

Original Research Article

Plant –plant Interaction Strategy for Managing *Parthenium hystrophorus* L

ABSTRACT

Aims. *Parthenium hystrophorus* is an invasive plant species which can cause significant decline in agricultural production and pasture land. Variety of herbicides have been used to control its spread in different areas of the world. However, the use of herbicides have led to an increase of human health problems and biodiversity losses, necessitating the need of alternative management technique such as interspecific competition from allelopathic plants. The study aims to establish the effects of sorghum species, *Amaranthus spinous*, *Tagetes erictus* and *Cassia tora* on the *Parthenium* growth and development.

Study design: All experimental design (laboratory and greenhouse) were established using a randomized design. At each sites, there were five test species and *P. hystrophorus* (control) each with four replications.

Place and Duration of Study: The trials were run monthly and repeated three times from March to June 2018. The germination of each plants in both sites was recorded soon after germination for 14 days in the interval of two days.

Methodology: Germination tests were done for the selected seeds of *T. erictus*, *A. spinosus*, *C. tora*, *S. bicolor*, *S. arundinaceum* and *P. hystrophorous* where by 20 seeds for each species were planted separately and 40 seeds for each selected seeds were planted with the alien

Results: Results shows that *Sorghum bicolor*, *Tagetes erictus*, *Amaranthas spinous* and *Sorghum arundinaceum* showed strong inhibition effects on *Parthenium* biomass, height and

root length of *P.hysterophorus*. However, *Cassia tora* exhibited weak suppression effects on both laboratory and greenhouse experiments

Conclusion: These findings suggest that these plant species could be recommended as alternative management method of invasive parthenium. Our finding provides bases towards developing an effective alternative to manage *P.hysterophorus*.

Keywords: [Parthenium, Management, Suppression, allelopathic]

1. INTRODUCTION

[*Parthenium hysterophorus* L. (Carrot-weed) is a noxious herbaceous plant originating from the subtropical region of North and South America (Evans, 1997). In Africa, the weed is recently reported to invade different countries such as Ethiopia, Somalia, Kenya, Madagascar, Mozambique, South Africa, Swaziland, Zimbabwe and Tanzania (Medhin 1992& Clark *et al* 2011; Kilewa 2014). *Parthenium* is considered as a weed of global significance because of its negative impacts including skin dermatitis, asthma, and bronchitis to human and animals and, effect on agricultural crops incited by its allelopathic dominance (Evans, 1997; Levine *et al.*, 2000; Zavaleta, 2000; Belnap and Philips, 2001; Maharjan, 2007; Tamado and Milberg, 2000; Mahadevappa *et al.*, 2000; APFISN 2007). *P. hysterophorus* is characterized by strong tolerance to a wide range of soil and environmental conditions, high seed production and seed persistence in soil banks, rapid germination, seedling growth and short life cycle (Navie *et al.*, 1996; Nguyen *et al.*, 2010). Different control methods for the weed has been reported so far. One of the mostly reported method is the use of other organisms (biological management) to control the weed. Biological management of *P. hysterophorus* has been practiced in different countries in the world. For example, in Australia the use of insects and rust pathogen to control the weed have been

31 practiced for 30 years ago (McFadyen, 1992; Dhileepan and McFadyen 2012). It has been
32 shown that, the use of *Epiblema stenuana* Walker and *Zygogramma bicolorata* Pallister in
33 the war against carrot-weed has shown success, though with some limitations. The
34 organisms do not induce full suppression of the weed (Dhileepan, 2003). Similar observation
35 on *Parthenium* control using the same organisms was recently reported in Tanzania.
36 *Zygogramma* has emerged as an alternative biological control of the weed, the approach
37 deals only with parts of the plant such as leaves. Despite of all the efforts applied in the
38 management of *P.hysterophorus* in Tanzania, the weed is still spreading rapidly. Due to its
39 harmful effects, there is a need to investigate other management strategies such as
40 suppressive allelochemicals from different plants. The use of suppressive plants have been
41 done in countries such as India using guinea grass (*Panicum maximum* Jacq.) tanner's
42 cassia (*Cassia auriculata* L.) and Fedogoso (*Cassia occidentalis* L) (Yaduraju et al.2005),
43 Ethiopia using; Sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L, Moench); Tamado and Milberg, (2004) and in
44 South Africa using African Lovegrass (*Eragrostis curvula* Nox; Van der Laan et al., 2008) .

45 The use of other plants such as Sorghum species, *Amaranthus spinous*, *Tagetes erictus* and
46 *Cassi tora* with known allelopathic potential which are locally available in Tanzania remain
47 not well reported. This study aims at establishing allelopathic effect of selected plants
48 species on *Parthenium* seed germination and seedling growth and development.]

49 **2.0. MATERIAL AND METHODS**

50

51 **2.1 Study Area**

52 The experiments were conducted at The Nelson Mandela African Institution of Science and
53 Technology Laboratory and at the Tanzania Pesticides Research Institute (TPRI) Arusha-
54 Tanzania.

55 **2.2 Plant material used in the study**

56 Five species namely *Tagetes erictus*, *Amaranthus spinous*, *Cassia tora*, *Sorghum bicolor*
57 and *Sorghum arundinaceum* were used as suppressive plants. Seeds from mature plants

58 were collected from different fields in Arusha. For each plant, 0.25 kg of seeds was collected
59 and properly labeled and stored at -4°C at Nelson Mandela laboratory until used.

60 **2.3 Seed germination test**

61 Germination was performed based on international seed test in which subsamples of 100
62 seeds were placed on blotters in petri dish (20 seeds per petri dish). Percentage germination
63 was rated as normal, subnormal and dead seeds. In this experiment, only percentage of
64 normal seeds were considered.

65 **2.4 Experimental layout for Pot/greenhouse experiment**

66 Before starting germination test, seeds were sterilized using sodium hypochlorite (5%) to
67 remove any possible contaminations and then the seeds were washed thoroughly 4 times
68 with distilled water. Germination tests were done for the selected seeds of *T. erictus*, *A.*
69 *spinosus*, *C. tora*, *S. bicolor*, *S. arundinaceum* and *P. hysterothorax* where by 20 seeds for
70 each species were planted separately and 40 seeds for each selected seeds were planted
71 with the *Parthenium*. Germination testing was done by planting the test seeds in 44 pots
72 measured 30cm deep and 10 cm wide containing six kg of sterile soils with a ratio of 1:3
73 sand and forest soils. Eleven treatments replicated 4 times were used for each test
74 species. The plots were exposed to direct rain, and no fertilizer neither watering was used.
75 All plants that germinated other than those selected species sown and *P. hysterothorax*
76 were removed manually. The trials were run monthly and repeated three times from March
77 to June 2018.

78

79 **2.5 Experimental layout for laboratory**

80 The procedures for the seeds germination were the same as for the pot experiment.
81 Germination tests were done for the selected seeds of, *T. erictus*, *A. spinosus*, *C. tora*, *S.*
82 *bicolor*, *S. arundinaceum* and *P. hysterothorax* where by 20 seeds for each species were
83 planted separately and 40 seeds for each selected seeds were planted with the alien
84 species in the Petri dishes with double layer towel paper. The experiment involved total of 44
85 Petri dishes. For each test plant, there were eleven treatments and was replicated four

times. Then each treatment in petri dishes were irrigated with distilled water 3 mLs equally in the interval of four days to maintain moisture. The experiment/trial lasted for 21 days.

2.6 Experimental design and data collection

All experimental design for both (laboratory and greenhouse) were established using a randomized block design. At each places, there were five test species and *P. hysterothorus* (control) each with four replications. Germination of each plants in both laboratory and screen house was recorded soon after germination for 14 days in the interval of two days. To determine dry plant biomass, height and root length at the screen house sites, sample of five plants were randomly uprooted from each of the replicated pots. All samples were separated from *P. hysterothorus* or test species, then dried for 72 h at 70 °C, and weighed. Other measured parameters included: root length, biomass and plant height.

2.7 Germination inhibition

Percentages of inhibition/stimulation effect on seed germination over control (T1) were calculated using the formula proposed by Singh & Chaudhary (2011).

Inhibition (-) or stimulation (+) = [(Germinated seeds in association - Germinated seed in control)/Germinated seeds in control] x 100.

2.8 Statistical Analysis

The effects of treatments on different parameters such as germination, plant height, root length and dry biomass were assessed using one way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA). The analysis were done using STATISTICA package Version 8. The significant means were compared at $p=0.05$ according to Fischer's least significant different test.

3.0 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 The effects of seed-seed interaction on germination

The germination rate and inhibition percentage in pot (screen house) experiment indicated that the growth of *P. hysterothorus* was strongly inhibited by *S. bicolor* with -87.5 % when compared with the control (*P.hysterothorus* alone) which had the germination rate of 91.3%. Other plants showed moderate germination inhibition percentage of -83.6, -82.4, -81.3 and -

37.5% for *A.spinous*, *T.erictus*, *S.arundinaceum* and *C.tora*, respectively, on *P. hysterothorus* as indicated in Table 1. The growth inhibition was also investigated. We found that tested plants showed different inhibition rates. For instance, sorghum showed stronger inhibition effect on the germination of *P. hysterothorus* in both pot and petri dish experiment with germination rate of 12.5% and 10.0%, respectively. *S. bicolor* showed highest inhibition -87.5% (equivalent to 12.5% germination) compared with the lowest inhibition percentage value of -37.5% (equivalent to 62.5% germination) when grown with *C. tora*. Laboratory experiment for seeds to seeds interaction showed highest inhibition percentage value -89.5%, (equivalent to 10.0% germination) for *S. bicolor* compared with lowest inhibition percentage of -74.9% (equivalent to 22.8% germination) for *C. tora*. Findings from this study suggests that both tested plants could be used in management of the weed as they exhibited growth and germination inhibition. From these results we conclude that the allelochemicals in plants parts have strong inhibition property which compete with the *P. hysterothorus* for nutrition and growth.

3.2 Effects of selected plant species on the growth of *Parthenium* Parameters

The study showed that *P. hysterothorus* attained a height of 4.25 ± 0.26 cm when grown alone compared with when in interaction with the test species. The heights were 1.09 ± 0.51 cm, 1.1 ± 0.34 cm, 1.05 ± 0.19 cm, 1.42 ± 0.31 cm and 3.63 ± 0.70 cm when grown with *A. spinous*, *T. erictus*, *S. bicolor*, *S. arundinaceum* and *C. tora*, respectively, (Table 1).

These findings suggests that, *S. arundinaceum* and *C. tora* are not effective in inhibiting the growth of *P. hysterothorus*. On the other hand, the effectiveness of other plants could be attributed to by the presence of their active metabolites/allelochemicals which resulted in the suppression effects. For instance, it has been shown that, compounds such as organic and amino acids, phenolics, cyanogenic glycosite, sorgoleone, benzoquinone, alpha-terthienyl produced by *A. spinous*, *S. bicolor*, *S. arundinaceum*, and *T. erictus* affected the growth of other plants (Thapar 2005; Gommers & Bakker, 1988), and hence the depressive effects on *P. hysterothorus* as observed in our study (Table 1). In this study, the metabolites/allelochemicals produced by *C. tora* showed no-significant competition or growth inhibition on *P. hysterothorus* (Table 1).

Similarly, *S. bicolor*, *S. arundinaceum* *T. erictus* and *A. spinous* showed reduction in root length and dry biomass of *P. hysterothorus*, except for *C. tora* which had long shoots (3.63 ± 0.70 cm) and high root length (2.69 ± 0.41 cm) (Table 1). *Sorghum almun* was previously reported to suppress *P. hysterothorus* by Khan et al. (2013) in Australia and Pakistani. In

152 their study, they found that *S. almun* reduced the height of *P. hysterothorus* up to 73%.
 153 Such findings are similar to our present study where *S. bicolor*, reduced the height of *P.*
 154 *hysterothorus* by 4-folds (Table1). Our finding suggests that different species of sorghum
 155 can be used to reduce infestation of *P. hysterothorus* in the ecosystems. In a study by Ali
 156 and Khan (2017), they reported that sorghum species reduced biomass of *P. hysterothorus*
 157 up to 84%. , Like wise, in our present study we observed that *S. bicolor* reduce *P.*
 158 *hysterothorus* biomass by nearly 4-folds. Furthermore, in our study we have found that *A.*
 159 *spinous* inhibited shoot height of *P. hysterothorus*. Our findings are similar to those
 160 reported by Thapar and Singh (2005) who found that leaves of *A. spinous* produces
 161 metabolites such as amino acids and organic acids which accumulates in the leaves of *P.*
 162 *hysterothorus* and hence affects its respiration. Similarly, it is possible that inhibition of *A.*
 163 *spinous* in our study could have resulted from the accumulation of the amino and organic
 164 acid metabolites which eventually affected the respiration system of the plant and impaired
 165 its growth. It has also been reported that, *Tagetes erictus* extracts inhibited growth of *P.*
 166 *hysterothorus*, reduced shoots, root length and biomass (Shafique 2011). Aerial leaf
 167 extracts of *T. erictus* are known to reduce the growth of shoot and root length and biomass
 168 of *P. hysterothorus*. Our present findings on plant to plant interaction are in agreement to
 169 the findings by Shafique (2011).

170
 171 **Table 1 Suppressive effects of different plants on growth of *Parthenium***
 172 ***hysterothorus***

| Plant Name | Germination | | | Root Length | |
|---|--------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| | Height (cm) | (%) | Inhibition % | (cm) | Biomass (g) |
| <i>P.hysterothorus</i> | 4.25 ±0.51b | 91.3± 8.75c | - | 2.50 ± 0.11b | 4.05 ± 0.21b |
| <i>P.hysreophorus</i> + <i>A.spinous</i> | 1.09 ± 0.26a | 16.3 ± 6.88a | -83.8 ± 6.88 | 1.02 ± 0.49a | 1.50 ± 0.29a |
| <i>P.hysterothorus</i> + <i>T.erictus</i> | 1.10 ± 0.34a | 16.3 ± 4.73a | -82.4 ± 4.31 | 0.86 ± 0.15a | 1.50 ±0.29a |
| <i>P.hysterothorus</i> + <i>S.bicolor</i> | 1.05 ± 0.19a | 12.5 ± 1.44a | -87.5 ± 1.44 | 0.89 ± 0.09a | 1.03± 0.39a |
| <i>P.hysterothorus</i> + <i>S.</i> | 1.42 ±0.031a | 18.8 ± 54.27a | -81.3 ± 4.27 | 1.24 ± 0.34a | 1.13 ± 0.13a |

arundinaceum

P.hysterophorus + *C. tora* 3.63 ± 0.70b 62.5 ± 13.62b -37.5 ± 13.62 2.69 ± 0.41b 3.45± 0.33b

| | | | | |
|--------------------|----------|----------|---------|----------|
| F-Statistic | 11.95*** | 18.35*** | 7.38*** | 16.56*** |
|--------------------|----------|----------|---------|----------|

173 Values presented are means ± SE. Values with the same letter in the column are not
 174 statistical different (p=0.05).

175 **Table 2 Seed-seeds competition**

| Plant Name | % Germination | % Inhibition |
|--|---------------|---------------|
| <i>P.hysterophorus</i> | 97.5 ± 4.33a | |
| <i>P.hysterophorus</i> + <i>A.spinous</i> | 17.5 ± 2.50b | -81.8 ± 4.76 |
| <i>P.hysterophorus</i> + <i>Tagetes</i> | 11.3 ± 1.25b | -88.5 ± 2.37 |
| <i>P.hysterophorus</i> + <i>S.bicolor</i> | 10.0 ± 2.04b | -89.5 ± 2.39 |
| <i>P.hysterophorus</i> + <i>S.arundinaceum</i> | 21.3 ± 7.18b | - 78.2 ± 7.17 |
| <i>P.hysterophorus</i> + <i>C. tora</i> | 22.8 ± 8.98 | -74.9 ± 9.43 |
| F- STATISTICS | 41.8**** | |

176 Values presented are means± SE. Values with the same letter in the column are not
 177 statistical different (p=0.05).

178
 179

180 4. CONCLUSION

181

182 [The motivation of the present study was to investigate the effects of plant to plant
 183 interaction for the management of *p. hysterophorus*. Tested plant species showed
 184 significant effects on seed germination, growth, root length, and dry biomass on parthenium.
 185 Degree of suppression differed in the respective plant species investigated. *S bicolor* was
 186 more effective on suppressing parthenium germination, plant height, root length and dry
 187 biomass compared with other tested species. The study provide basis for parthenium
 188 management. The promising plants are recommended for large scale testing in areas where
 189 the weed is increasingly becoming a problem.]

190

191 **COMPETING INTERESTS**

192 Authors declare that they have no competing interests

193

194

195 **REFERENCES**

196 A. Shabbir and S. Adkins, Editors. 2011), Tropical & Sub-Tropical Weed Research Unit: The
197 University of Queensland, Australia

198 Ali, S. and Khan, I.A., (2017). Management of *Parthenium hysterophorus* using suppressive
199 plants. *Malaysian Journal of Science*, 36(2), pp.75-84

200 APFISN/Asian-Pacific Forest Invasive Species Network/ (2007) Invasive, *Newsletter of the*
201 *Asian-Pacific Forest Invasive Species Network*, Volume 9. Kerala, India

202 Belnap and Philips, J. Belnap, S.L. (2001). Phillips Soil biota in ungrazed grassland.
203 Response to annual grass (*Bromustectorum*) invasion *Ecol.Appl.* 11, pp. 1261-1275 *Plant*
204 *Protect. Quart.* 9 (1994), pp. 73-76

205 Clark, K. and W. Lotter, (2011) *what is Parthenium weed up to in Tanzania*, in *International*
206 *Parthenium News*,

207 Dhileepan, K. (2003). Current status of the stem-boring weevil *Listronotus setosipennis*
208 (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) introduced against the weed *Parthenium hysterophorus*
209 (Asteraceae) in Australia. *Biocontrol Science and Technology*, 13 :(1), 3-12.

210 Dhileepan, K., McFadyen, R.C., 2012. *Parthenium hysterophorus* L.—*Parthenium*. In:
211 *Biological Control of Weeds in Australia*. CSIRO Publishing, Melbourne, pp.448–462

212 Evans, H. C. (1997). *Parthenium hysterophorus*. A review of its weed status and the
213 possibilities for biological control. *Biocontrol News and Information*, 18:89N-98N. In:
214 *Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Parthenium Management*, 5–7
215 December 1997, Bangalore, India, and pp. 6–10.

216

217 Gommers, F.J. and J. Bakker. 1988. Physiological diseases induced by plant responses or
218 products. In: *Diseases of nematodes*. (Eds.): G. O. Poinar, Jr. and H.-B. Jansson. Vol. I.
219 CRC Press, Inc., Boca Raton, FL: 3-22

220 Mahadevappa M, Das TK, Kumar A (2001) *Parthenium*: A Curse for Natural Herbs. Paper
221 presented at National Research Seminar on Herbal Conservation, Cultivation, Marketing and
222 Utilization with Special Emphasis on Chhattisgarh, "The Herbal State"; 13-14 December,
223 2001

224 Maharjan, S. (2007). Allelopathic effects of aqueous extract of leaves of *Parthenium*
225 *hysterophorus* L. on seed germination and seedling growth of some cultivated and wild
226 herbaceous species. *Sci.World*, v. 5, n. 5, p. 33-39.

227 McFadyen, R.E. (1992). Biological control against Parthenium Weed in Australia. Crop
228 Protection.11: 400-407

229 Medhin, B., *Parthenium hysterophorus*, new weed problem in Ethiopia. In *Plant Protection*
230 *Bulletin* 1992, FAO p. 40:49.

231 Navie, S.C., McFadyen, R.E., Panetta, F.D. and Adkins, S.W., (1996). The biology of
232 Australian weeds. 27. *Parthenium hysterophorus* L. *Plant Protection Quarterly*, 11(2), pp.76-
233 88.

234 Ngondya, Issakwisa B., Linus Munishi, Anna C. Treydte, and Patrick A. Ndakidemi.
235 "Demonstrative effects of crude extracts of *Desmodium* spp. to fight against the invasive
236 weed species *Tagetes minuta*." *Acta Ecologica Sinica* 36, no. 2 (2016): 113-11

237 Nguyen, T.L., Navie, S.C. and Adkins, S.W., (2010). The effect of parthenium weed
238 (*Parthenium hysterophorus* L.) on plant diversity in pastures in Queensland, Australia. In
239 *17th Australasian weeds conference. New frontiers in New Zealand: together we can beat*
240 *the weeds. Christchurch, New Zealand, 26-30 September, 2010. New Zealand Plant*
241 *Protection Society.*

242 Ramadhan Kilewa, A. (2014). "Distribution of Invasive Weed *Parthenium hysterophorus* in
243 Natural and Agro-Ecosystems in Arusha Tanzania." *International Journal of Science and*
244 *Research (IJSR)* 3(12): 1724-1727.

245 Shafique, S., Bajwa, R. and Shafique, S., (2011). *Tagetes erectus* L.-a potential resolution
246 for management of *Parthenium hysterophorus* L. *Pakistan Journal of Botany*, 43, pp.885-894

247 Singh, A.P. and Chaudhary, B.R. (2011): Allelopathic of algae weed pithophora
248 aedogonia (Mont.) ittrock on the germination and seedling growth of *Oryza sativa* L.
249 *Botany Research International*, 4(2): 36-40

250 Tamado, T. & Milberg, P. (2000). Weed flora in arable fields of eastern Ethiopia with
251 emphasis on the occurrence of *Parthenium hysterophorus*. L *Weed Research* 40,507–521

252 Tamado, T., Milberg, P., 2004. Control of parthenium (*Parthenium hysterophorus*) grain
253 sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L.) in the smallholder farming system in eastern Ethiopia. *Weed*
254 *Technol.* 18, 100–105

255 Thapar, R. and N. Singh (2005). "Allelopathic influence of leaf residue of *Amaranthus*
256 *spinosus* on growth and metabolism of *Parthenium hysterophorus* L." *Ecoprint: An*
257 *International Journal of Ecology* 12: 77-84.

258 Van der Laan, M., Reinhardt, C.F., Belz, R.G., Truter, W.F., Foxcroft, L.C., Hurle, K.,
259 2008. Interference potential of the perennial grasses *Eragrostis curvula*, *Panicum maximum*
260 and *Digitaria eriantha* with *Parthenium hysterophorus*. Trop. Grassland 42, 88–95
261 Yaduraju, N.T., Sushilkumar, M.B.B., Prasad, B., Gogoi, A.K., 2005. *Parthenium*
262 *hysterophorus*

263
264
265
266