Micronutrient Biofortification in Pulses: an Agricultural Approach

5 ABSTRACT

1 2

3

4

More than two billion global populations are malnourished. In India, 25% of the total children are 6 suffering from protein energy malnutrition. India ranks 103rd among the 119 country in Global Hunger 7 Index (GHI). Though world level hunger declined from 29.2 in 2000 to 20.9 in 2018, the figures still holds 8 9 a deadly future. Micronutrient malnutrition is a very serious problem mostly affecting children and women 10 in the country. The impact is highly seen in poor and landless rural people who can't afford diverse food or supplement in their diet with needed nutrition. Among consumed food, pulses are the cheapest source 11 of protein, vitamins and micronutrients and can be supplied to the people through daily diet. 12 13 Biofortification in pulses through agronomic, breeding and microbial intervention can increase the level of 14 bioavailable micronutrients especially Zn and Fe in the final food products. This paper focuses on the role 15 of micronutrients on human health and various mechanisms to get nutrient rich staple food along with 16 main emphasis on biofortification.

17 Keywords: Biofortification, Pulse, Micronutrient, Malnutrition, Hunger

18 1. INTRODUCTION

Worldwide more than two billion people or one in every three persons is spotted to be troubled 19 20 with multiple micronutrient deficiencies (FAO, 2015). Growing children are grievously affected by nutrient 21 deficiency compared to adults as their requirement changes according to growth and developmental 22 phages (Prieto and Cid, 2011). In Kolhapur district, 40% children between the age group of 8-9 years are 23 micronutrient deficient (iron in 38.8% and fluoride in 36.6% respectively) (Bharati et al 2018) and globally 24 it is 22% (GNR, 2018). At all India level 18 percent infants had a birth weight of less than 2.5kg, 38% 25 children below five years were under-weight, 28% mild, 29% moderately and 2% severely anaemic 26 (NFHS- 4, 2015-16). Malnutrition caused by vitamins and minerals also known as "Hidden hunger". 27 which don't give any visual symptom usually. As per GHI 2018, India ranked 103rd among 119 countries and world-wide level of hunger declines from 29.2 in 2000 to 20.9 in 2018. Micronutrient deficiencies are 28 29 the fountainhead of various health issues like poor neurological function, impaired eye sight, diabetes, hypertension, week immunity, diarrhea, food allergies, thinning hair, leaky gut, acne or rashes (Lynch and 30

31 Green 2001; Beard 2001; Shankar and Prasad 1998; Gilbert and Foster 2001; Stein et al., 2005). Those 32 deficiencies are attributable to less intake of guality diet enriched with protein, vitamins and minerals 33 (Bhatnagar et al., 2011 and Bouis and Saltzman, 2017). Increased price of non staple commodities is 34 one of the important reasons of decreasing dietary quality especially to resource poor people (Bouis et 35 al., 2011). In developing countries agricultural products are the prime source of nutrition (Graham et al., 36 2001; Schneeman, 2001). Main concern of green revolution was laid on yield increase not on quality food 37 production. And it scale down soil productivity accompanied by less nutritive food grain production 38 (Bhatnagar et al., 2011). Micronutrient rich vegetables, pulses and animal products have also not been increased in last fifty years (Bouis and Saltzman, 2017). Possible ways to combat those deficiencies 39 40 encircle dietary diversification (healthy balance diet), food fortification, biofortification and 41 supplementation (Allen et al. 2006). Biofortification is the process of increasing nutrient concentration in 42 plant edible parts by fertilization (agronomic intervention), breeding approaches or microbes (White and 43 Broadley, 2005). whereas fortification is nutrient enrichment during processing 44 (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Food fortification). Biofortification is an effective strategy in long run to 45 overcome the current situation as it is more cost effective, sustainable and practical one to reach poorest of the poor population (Meenakshi et al., 2010, Hoddinott et al., 2013, Garcia-Banuelos et al., 2014). 46 47 Besides guality enhancement, micronutrient has some added advantages like yield increase, biomass 48 enhancement and disease control in micronutrient deficient soils (Hussain et al., 2010). A healthy 49 balance diet must include pulses as they are rich source of energy, protein, dietary fibre and also 50 content considerable amount of vitamins and minerals like thiamin, riboflavin, pyridoxine, folic acid, 51 vitamin E and K, zinc, iron etc (Ofuya and Akhidue, 2005; Thavarajah et al., 2011; Johnson et al., 2005). 52 So, pulses can be considered as good option for biofortification to provide nutritious food sustainably 53 (Thavarajah et al., 2011).

54

2. ROLE OF MICRONUTRIENTS ON HUMAN HEALTH

Iron plays key role in haemoglobin formation and oxygen transport (Underwood and Suttle, 1999).
Iron deficiency exerts influence on learning ability (CDC 2010), immune system (Fiall, 2003), ability to
work (Viteri, 1974) and cognitive development (Bread and Connor, 2003). Its deficiency is also associated
with anemia and pregnancy related issues like mortality, low birth weight etc (CDC 2010).

59 Zinc requirement get larger during pregnancy and puberty. Zinc deficiency curtails physical 60 growth and development of children (Brown *et al.*, 2002). Gastrointestinal, central nervous, epidermal, 61 immune, skeletal, and reproductive systems are known to be affected by zinc deficiency (Hambidge and 62 Walravens, 1982). The daily requirement of Zn and Fe varies with the age of people (Table 1).

Selenium is a good source of antioxidant which narrow down heart and skin diseases, cancer,
alzheimer, (Elahi *et al.* 2009; Marksbery and Lovell, 2006; Klaunig and Kamendulis 2004; Cui *et al.*, 2012;

- 65 Shirley *et al.*, 2014), thyroid (Ventura *et al.*, 2017), asthma (Norton and Hoffmann, 2012). Patients having
- tuberculosis, influenza and hepatitis C delineated to be benefited by selenium (Steinbrenner *et al.*, 2015).

Table 1. Daily requirements of Zn and Fe in Indian context (ICMR, 2010)

	Group	Recommended Daily Allowance (mg day		
		Zinc	Iron	
Adult men		12	21	
Adult women	Normal	10	17	
	Pregnant	12	35	
	1-3 Years	5	9	
Children	4-6 Years	7	13	
	7-9 Years	8	16	
Adolescents	Boys	11-12	21-28	
	Girls	9-12	26-27	

68

69 3. CRITERIA OF BIOFORTIFIED CROP

- 70 Bouis and Welch (2010) suggested the following criteria to be a potential biofortified crop.
- High Yielding: Crop productivity must be maintained.
- Effective: The increased level of micronutrient must have significant positive impact on human.
- Stable: Increased level of micronutrients in crop must be stable year after year.
- Good Taste And Cooking Quality

75 4. POTENTIAL WAYS OF BIOFORTIFICATION

- Agronomic intervention
- Breeding intervention
- 78 Microbial intervention

79 4.1 AGRONOMIC INTERVENTIONS

80 Agronomic biofortification is the application of micronutrients via chemical fertilizer with the aid of 81 foliar application, soil application, seed priming and seed coating of fertilizers to increase the 82 bioavailability of nutrients in edible plant parts (De Valença et al., 2017). Several factors like source of 83 fertilizer, quantity of fertilizer and time and methods of application regulate the nutrient intake to the 84 edible plant parts and it's bioavailability to the consumer (Singh and Prasad, 2014, Rietra et al., 2015). 85 Micronutrient amendment in soil is a useful strategy to increase micronutrient quantity in crop (Manzeke 86 et al., 2012; Vanlauwe et al., 2015 and Voortman and Bindraban, 2015). Among the different methods of 87 application, foliar application is more efficient (Lawson et al., 2015) as it can manage soil immobilization (Garcia-Banuelos et al., 2014) and quick availability of nutrients to the crop. Hidoto et al. (2017) reported 88 85 g ha⁻¹ grain zinc yield with foliar application in chickpea which was significantly higher than soil 89 application (71 g ha⁻¹) and priming (68 g ha⁻¹). Combined application in both soil and foliar often showed 90 91 better results (Phattarakul et al., 2012). Other biofortification methods like seed priming and seed 92 coating are spotted to give very infrequent result (Duffner et al., 2014). Johnson et al. (2005) found that 93 seed priming with both B and Zn increased the seed Zn and B content of chickpea and lentil respectively 94 (table 2). Zinc and selenium biofortification is most fruitful with agronomic interventions (Cakmak, 2014).

95 Table 2. Effect of seed priming on Zn, B and Mo content of chickpea and lentil

	Seed content (mg kg ⁻¹)					
Treatments	Chickpea			Lentil		
	Zn	В	Мо	Zn	В	Мо
(purchased)	40	9	3	50	6	2
water	60	10	4	50	6	2
В	60	100	3	50	100	2
Zn	700	7	3	630	5	2
1/2(B + Zn)**	400	50	2	400	50	2
B + Zn	800	80	3	660	100	2
B, 12 h	40	100	3			
Zn, 12 h	500	8	2			
Мо	60	4	300			

96

(Source: Johnson et al., 2005) **Priming times were 8 h and 12 h for chickpea and lentil respectively. Solutions used were 0.004M ZnSO₄·7H₂O (for Zn), 0.008 M H₃BO₃(for B), 0.0026M Na₂MoO₄·2H₂O (for 97 Mo).

98

99 **4.1.1 ZINC FORTIFICATION**

100 Application of zinc to the pulse crops greatly helps in enhancing the level of zinc in harvested 101 (economic) plant parts. Zinc fertilization increases bioavailability of Zn in human by increasing phytate 102 content (Hussain et al., 2013). Guillén-Molina et al. (2016) concluded that application of zinc chelate (7 and 14 mM L⁻¹ of Zn-EDTA) increase grain zinc and iron concentration in cowpea. Shivay et al. (2015) 103 104 reported that foliar spray of zinc at three different stages of chickpea had significant influence on zinc 105 uptake both in grain and straw during 2011-12 and 2012-13 (Table 3). Foliar spray of Zn-EDTA at active 106 vegetative, flowering and grain filling stages had greatest crop recovery of applied Zn (17.33%) during 107 2011-12 (table 2). Zinc fertilization improves zinc bioavailability in bean and pea (Cakmak et al. 2010, 108 Zhang et al. 2010). Zinc content in seed helps in significant liner increase of protein biosynthesis (Martre 109 et al. 2003). Maximum Fe content was recorded with application of 50µM Zn-DTPA (183.7±2.16 ppm) and 100 µM ZnSO₄ (197.9±3.45 ppm) whereas highest Zn with 100µM Zn-DTPA (46.3±3.87 ppm) and 100 110 µM ZnSO₄ (49.6±2.54 ppm) of bean in hydroponic situation (Table 4). Hidoto et al. (2016) stated that 111 maximum grain Zn content and Zn yield in chickpea were noted in soil application of 25 kg ha⁻¹ Zn which 112 113 had an advantage of 7% over control (table 5).

114	Table 3. Zinc content by grain and straw of Chickpea
-----	--

Treatment	Zn uptake in grain (g ha ⁻¹)		Zn uptake in straw (g ha ⁻¹)	
	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13
Check (no Zn)	78.5	71.3	78.0	68.5
ZnSHH soil at 5 kg Zn ha ⁻¹	102.3	93.9	104.2	93.9
ZnSHH one spray (V)	96.3	87.9	103.3	92.8
ZnSHH two sprays (V + F)	112.3	103.2	128.6	116.2
ZnSHH, three sprays (V + F + G)	124.9	114.8	166.8	152.0
Zn-EDTA soil at 2.5 kg Zn ha ^{−1}	102.7	93.9	114.5	103.5
Zn-EDTA one spray (V)	98.8	90.9	117.0	106.0
Zn-EDTA two sprays (V + F)	125.4	115.8	139.2	126.6
Zn-EDTA three sprays (V + F + G)	162.8	135.4	181.0	148.9
LSD (P = 0.05)	14.93	15.52	10.45	20.25

- 115 ZnSHH= Zn sulfate hepta hydrate V= active vegetative stage, F= flowering stage, G= grain filling stage (Source:
- **116** Shivay *et a.,l* 2015)

Dose	Micronutrient concentration		
Zn-DTPA (µM)	Fe	Zn	
0	146.5±0.41	28.4±1.12	
25	174.4±1.45	45.7±2.35	
50	183.7±2.16	42.8±3.55	
100	153.0±1.63	46.3±3.87	
ZnSO₄ (µM)	Fe	Zn	
0	146.5±0.41	28.4±1.12	
25	189.2±2.89	42.3±3.11	
50	162.1±2.03	42.6±2.87	
100	197.9±3.45	49.6±2.54	

117 Table 4. Iron and zinc concentration of bean in hydroponic situation

118 Source: (Sida-Arreola et al., 2017)

119 Table 5. Effect of zinc sulphate soil application on Chickpea

Zn rate	Straw Zn	Grain Zn	Zn yield
ZnSO7H_O (kg ha ⁻¹)	(mg kg ⁻¹)	(mg kg ⁻¹)	(g ha ⁻¹)
0	20.63	37.05	91.0
5	20.48	37.54	98.3
10	23.24	34.20	87.7
15	22.15	33.11	86.2
20	21.82	35.52	86.3
25	21.57	39.55	99.7
30	22.31	39.18	98.0

120 Source: Hidoto *et al.*, 2016.

121 4.1.2 IRON FORTIFICATION

122 Iron is another most important micronutrient which improves human health. Supply of iron through 123 fortification of pulses is helpful and economic for major portion of Indian population. Iron content of cowpea bean seed increased 29.4% with application of 100µM L⁻¹ ferrous sulphate and 32% with 50µM L⁻ 124 ¹ ferrous chelate over control (Mirguez- Quiroz et al., 2015). Ali et al. (2014) observed that application of 125 1.5% FeSO₄ at branching and flowering resulted 55%, 66% and 81% increase in iron content in leaf, stem 126 and grain in mungbean over control respectively (Table 6). Khalid et al. (2015) reported that application of 127 PGPR along with iron (@ 5.6 kg ha⁻¹) resulted grain, root and shoot iron content 4.6 mg, 3.16 mg and 1.7 128 129 mg in 100 g chickpea seed respectively (Table 7). According to Salih (2013), foliar fertilization of 2 ppm Fe and 2 ppm Zn reported maximum increase in Fe (154 mg kg⁻¹) and Zn (42 mg kg⁻¹) content of cowpea 130

- 131 seed respectively (Table 8). Nandan *et al.* (2018) pointed out that foliar spray of Fe @ 0.05% along with
- 132 recommended dose of fertilizer resulted significantly higher iron content in seed (66.46 mg kg⁻¹) and
- 133 stover (66.83 mg kg⁻¹) whereas, maximum zinc content in seed (44.98 mg kg⁻¹) and straw (44.08 mg kg⁻¹)
- 134 was noted with Zn (0.5%) and Fe (0.05%).

135	Table 6, Iron content in leaves.	stems and	grains in	mungbean
100		Sterns una	grams m	mangscan

Treatment	Iron	content (mç	j kg⁻¹)
	Leaves	Stems	Grains
Control	511.37	380.07	78.50
0.5% FeSO₄ at branching	601.73	470.42	90.43
0.5% FeSO ₄ at flowering	623.70	488.17	96.10
0.5% FeSO ₄ at branching + 0.5% FeSO ₄ at flowering	675.43	520.24	101.50
1.0% FeSO₄ at branching	654.07	515.22	96.83
1.0% FeSO₄ at flowering	668.37	505.16	99.60
1.0% FeSO ₄ at branching + 1.0% FeSO ₄ at flowering	717.17	585.54	127.80
1.5% FeSO₄ at branching	672.60	550.33	115.73
1.5% FeSO₄ at flowering	698.70	559.51	121.43
1.5% FeSO ₄ at branching + 1.5% FeSO ₄ at flowering	794.90	634.27	146.43

136 Source: Ali *et al.*, 2014

137 Table 7. Iron uptake in different plant parts of chickpea

Treatment	Fe Concentration (mg 100 g ⁻¹)			
	Grains	Shoot	Root	
Absolute control	1.20	0.66	0.14	
Fe @ 5.6 kg ha ⁻¹	2.40	1.80	0.86	
S1	3.26	2.23	1.40	

S2	3.30	2.50	1.30
S3	3.36	2.26	1.33
S4	3.20	2.36	1.36
S5	3.40	2.40	1.30
S1+Fe @ 5.6 kg ha ⁻¹	3.60	2.73	1.70
S2+Fe @ 5.6 kg ha ⁻¹	4.36	3.16	1.56
S3+Fe @ 5.6 kg ha ⁻¹	3.50	2.80	1.50
S4+Fe @ 5.6 kg ha ⁻¹	3.53	2.70	1.50
S5+Fe @ 5.6 kg ha ⁻¹	3.63	2.63	1.46

138 Source: Khalid et al., 2015

Table 8: Effect of foliar fertilization on Fe, B and Zn content of cowpea 139

	Treatment	Fe	В	Zn
			Mg kg ⁻¹	
	Control, 0 pmm	40.00	16.00	8.00
	Fe, 1 ppm	90.00	31.00	25.00
	Fe, 2 ppm	154.00	47.00	42.00
	B, 1 ppm	51.00	31.00	18.00
	B, 2 ppm	58.00	40.00	24.00
	Zn, 1 ppm	47.00	26.00	13.00
	Zn, 2 ppm	50.00	37.00	17.00
Tukey's	Treatment and concentration	1.28	1.35	1.35
HSD	Interaction	2.61	2.94	2.94

140

Source: Salih, 2013

141 **4.1.3 SELENIUM FORTIFICATION**

142 Selenium fertilization by means of inorganic fertilizer results increased selenium concentration in diet (White and Broadley, 2009; Alfthan *et al.*, 2015). Unlike selenite (SeO₃²⁻), selenate (SeO₄²⁻) provides 143 immediate availability to plants when added to soil (Broadley et al., 2006; Fordyce, 2013; Pilbeam et al., 144

145 2015). Selenium foliar application increases concentration in pea and common bean from 21 μ g kg⁻¹ to 146 743 μ g kg⁻¹ (Smrkolj *et al.*, 2005) and 30 to 2379 μ g kg⁻¹ (Smrkolj *et al.*, 2007) respectively.

Further credibility of agronomic biofortification requires much more research on micronutrient
 bioavailability, including metabolic pathways that affect absorption and health benefits of different
 chemical forms of micronutrients.

150 **4.2 BREEDING INTERVENTIONS**

151 When utilizable genetic variability is present in a species then genetic biofortification is conductible, but when there is no variability, transgenic approaches are well qualified (Garg et al., 2018). 152 153 Initially reduction of Phytic acid and polyphenols are used to be the fundamental approach of 154 biofortification as these compounds are known to narrow down iron bioavailability. But recent studies 155 implies that priority should be given to increase iron concentration rather than Phytic acid and Plyphenol 156 reduction because those also have some beneficial properties and resist cancer cell (Pixley et al., 2011, Murgia et al., 2012). Zein protein over expression on soybean increases methionine and cysteine content 157 (Dinkins et al., 2001) and methionine content by cystathionine y-synthase (Song et al., 2013, Hanafy et 158 159 al., 2013). Increase in beta carotene and oleic acid in soybean has been attended by introducing bacterial PSY gene (Schmidt et al., 2015) and siRNA-mediated gene silencing had been used to reduce 160 161 α-linolenic acids (Flores et al., 2008). Similarly, linoleic acid and palmitic acid content of soybean was reduced by antisense RNA technology (Zhang et al., 2014). Storage albumin of Brazil nut which is rich 162 163 source of methionine has been used to increase common bean methionine content (Aragao et al., 1999) whereas, lupines methionine has been intensified by albumin of Sunflower (Molvig et al., 1997). A 164 sensitive approach to understand the escalated zinc uptake is DNA strand breakage (King et al., 2015). 165

166 Field trials regarding genetic effect on selenium concentration reported significant difference 167 among genotypes (Thavarajah et al., 2010; Garrett et al., 2013; Ray et al., 2014). 94 pea genotypes were grown in Saskatchewan field (University of Saskatchewan) and not a single nucleotide 168 169 polymorphism (SNP) marker was noted to affect seed Se concentration (Diapari et al., 2015). In 170 contrast, lentil and chickpea revealed genotypic variation associated with selenium concentration in Saskatchewan (Thavarajah et al., 2008 ; Thavarajah, 2012; Ray et al., 2014; Rahman et al., 2015). Field 171 172 experiments conducted in Morocco, Nepal, Syria, Australia and Turkey were also ensured significant 173 genetic variance in lentil Se concentration (Thavarajah et al., 2011). Mungbean (Nair et al., 2015) and 174 soybean (Yang et al., 2003) also shown genetic variation. Bean has a potential to increase zinc content 175 by 50% and iron by 60-80% as it evidence high heritability in zinc and iron content (Blair et al., 2009; 176 Beebe et al., 2000; Petry et al., 2015).

177 4.3 MICROBIAL INTERVENTIONS

178 Phytoavailability of micronutrients can be increased by soil microorganisms like Rhizobium, Bacillus, Pseudomonas etc (Rengel et al., 1999; Smith, 2007). PGPR can be an alternate approach to 179 180 biofortify pulses as it increases disease resistance (Phi et al., 2010; Dary et al., 2010), solubility of 181 phosphorus (Richardson, 2001; Wani, 2007) and root growth (Glick, 1995, Zhang et al., 2010). But the 182 implication of PGPR and other microorganisms in biofortification of pulses are sparse (De et al., 2011). 183 Rhizobacteria produce siderophores which promote iron fortification in crop as well as revamps soil fertility directly by enhancing iron availability at rhizosphere or indirectly by reducing pathogen effect 184 185 (Rana et al., 2012; Srivastava et al., 2013).

186 Grain protein concentration of chickpea ranged from 180 to 309 mg g⁻¹ with inoculation of 187 *Bacillus* PSB1 and *M. ciceri* RC3 + *A. chroococcum* A4 + *Bacillus* PSB10 respectively with 25% yield 188 advantage (Wani, 2007).

Fungi and bacteria improves bioavailability of zinc at rhizosphere zone (Fasim *et al.*, 2002; Biari *et al.*, 2008) due to decline in soil pH (Koide and Kabir, 2000; Subramanian *et al.*, 2000), chelation (Whiting *et al.*, 2001) and increased root sphere (Burkert and Robson, 1994).

Some biofortified pulse crop varieties were released across the world helping to combat the present situation of malnutrition and hidden hunger of mineral nutrients among the people (table 9 and 10).

Country	Variation	Conter	nt (ppm)
Country	Variety	Fe	Zn
	Barimusur-4	86.2	
Densladaah	Barimusur-5	86	59
Bangladesh	Barimusur-6	86	63
	Barimusur-7	81	
	Sisir	98	64
	Khajurah-2	100.7	59
Nepai	Khajurah-1		58
	Shekhar	83.4	
	Pusa Vaibhav	102	
India	L4704	125	74
	IPL 220	73-114	51-64

195Table 9. Several Lentil released varieties that possess high iron and zinc levels (The 2nd Global196Conference on Biofortification: Getting Nutritious Foods to People, Ashutosh Sarker (ICARDA))

	Pusa Ageti Masoor	65.0	
Syria	Idlib-2	73	
	Idlib-3	72	
Ethiopia	Alemaya	82	66

197 Table 10. Iron biofortified bean variety released by Harvest Plus (Garg *et al.*, 2018)

Rwanda	Democratic Republic of Congo	
RWR 2245, RWR 2154, MAC 42, MAC 44, CAB 2,	COD MLB 001, COD MLB 032, HM 21-7, RWR	
RWV 1129, RWV 3006, RWV 3316, RWV 3317,	2245, PVA 1438, COD MLV 059, VCB 81013, Nain	
and RWV 2887	de Kyondo, Cuarentino, Namulenga.	

198

199 **5. CONCLUSION**

Largest number of hungry people especially children and women live in India which is quite alarming. In a developing country like India, where maximum people does not have sufficient access to afford commercially fortified food, diversified diet and food suppliments, biofortification is an acceptable cost effective way to eliminate malnutrition. And evidences revealed that a nutritious food like pulse is one of the good options to fortify.

205 6. REFERENCES

Alfthan G, Eurola M, Ekholm P. Effects of nationwide addition of selenium to fertilizers on foods, and animal and human health in Finland: from deficiency to optimal selenium status of the population. Journal of Trace Elements in Medicine and Biology, 2015;31: 142–147.

Ali B, Ali A, Tahir M and Ali S. Growth, Seed yield and quality of mungbean as influenced by foliar application of iron sulfate. Pakistan Journal of Life and Social Sciences, 2014;12(1): 20-25.

Allen L, Benoist B, Dary O and Hurrell R. Guidelines on food fortification with micronutrients. World Health
 Organization and Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. 2006.

Aragão FJL, Barros LMG, De Sousa MV, Grossi de Sá MF, Almeida ERP, Gander et al. Expression of a
methionine-rich storage albumin from the Brazil nut (Bertholletia excelsa HBK, Lecythidaceae) in
transgenic bean plants (Phaseolus vulgaris L., Fabaceae). Genetics and Molecular Biology, 1999;22(3):
445-449.

Beard JL. Iron biology in immune function, muscle metabolism and neuronal functioning. The Journal ofnutrition, 2001;131(2): 568S-580S.

Beard JL & Connor JR. Iron status and neural functioning. Annual review of nutrition, 2003;23(1): 41-58.

Beebe S, Gonzalez AV and Rengifo J. Research on trace minerals in the common bean. Food and
Nutrition Bulletin, 2000;21(4): 387-391.

Bharati HP, Kavthekar SO, Kavthekar SS and Kurane AB. Prevalence of micronutrient deficiencies
clinically in rural school going children. International Journal of Contemporary Pediatrics, 2018;5(1):234238

Bhatnagar M, Bhatnagar-Mathur P, Reddy SD, Anjaiah V and Sharma K K. Crop Biofortification Through
Genetic Engineering: Present Status and Future Directions. Institute of Biotechnology, Acharya NG
Ranga Agricultural University, Hyderabad 500 030 India. 2011.
file:///H:/Papers/Bio/2011Bhatnagaretal.BiofortRev.392-407.pdf.

229 Biari A, Gholami A and Rahmani HA. Growth promotion and enhanced nutrient uptake of maize (Zea

mays L.) by application of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria in arid region of Iran. Journal of Biological

- 231 Science, 2008;8: 1015–1020.
- Blair MW, Astudillo C, Grusak MA, Graham R and Beebe SE. Inheritance of seed iron and zinc concentrations in common bean (Phaseolus vulgaris L.). Molecular Breeding, 2009;23(2): 197-207.
- Bouis HE and Saltzman A. Improving nutrition through biofortification: A review of evidence from Harvest
- 235 Plus, 2003 through 2016. Global Food Security, 2017;12:49–58.
- Bouis HE and Welch RM. Biofortification—a sustainable agricultural strategy for reducing micronutrient
 malnutrition in the global south. Crop Science, 2010;50:S-20.
- Bouis HE, Eozenou P and Rahman A. Food prices, household income, and resource allocation:
 socioeconomic perspectives on their effects on dietary quality and nutritional status. Food and Nutrition
 Bulletin, 2011;32 (1): S14–S23.
- Broadley MR, White PJ, Bryson RJ, Meacham MC, Bowen HC, Johnson SE et al.. Biofortification of UK
 food crops with selenium. Proceedings of the Nutrition Society, 2006;65(2): 169-181.
- Brown KH, Peerson JM, Rivera J & Allen LH. Effect of supplemental zinc on the growth and serum zinc
 concentrations of prepubertal children: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. The American
 journal of clinical nutrition, 2002;75(6): 1062-1071.
- Bürkert B, and Robson A. 65Zn uptake in subterranean clover (Trifolium subterraneum L.) by three
 vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in a root-free sandy soil. Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 1994;
 26(9):1117-1124.
- Cakmak I. Agronomic biofortification. Conference brief #8, In: Proceedings of the 2nd Global Conferenceon Biofortification: Getting Nutritious Foods to People, Rwanda. 2014.

- Cakmak I, Pfeiffer WH, McClafferty B. Biofortification of durum wheat with zinc and iron. Cereal Chemistry. 2010; 87(1):10 20.
- 253 CDC. Breastfeeding Report Card, United states: Outcome Indicators (Publication, from Centers for 254 Disease Control and Prevention, National Immunization Survey. 2010.
- 255 Cui H, Kong Y & Zhang H. Oxidative stress, mitochondrial dysfunction, and aging. Journal of signal 256 transduction, 2012; pp. 13.
- 257 Dary M, Chamber-Peerez MA, Palomares AJ, and Pajuelo E. "In situ" phytostabilisation of heavy metal
- 258 polluted soils using Lupinus luteus inoculated with metal resistant plant-growth promoting rhizobacteria.
- 259 Journal of Hazardous Materials, 2010; 177(1): 323-330.
- De Santiago A, Quintero JM, Aviles M and Delgado A. Effect of Trichoderma asperellum strain T34 on
 iron, copper, manganese, and zinc uptake by wheat grown on a calcareous medium. Plant and Soil,
 2011;342(1-2): 97-104.
- De Valença AW, Bake A, Brouwer I D and Giller K E. Agronomic biofortification of crops to fight hidden
 hunger in sub-Saharan Africa. Global food security, 2017;12: 8-14.
- Diapari M, Sindhu A, Warkentin TD, Bett K and Tar'an B. Population structure and marker-trait
 association studies of iron, zinc and selenium concentrations in seed of field pea (Pisum sativum
 L.). Molecular Breeding, 2015;35(1): 30.
- 268 Dinkins RD, Reddy MS, Meurer CA, Yan B, Trick H, Thibaud-Nissen F et al.. Increased sulfur amino acids
- in soybean plants over expressing the maize 15 kDa zein protein. In Vitro Cellular & Developmental
 Biology-Plant, 2001;37(6): 742-747.
- Duffner A, Hoffland E, Stomph TJ, Melse-Boonstra A, Bindraban PS. Eliminating zinc deficiency in rice based systems. VFRC Report 2014/2. Virtual Fertilizer Research Center, Washington, D.C. 2014.
- 273 Elahi MM, Kong YX and Matata BM. Oxidative stress as a mediator of cardiovascular disease. Oxidative
- 274 medicine and cellular longevity, 2009;2(5): 259-269.
- Failla ML. Trace elements and host defense: recent advances and continuing challenges. The Journal of nutrition, 2003;133(5): 1443S-1447S.
- 277 FAO, IFAD, WFP. The State of Food Insecurity in the World 2015. FAO, Rome. 2015.
- Fasim F, Ahmed N, Parsons R and Gadd GM. Solubilization of zinc salts by a bacterium isolated from the air environment of a tannery. FEMS microbiology letters, 2002;213(1):1-6.
- 280 Flores T, Karpova O, Su X, Zeng P, Bilyeu K, Sleper DA et al. Silencing of GmFAD3 gene by siRNA leads
- to low α -linolenic acids (18: 3) of fad3-mutant phenotype in soybean [Glycine max (Merr.)]. Transgenic
- research, 2008;17(5):839-850.

- Fordyce FM. Selenium deficiency and toxicity in the environment. In Essentials of medical geology.
 Springer, Dordrecht. 2013;pp. 375-416
- Garcia-Banuelos ML, Sida-Arreola JP and Sanches E. Biofortification promising approach to increasing
 the content of iron and zinc in staple food crops. Journal of Elementology, 2014;19(3): 865–888.

Garg M, Sharma N, Sharma S, Kapoor P, Kumar A, Chunduri V et al. Biofortified crops generated by breeding, agronomy, and transgenic approaches are improving lives of millions of people around the world. Frontiers in nutrition, 2018;5: 12. <u>doi.org/10.3389/fnut.2018.00012</u>

- Garrett RG, Gawalko E, Wang N, Richter A and Warkentin TD. Macro-relationships between regional scale field pea (Pisum sativum) selenium chemistry and environmental factors in western
 Canada. Canadian Journal of Plant Science, 2013;93(6):1059-1071.
- Gilbert C and Foster A. Childhood blindness in the context of VISION 2020: the right to sight. Bulletin of
 the World Health Organization, 2001;79:227-232.
- 295 Glick BR. The enhancement of plant growth by free-living bacteria. Canadian journal of 296 microbiology, 1995;41(2): 109-117.
- 297 Global Hunger Index, 2018.
- 298 Global Nutrition report, 2018.

Graham RD, Welch RM & Bouis H. Addressing micronutrient malnutrition through enhancing the
 nutritional quality of staple foods: principles, perspectives and knowledge gaps. Advances in Agronomy,
 2001;70:77–142.

302 Hambidge KM and Walravens PA. Disorders of mineral metabolism. Clinics in 303 gastroenterology, 1982;11(1):87-117.

Hanafy MS, Rahman SM, Nakamoto Y, Fujiwara T, Naito S, Wakasa K. et al. Differential response of
 methionine metabolism in two grain legumes, soybean and azuki bean, expressing a mutated form of
 Arabidopsis cystathionine γ-synthase. Journal of plant physiology, 2013;170(3): 338-345.

Hidoto L, Worku W, Mohammed H and Taran B. Agronomic Approach to Increase Seed Zinc Content and
 Productivity of Chickpea (Cicer arietinum L.) Varieties on Zinc Deficient Soils of Southern Ethiopia.
 Advances in Life Science and Technology, 2016;42.

Hidoto L, Worku W, Mohammed H and Taran B. Effects of zinc application strategy on zinc content and
productivity of chickpea grown under zinc deficient soils. Journal of Soil Science and Plant
Nutrition, 2017;17(1): 112-126.

- Hidoto L, Tar'an B, Worku W and Mohammed H. Towards zinc biofortification in chickpea: performance of
 chickpea cultivars in response to soil zinc application. Agronomy, 2017;7(1): 11.
- Hussain S, Maqsood M A and Rahmatullah M. Increasing grain zinc and yield of wheat for the developing world: A Review. Emirates Journal of Food and Agriculture, 2010;326-339.
- 317 Hussain S, Maqsood MA, Rengel Z, Aziz T and Abid M. Estimated zinc bioavailability in milling fractions
- 318 of biofortified wheat grains and in flours of different extraction rates. International Journal of Agriculture

- and Biology, 2013;15(5): 921–926.
- 320 (ICMR (2010) http://icmr.nic.in/final/rda-2010.pdf)
- Johnson S E, Lauren JG, Welch RM and Duxbury JM. A comparison of the effects of micronutrient seed
- 322 priming and soil fertilization on the mineral nutrition of chickpea (Cicer arietinum), lentil (Lens culinaris),
- rice (Oryza sativa) and wheat (Triticum aestivum) in Nepal. Experimental Agriculture, 2005;41(4): 427-
- **324 448**.
- Khalid S, Asghar HN, Akhtar MJ, Aslam A and Zahir ZA. Biofortification of iron in chickpea by plant growth promoting rhizobacteria. Pakistan Journal of Botany, 2015;47(3): 1191-1194.
- King JC, Brown KH, Gibson RS, Krebs NF, Lowe NM, Siekmann JHet al. Biomarkers of Nutrition for
 Development (BOND)—zinc review. The Journal of nutrition, 2015;146(4): 858S-885S.
- 329 Klauni EJ and Kamendulis ML. The role of oxidative stress in carsinogenesis. Annual Review of 330 Pharmacology and Toxicology, 2004;44: 239-267.
- Koide RT, and Z Kabir. Extra radical hyphae of the mycorrhizal fungus Glomus intraradices can hydrolyse
 organic phosphate. New Phytologist, 2000;148: 511–517.
- Lawson PG, Daum D, Czaudema R, Meuser H and Harling JW. Soil versus foliar iodine fertilization as a
 biofortification strategy for field-grown vegetables. Frontiers in Plant Science, 2015;6: 450.
- Manzeke GM, Mapfumo P, Mtambanengwe F, Chikowo R, Tendayi T, Cakmak I. Soil fertility management effects on maize productivity and grain zinc content in smallholder farming systems of Zimbabwe. Plant and Soil, 2012;361(1-2), 57–69.
- 338 Markesbery WR and Lovell MA. DNA oxidation in Alzheimer's disease. Antioxidants and redox 339 signaling, 2006;8(11-12): 2039-2045.
- 340 Márquez-Quiroz C, De-la-Cruz-Lázaro E, OsorioOsorio R, Sánchez-Chávez E. Biofortification of cowpea
- 341 beans with iron: iron's influence on mineral content and yield. Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition,
- 342 2015;15(4): 839-847.

- Martre P, Porter JR, Jamieson PD and Triboï E. Modeling grain nitrogen accumulation and protein composition to understand the sink/source regulations of nitrogen remobilization for wheat. Plant physiology, 2003;133(4): 1959-1967.
- Meenakshi JV, Johnson NL, Manyong VM, DeGroote H, Javelosa J, Yanggen DR et al.. How costeffective is biofortification in combating micronutrient malnutrition? An ex ante assessment. World Development, 2010;38(1):64-75.
- Molina MG, Quiroz CM, de la Cruz Lazaro E, Martinez JRV, Parra JMS, Carrillo MG et al.. Biofortification of cowpea beans (Vigna unguiculata L. Walp) with iron and zinc. Mexican Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 2016;17: 3427-3438.
- Molvig L, Tabe LM, Eggum BO, Moore AE, Craig S, Spencer D et al. Enhanced methionine levels and increased nutritive value of seeds of transgenic lupins (Lupinus angustifolius L.) expressing a sunflower seed albumin gene. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 1997;94(16): 8393-8398.
- Murgia I, Arosio P, Tarantino D, & Soave C. Biofortification for combating 'hidden hunger'for iron. Trends
 in plant science, 2012;17(1): 47-55.
- Nair RM, Thavarajah P, Giri RR, Ledesma D, Yang RY, Hanson P et al. Mineral and phenolic
 concentrations of mungbean [Vigna radiata (L.) R. Wilczek var. radiata] grown in semi-arid tropical
 India. Journal of Food Composition and Analysis, 2015;39: 23-32.
- 360 Nandan B, Sharma BC, Chand G, Bazgalia K, Kumar R and Banotra M. Agronomic Fortification of Zn and
- Fe in Chickpea an Emerging Tool for Nutritional Security A Global Perspective. Acta Scientific
 Nutritional Health, 2018;2(4):12-19.
- 363 National Family Health Survey Report-4, M/o Health & Family Welfare (2015-16).
- Norton LR and Hoffmann RP. Selenium and asthma. Molecular Aspects of Medicine, 2012;33(1): 98–
 106.
- Ofuya ZM, & Akhidue V. The role of pulses in human nutrition: a review. Journal of Applied Sciences and
 Environmental Management, 2005;9(3): 99-104.
- Petry N, Boy E, Wirth JP and Hurrell RF. Review: The potential of the common bean (Phaseolus vulgaris)
 as a vehicle for iron biofortification. Nutrients, 2015;7: 1144–1173.
- Phattarakul N, Rerkasem B, Li LJ, Wu LH, Zou CQ, Ram H et al. Biofortification of rice grain with zinc
 through zinc fertilization in different countries. Plant and Soil, 2012;361(1-2): 131–141.
- Phi QT, Park YM, Seul KJ, Ryu CM, Park SH, Kim JGet al. Assessment of root-associated Paenibacillus
 polymyxa groups on growth promotion and induced systemic resistance in pepper. Journal of
 Microbiology and Biotechnology, 2010;20(12): 1605-1613.

- Pilbeam DJ, Greathead HMR and Drihem K. Selenium. In: AV Barker, DJ Pilbeam, eds. A handbook of
 plant nutrition, 2nd edn. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press, 2015;165–198.
- Pixley KV, Palacios-Rojas N and Glahn RP. The usefulness of iron bioavailability as a target trait for
 breeding maize (Zea mays L.) with enhanced nutritional value. Field Crops Research, 2011;123(2): 153160.
- Podder R, Tar'an B, Tyler R, Henry C, DellaValle D and Vandenberg A. Iron fortification of lentil (Lens
 culinaris Medik.) to address iron deficiency. Nutrients, 2017;9(8), p.863.
- Prieto MB and Cid JLH. Malnutrition in the critically ill child: the importance of enteral
 nutrition. International journal of environmental research and public health, 2011;8(11): 4353-4366.
- 384 Rahman MM, Erskine W, Materne MA, McMurray LM, Thavarajah P, Thavarajah D et al. Enhancing
- 385 selenium concentration in lentil (Lens culinaris subsp. culinaris) through foliar application. The Journal of
- 386 Agricultural Science, 2015;153(4): 656-665.
- Rana A, Joshi M, Prasanna R, Shivay YS, and Nain L. Biofortification of wheat through inoculation of
 plant growth promoting rhizobacteria and cyanobacteria. European Journal of Soil Biology, 2012;50:
 118-126.
- Ray H, Bett K, Tar'an B, Vandenberg A, Thavarajah D and Warkentin T. Mineral micronutrient content of
 cultivars of field pea, chickpea, common bean, and lentil grown in Saskatchewan, Canada. Crop
 Science, 2014;54(4): 1698-1708.
- Rengel Z, Batten GD and Crowley DD. Agronomic approaches for improving the micronutrient density in
 edible portions of field crops. Field crops research, 1999;60(1-2): 27-40.
- Richardson AE. Prospects for using soil microorganisms to improve the acquisition of phosphorus by
 plants. Functional Plant Biology, 2001;28(9): 897-906.
- Rietra RPJJ, Heinen M, Dimpka C, Bindraban PS. Effects of nutrient antagonism and synergism on
 fertilizer use effciency. VFRC Report 2015/5. Virtual Fertilizer Research Centre, Washington, DC. 2015;p
 47.
- Salih HO. Effect of Foliar Fertilization of Fe, B and Zn on nutrient concentration and seed protein of
 Cowpea "Vigna unguiculata". Journal of Agriculture and Veterinary Science, 2013;6(3): 42-46.
- Schmidt MA, Parrott WA, Hildebrand DF, Berg RH, Cooksey A, Pendarvis K et al. Transgenic soya bean
 seeds accumulating β-carotene exhibit the collateral enhancements of oleate and protein content
 traits. Plant biotechnology journal, 2015;13(4): 590-600.
- Schneeman BO. Linking agricultural production and human nutrition. Journal of the Science of Food andAgriculture, 2001;81(1): 3-9.

407 Shankar AH and Prasad AS. Zinc and immune function: the biological basis of altered resistance to 408 infection. The American journal of clinical nutrition, 1998;68(2): 447S-463S.

409 Shirley R, Ord E, and Work L. Oxidative stress and the use of antioxidants in 410 stroke. Antioxidants, 2014;3(3): 472-501.

Shivay YS, Prasad R and Pal M. Effects of source and method of zinc application on yield, zinc
biofortification of grain, and Zn uptake and use efficiency in chickpea (Cicer arietinum
L.). Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis, 2015;46(17): 2191-2200.

Sida-Arreola JP, Sánchez E, Ojeda-Barrios DL, Ávila-uezada GD, Flores-Córdova MA, Márquez-Quiroz C
et al. Can biofortification of zinc improve the antioxidant capacity and nutritional quality of
beans? Emirates Journal of Food and Agriculture, 2017;29(3), p.237.

417 Singh MK and Prasad SK. Agronomic aspects of zinc biofortification in rice (Oryza sativa
418 L.). Proceedings of the national academy of sciences, India section B: biological Sciences, 2014;84(3):
419 613-623.

420 Smith SE, Read DJ. Mycorrhizal Symbiosis. 3rd ed. London, UK: Elsevier 2007.

421 Smrkolj P, Germ M, Kreft I, & Stibilj V. Respiratory potential and Se compounds in pea (Pisum sativum
422 L.) plants grown from Se-enriched seeds. Journal of Experimental Botany, 2006;57(14): 3595-3600.

- Smrkolj P, Osvald M, Osvald J, & Stibilj V. Selenium uptake and species distribution in seleniumenriched bean (Phaseolus vulgaris L.) seeds obtained by two different cultivations. European Food
 Research and Technology, 2007;225(2):233-237.
- Song S, Hou W, Godo I, Wu C, Yu Y, Matityahu I et al.. Soybean seeds expressing feedback-insensitive
 cystathionine γ-synthase exhibit a higher content of methionine. Journal of experimental
 botany, 2013;64(7): 1917-1926.
- 429 Sperotto RA, Ricachenevsky FK, de Abreu Waldow V and Fett JP. Iron biofortification in rice: it's a long
 430 way to the top. Plant Science, 2012;190: 24-39.
- 431 Srivastava MP, Tewari R, and Sharma N. Effect of different cultural variables on siderophores produced
 432 by Trichoderma spp. International Journal of Advance Research, 2013;1: 1-6.
- Stein AJ, Meenakshi JV, Qaim M, Nestel P, Sachdev HPS, Bhutta ZA. Technical Monograph
 4.Analysing the Health Benefits of Biofortified Staple Crops by Means of the Disability-Adjusted Life
 Years Approach: A Handbook Focusing on Iron, Zinc and Vitamin A.Washington, WA: HarvestPlus.
 2005.
- 437 Steinbrenner H, Al-Quraishy S, Dkhil MA, Wunderlich F and Sies H. Dietary selenium in adjuvant 438 therapy of viral and bacterial infections. Advances in nutrition, 2015;6(1): 73-82.

- Subramanian KS, Tenshia V, Jayalakshmi K, & Ramach V. Role of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus
 (Glomus intraradices)(fungus aided) in zinc nutrition of maize. Journal of Agricultural Biotechnology and
 Sustainable Development, 2009;1(1): 029-038.
- Thavarajah D, Ruszkowski J, Vandenberg A. High potential for selenium biofortification of lentils (Lens
 culinaris L.). Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 2008;57: 10747–10753.
- Thavarajah D, Warkentin T, Vandenberg A.. Natural enrichment of selenium in Saskachewan field peas
 (Pisum sativum L.). Canadian Journal of Plant Science, 2010;90: 383–389.
- Thavarajah P. Evaluation of chickpea (Cicer arietinum L.) micronutrient composition: Biofortification
 opportunities to combat global micronutrient malnutrition. Food research international, 2012;49(1): 99104.
- 449 Thavarajah P, Sarker A, Materne M, Vandemark G, Shrestha R, Idrissi O et al. A global survey of effects
- 450 of genotype and environment on selenium concentration in lentils (Lens culinaris L.): implications for
- 451 nutritional fortification strategies. Food Chemistry, 2011;125(1):72-76.
- 452 Underwood EJ and Suttle NF. 3rd ed. Wallingford: CABI International Publishin. The mineral nutrition of
 453 livestock, 1999;p. 614.
- Vanlauwe B, Descheemaeker K, Giller KE, Huising J, Merckx R, Nziguheba G et al. Integrated soil fertility
 management in sub-Saharan Africa: unravelling local adaptation. Soil, 2015;1(1): 491–508.
- Ventura M, Melo M and Carrilho F. Selenium and Thyroid Disease: From Pathophysiology to Treatment.
 International Journal of Endocrinology, 2017;9
- 458 Viteri FE. Anemia and physical work capacity. Clinics in Haematolgy, 1974;3:609-626.
- 459 Voortman R and Bindraban PS. Beyond N and P: Toward a land resource ecology perspective and 460 impactful fertilizer interventions in sub-Saharan Africa. VFRC Report 2015/1. Virtual Fertilizer Research
- 461 Center, Washington, DC. 2015.
- 462 Wani P, Khan M and Zaidi A. Co-inoculation of nitrogen-fixing and phosphate-solubilizing bacteria to 463 promote growth, yield and nutrient uptake in chickpea. Acta Agronomica Hungarica, 2007;55(3): 315-323.
- 464 White PJ and Broadley MR. Biofortifying crops with essential mineral elements. Trends in plant 465 science, 2005;10(12): 586-593.
- 466 White PJ and Broadley MR. Biofortifying crops with essential mineral elements. Trends in plant 467 science, 2005;10(12): 586-593.
- 468 White PJ and Broadley MR. Biofortification of crops with seven mineral elements often lacking in human 469 diets–iron, zinc, copper, calcium, magnesium, selenium and iodine. New Phytologist, 2009;182(1): 49-84.

- Whiting SN, de Souza MP, and Terry N. Rhizosphere bacteria mobilize Zn for hyperaccumulation by
 Thlaspi caerulescens. Environmental Science and Technology, 2001;35: 3144–3150.
- 472 Yang F, Chen L, Hu Q and Pan G. Effect of the application of selenium on selenium content of soybean
 473 and its products. Biological Trace Element Research, 2003;93(1-3): 249-256.
- Zhang L, Yang XD, Zhang YY, Yang J, Qi GX Guo DQ, Xing GJ et al. Changes in oleic acid content of
 transgenic soybeans by antisense RNA mediated posttranscriptional gene silencing. International journal
 of genomics, 2014;8.
- 477 Zhang Y, Shi R, Rezaul KM, Zhang F and Zou C. Iron and zinc concentrations in grain and flour of winter
- 478 wheat as affected by foliar application. Journal of agricultural and food chemistry, 2010;58(23): 12268-
- 479 12274.