Table 11. Data reveals that the highest proportion (50.0 291 percent) of the farmers had medium organizational participation, while 30.7 percent farmers had low organizational participation, 13.6 percent farmers had low organizational participation and the lowest 5.7 292 293 percent farmers had high organizational participation. The researcher thinks that the results might be logical because the farmers of the study area were busier in income generating activities. Hence, the high 294 organizational participation in the study area was low. 295

296 297

 Table 11. Distribution of the farmers according to their organizational participation

	Basis of	Observed	Farmers			
Category	categorization (score)	range (score)	Numbe r	Percent	Mean	SD
No participation	0		12	13.6	_	
Low participation	1 (Mean-1SD)		27	30.7		
Medium participation	2-4 (Mean ± SD)	0-7	44	50.0	1.89	1.38
High participation	> 4 (Mean+1SD)		5	5.7	-	
	Total		88	100.0		

299 3.1.10 Agricultural Knowledge

300

301 Agricultural knowledge scores of the farmers ranged from 13 to 21 against possible score of 0 to 24. 302 Based on the agricultural knowledge scores, the farmers were classified into three categories (Mean ± 303 Standard Deviation) namely poor, moderate and sound agricultural knowledge. Table reveals that 304 overwhelming majority (73.9 %) of the farmers had moderate agricultural knowledge, 20.5 percent had poor knowledge and the lowest 5.7 percent had sound agricultural knowledge. 305

306

307

Table 12. Distribution of the farmers according to their agricultural knowledge

Category	Basis of	Observed	Farmers		Moan	60
Calegoly	(score)	(score)	Number	Percent	Weath	30
Poor knowledge	≤ 16 (Mean-1SD)		18	20.5		
Moderate knowledge	17-20 (Mean ± SD)	13-21	65	73.9	17.95	1.70
Sound knowledge	> 20 (Mean+1SD)		5	5.7	-	
	Total		88	100.0	-	

308

309 3.1.11 Knowledge on Climate Change

310

311 Knowledge on climate change scores of the farmers ranged from 14 to 21 against possible score of 0 to 30. Based on the knowledge on climate change scores, the farmers were classified into three categories 312 (Mean ± Standard Deviation) namely poor, moderate and sound knowledge on climate change. Majority 313 (75.0 %) of the farmers had moderate knowledge on climate change. The researcher thinks that the 314 results might be due to having primary level of education among the farmers. 315

316 317

Table 13. Distribution of the farmers according to their knowledge on climate change

Category	Basis of	Observed	farmers		Moon	90
Category	(score)	(score)	Number	Percent	IVICALI	30
Poor knowledge	≤ 16 (Mean-1SD)		14	15.9		
Moderate knowledge	17-20 (Mean ±SD)	14-21	66	75.0	18.57	1.67
Sound knowledge	> 20 (Mean+1SD)	-	8	9.1	-	
	Total		88	100.0	-	

318

319 3.2 Effect on Agriculture due to Climate Change in the Saline Prone Areas

320

321 In order to measure the effect of climate change on agriculture, the agricultural production of the farmers of study group was compared with the control group. Negative significant production of the farmers of the 322 study group was observed which might be attributed to effect of climate change on agriculture. Effect of 323 324 climate change on agriculture was measured in four dimensions (Table 14). In this study, the difference 325 between 2015 and 2017 was measured both for study and control group respondents. Finally, the study 326 group was compared with the control group based on difference between 2015 and 2017 data record. 327 The changed result for the study is presented below. 328

3.2.1 Effect of Climate Change on Study Group vs Control Group 329

330

Study group farmers were considered them who cultivated field crops where they faced the climatic hazards and control group farmers were considered them who cultivated field crops where they did not face the climatic hazards. Study group changed mean score of agricultural production was found -4.62 while the control group gained only -3.89 (shown in Table 14).

335 336

Table 14. Distribution of study group and control group respondents' level of agricultural production

337

based on their changed value

SI. No.	Agriculture Indicators	Study Group (changed mean value differences)	Control Group (changed mean value differences)	t-test
1.	Yield of cereal crops	-2.23	-1.86	-12.03**
2.	Yield of vegetables	-0.56	-0.50	-6.18 **
3.	Yield of pulse crops	-0.73	-0.73	-9.80 **
4.	Adopted new varieties	-1.10	-0.80	-9.01**
	Total	-4.62	-3.89	-15.73 **
** t-value at	t 1% significant level			

³³⁸ 339

Effect of climate change on agriculture = Mean score of study group agricultural production - Mean score of control group agricultural production = -4.62 - (-3.89) = -0.73

The score of effect of climate change on agriculture found -0.73. So, there was a negative effect of climate change on agriculture.

344

345 3.2.2 Effect of Climate Change on Agriculture in the Saline Prone Areas 346

On the basis of effect of climate change observed range on agriculture in the saline prone areas, the respondents were categorized into three categories namely negative, no and positive effect as shown in table 15. Table shows that 65.9 percent of the farmers had negative effect, 0 percent had no effect and 34.1 percent had positive effect of climate change on agriculture. Thus, an overwhelming majority (65.9 percent) of the farmers had negative effect of climate change on agriculture.

352 353

 Table 15. Distribution of the respondents according to effect of climate change on agriculture

Category	Basis of	Basis of Observed		mers	Mean	SD
Category	(score)	(score)	Number	Percent	mouri	00
Negative effect	-12 to -1		58	65.9		
No effect	0		0	0	1 55	4 4 2
Positive effect	1 to 12	—	30	34.1	-1.55	- 4.43
	Total		88	100.0		

354

355 **3.3 Factors Related to the Effect of Climate Change on Agriculture**

356

In order to assess the factors contributing to the effect of climate change on agriculture, multiple
 regression analysis was conducted.

359

360 3.3.1 Factors Related to the Change in the Yield of Cereal Crops361

Table 16 shows that there is a significant contribution of respondents' age, level of education, training exposure, agricultural knowledge, knowledge on climate change while coefficients of other selected variables don't have any significant contribution on change in yield of cereal crops as well as effect of climate change on agriculture in the saline prone areas of Bangladesh. The value R² 0.422 means that independent variables accounts for 42% of the variation in change in yield of cereal crops as well as

effect of climate change on agriculture. The b-values indicate the individual contribution of each predictor 367 368 to the model. Almost all predictors have negative b-values indicates if scores/ values of predictors (e.g. 369 level of education) increases so do the extent of change in yield of cereal crops as vice-versa. Therefore, 370 the b-value of training exposure is negative value (-0.228). So, it can be stated that as training exposure 371 increase by one unit, change in yield of cereal crops decrease by 0.228 units. This interpretation is true 372 only if the effects of all other predictors are held constant. However, each predictor may explain some of the variance in respondents' change in yield of cereal crops conditions simply by chance. In summary, the 373 models suggest that the NGOs and DAE should consider farmers' age, level of education, training 374 375 exposure, agricultural knowledge and knowledge on climate change while offering and implementing any sustainable agricultural development program. 376

377

378 **Table 16.** Multiple regression coefficients of contributing factors related to change in the yield of cereal

crops

5/5

Р
•
0.003**

380 381

382 **3.3.2 Factors Related to the Change in the Yield of Vegetables**

383 Table 17 shows that there is a significant contribution of respondents' level of education, farming 384 experience, training exposure and knowledge on climate change while coefficients of other selected 385 variables don't have any significant contribution on change in yield of vegetables as well as effect of 386 climate change on agriculture in the saline prone areas of Bangladesh. The value R² 0.390 means that 387 independent variables accounts for 39% of the variation in change in yield of vegetables. The b-values 388 indicate the individual contribution of each predictor to the model. Almost all predictors have negative b-389 values indicates if scores/ values of predictors (e.g. level of education) increases so do the extent of 390 change in yield of vegetables as vice-versa. Therefore, the b-value of knowledge on climate change is 391 negative value (-0.279). So, it can be stated that as knowledge on climate change increase by one unit, 392 393 change in yield of vegetables decrease by 0.279 units. This interpretation is true only if the effects of all 394 other predictors are held constant. However, each predictor may explain some of the variance in 395 respondents' change in yield of vegetables conditions simply by chance. In summary, the models suggest that the NGOs and DAE should consider farmers' level of education, farming experience, training 396 exposure and knowledge on climate change for offering program to increase the vegetable production. 397 398

Table 17. Multiple regression coefficients of contributing factors related to change in the yield of

4	0	0	
-	v	υ.	

399

vegetables

Dependent variable	Independent variables	В	Р	R ²	Adj. R ²	F	Р
	Age	.004	.872				
	Level of education	058	.001**				
	Family size	.016	.890	-			
	Effective farm size	061	.674				
	Annual family income	001	.506	-			
	Farming experience	-1.48	.018*				
Change in yield	Training exposure	060	.000**	0 300	0 372	18 684 0 009**	
of vegetables	Extension media contact	.088	.278		0.000		
	Organizational participation	.052	.481				
	Agricultural knowledge	.057	.502				
	Knowledge on	279	.025*				

⁴⁰²

403 **3.3.3 Factors Related to the Change in the Yield of Pulse Crops**

405

Table 18 shows that there is a significant contribution of respondents' level of education, annual family 405 income, training exposure, agricultural knowledge and knowledge on climate change while coefficients of 406 other selected variables don't have any significant contribution on change in yield of pulse crops as well 407 408 as effect of climate change on agriculture in the saline prone areas of Bangladesh. The value R² 0.586 409 means that independent variables accounts for 58% of the variation in effect of climate change on 410 agriculture. The b-values indicate the individual contribution of each predictor to the model. Almost all 411 predictors have negative b-values indicates if scores/ values of predictors (e.g. level of education) increases so do the extent of change in yield of pulse crops as vice-versa. Therefore, the b-value of 412 413 agricultural knowledge is negative value (-0.273). So, it can be stated that as agricultural knowledge increase by one unit, change in yield of pulse crops decrease by 0.273 units. This interpretation is true 414 415 only if the effects of all other predictors are held constant. However, each predictor may explain some of the variance in respondents' climate change in yield of pulse crops simply by chance. In summary, the 416 417 models suggest that the NGOs and DAE should consider farmers' level of education, annual family income, training exposure, agricultural knowledge and knowledge on climate change for offering program 418 to increase the pulse crop production. 419

420

421 **Table 18.** Multiple regression coefficients of contributing factors related to change in the yield of pulse

4	2	2

Dependent variable	Independent variables	В	Р	R ²	Adj. R ²	F	Ρ
Change in yield of pulse crops	Age	.014	.542			21.574	
	Level of education	022	.000**		0.568		0.000**
	Family size	.071	.536				
	Effective farm size	.108	.448	0.586			
	Annual family income	251	.043*	-			
	Farming	.000	.990	_			

crops

	experience			
	Training exposure	104	.002**	
-	Extension media contact	.115	.151	
	Organizational participation	.018	.806	
	Agricultural knowledge	273	.037*	
	Knowledge on climate change	312	.007**	

423 ** significant at P = 0.01; * significant at P = 0.05

424

425 **3.3.4 Factors Related to the Change in the Adopted New Varieties**

426

Table 19 shows that there is a significant contribution of respondents' level of education, farming 427 experience, training exposure and knowledge on climate change while coefficients of other selected 428 variables don't have any contribution on change in adopted new varieties as well as effect of climate 429 change on agriculture in the saline prone areas of Bangladesh. The value R² 0.493 means that 430 431 independent variables accounts for 49% of the variation in effect of climate change on agriculture. The b-432 values indicate the individual contribution of each predictor to the model. Almost all predictors have 433 negative b-values indicates if scores/ values of predictors (e.g. level of education) increases so do the extent of change in adopted new varieties as vice-versa. However, each predictor may explain some of 434 435 the variance in respondents' effect of climate change on agriculture conditions simply by chance. In 436 summary, the models suggest that the NGOs and DAE should consider farmers' level of education, 437 farming experience, training exposure and knowledge on climate change for offering program to adopt 438 new varieties.

439

440 **Table 19.** Multiple regression coefficients of contributing factors related to change in the adopted new

varieties

•	л	1
1	/1	
-	-	ж.

Dependent variable	Independent variables	В	Р	R ²	Adj. R ²	F	Р				
	Age	.008	.794	_).478 7.713	0.000**				
	Level of education	381	.000**								
	Family size	.039	.800								
	Effective farm size	.155	.426								
	Annual family income	001	.409								
Chango in	Farming experience	611	.006**	_							
adopted new	Training exposure	082	.033*	0 493	0 478						
varieties	Extension media contact	.176	.106	- 0.400	0.470						
	Organizational participation	003	.972								
	Agricultural knowledge	.004	.975	_							
	Knowledge on climate change	413	.001**	-							
** significant at $P = 0.01$	$\frac{1}{2}$ * significant at $P = 0.05$										

442 443

445

444 **4. CONCLUSION**

445

From the results it could be concluded that the composite effect of climate change on agriculture needs to be minimized. It is, therefore, recommended that an effective step should be taken by the Department of 448 Agricultural Extension (DAE) and Non-Government Organizations (NGOs) for strengthening the farmers' 449 gualities in favor of mitigating effect of climate change on agriculture in the saline prone areas. The old 450 and medium aged farmers faced more effect of climate change on their agriculture. So, the extension 451 workers should work with them for minimizing the effect of climate change on agriculture. Conclusion 452 could be drawn that the farmers could be more ameliorated in all aspects of socio-economic of life if 453 government takes more educational projects (like night school, adult education and so on) to make them 454 more educated. It is concluded that high annual family income, farming experience and training exposure 455 encouraged the farmers to mitigate effect of climate change on agriculture. Therefore, it is recommended 456 that the extension workers should work with experienced farmers and; motivate them to enhance the 457 annual income and to participate in training program which would help to reduce effect of climate change on agriculture. Conclusion could be drawn that agricultural knowledge and knowledge on climate change 458 of the farmers had influenced to reduce the effect of climate change on agriculture. Hence, it is 459 recommended that actions should be taken for increasing the agricultural knowledge and the knowledge 460 461 on climate change of the farmers by the concerned authorities through the non-formal educational 462 program.

COMPETING INTERESTS 463

464

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist. 465

466 467

473

476

477

478 479

486

496

REFERENCES 468

- 469 470 1. United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change. UNFCCC status of ratification. Bonn: 471 UNFCCC. 2001. Retrieved from: www.unfccc.int 472
 - 2. Upreti, D.C. Rising atmospheric CO2 and crop response. SASCOM Scientific Report, World Climate News. 1999; 1-8.
- 3. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. The science of climate change. Summary of Policy 474 Maker, Working Group 1. IPCC Second Assessment Synthesis. 1995. 475
 - Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics, Statistical vearbook of Bangladesh, Statistics Division, Ministry of Planning, Government of People's Republic of Bangladesh, Dhaka. 2015.
 - 5. Bangladesh Economic Census. Finance Division, Ministry of Finance, Government of the People's Republic of Bangladesh. 2016.
- 480 6. Silwal, P. Assessment of climate change vulnerabilities and adaptation option for sustainable 481 livelihood: A case study of Baglung Municipality, Nepal. A Project Paper Submitted for B.Sc. 482 Institute of Forestry, TU. 2009. 483
- 7. Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics. Statistical yearbook of Bangladesh, Statistics Division, Ministry of Planning, Government of People's Republic of Bangladesh, Dhaka. 2016. 484 485
 - 8. Dahal, H. and Khanal, D. Food security and climate change adaptation framework: issues and challenges. 2010. Retrieved from: www.moac.gov.np
- 9. Pathak, SK. Climate potential and on-farm yield trends of rice and wheat in the indo gangatic 487 plains field crops. J. of Climate Res. 2003; 80:223-234. 488 489
 - 10. Yamane, T. Statistics: An introductory analysis. 2nd Ed. New York: Harper and Rao; 1967.
- 11. Mazumder, MSU. and Lu, W. What effect does microfinance have on rural livelihood? A 490 491 comparison of governmental and non-governmental programs in Bangladesh. World Dev. 2015; 492 68: 336-354.
- 493 12. Rashid, SMM. Empowering farmers through e-Agriculture. MS (Ag. Ext.) Thesis. Department of Agricultural Extension and Information System, Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka-494 1207.2014. 495
 - 13. Bryman, A. Social research methods. 1st Ed. Oxford: Oxford Univ. Press. 2001.
- 14. Mazumder, MSU. and Lu, W. What effect does microfinance have on rural livelihood? A 497 498 comparison of governmental and non-governmental programs in Bangladesh. World Dev. 2015; 499 68: 336-354.
- 15. Ministry of Youth and Sports. The population category in Bangladesh. Government of the 500 People's Republic of Bangladesh. 2012. Retrieved from: http://www.movsports.gov.bd/ 501

- 16. Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics. Statistical yearbook of Bangladesh, Statistics Division, Ministry of Planning, Government of People's Republic of Bangladesh, Dhaka. 2014. 17. Department of Agricultural Extension. Agriculture extension manual. Ministry of Agriculture, 504
 - Government of the People's Republic of Bangladesh. 1999. Retrieved from: http://www.dae.gov.bd/

505

506