

2
3 **MANAGEMENT OF ONION THRIPS [*Thrips tabaci* Lind. (Thysanoptera: Thripidae)]**
4 **ON ONION USING ECO-FRIENDLY CULTURAL PRACTICES AND VARIETIES**
5 **OF ONION IN CENTRAL ZONE OF TIGRAY, ETHIOPIA**
6

7
8 **ABSTRACT**
9

10
11 *Onion thrips, *Thrips tabaci* (Thysanoptera: Thripidae) is a major insect pest constraining*
12 *onion production in the Central Zone of Tigray. Therefore, field experiment was conducted at*
13 *Axum Agricultural Research from November 2015 to April 2016 to manage onion thrips using*
14 *multiple techniques. The experiment was laid out in a randomized complete block design*
15 *(RCBD) with split plot arrangement and replicated three times. Onion varieties Bombay Red*
16 *and Nasik Red were used as main plot treatments and intercropping onion with one or two*
17 *other vegetables including, cabbage, carrot and lettuce, as subplot treatments. Treating onion*
18 *with the insecticide lambda-cyhalothrin (Karate) 5% EC and untreated sole onion were*
19 *included as standard and control checks. Results showed that intercropping onion with*
20 *cabbage, onion with cabbage + carrot and onion with cabbage + lettuce significantly*
21 *($P \leq 0.01$) reduced thrips population by 58.47, 63.81 and 50.51%, respectively at higher*
22 *infestations. Similarly, intercropping onion with cabbage, onion with cabbage + carrot and*
23 *onion with lettuce + carrot showed a better effect in reducing thrips damage severity by 23.37,*
24 *23.09 and 17.66%, respectively, at higher infestations. Onion treated with karate was*
25 *significantly higher than the rest of the treatments including the untreated check. Predatory*
26 *thrips were observed on onion intercrops except the Karate) 5% EC treated check. The highest*
27 *marketable onion yield (35.52 t/ha) was obtained from onion intercropped with carrot and*
28 *lettuce, though not significantly different from the untreated check. The lowest (23.54 t/ha)*
29 *was obtained from onion intercropped with cabbage + lettuce. However, onion intercropped*
30 *with lettuce gave the highest gross income (307344 ETB/ha). The lowest gross income was*
31 *recorded from the insecticide treated plot (194583 ETB/ha). The study clearly showed that*
32 *intercropping onion with other vegetables reduced the number of onion thrips and their*
33 *damage on onion in the central zone of Tigray and hence can form an integral component in*
34 *the integrated management of thrips on onion.*

35
36 **Key words:** *Onion thrips, intercropping, onion, vegetables*

1. INTRODUCTION

37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66

Onion thrips is a phytophagous most invasive and vector insect pest of onion. It is considered to be the most economically important pest of onion worldwide (Trdan *et al.*, 2005). If control measures are not practiced properly the pest may cause losses varying from 6 to 63% in weight depending up on genotype (Haidar *et al.*, 2014). According to Ibrahim (2009) onion thrips is the most severe pests of onion and their allies that can totally destroy young plants.

In Ethiopia, it is an important insect pest that affect onion yield by direct feeding (Tsedeke, 1985). Onion fields can be destroyed by onion thrips, especially in dry seasons (Tadele *et al.*, 2013). Tsedeke (1985) and Yeshitila (2005) reported onion bulb yield losses of 33% and 26-57%, respectively due to onion thrips in Ethiopia. Similar studies at upper Awash Agro Industry Enterprises revealed yield losses of 10 to 85% due to onion thrips in Ethiopia (Bezawork, 2006). In the study area even though the extent of damage on onion due to thrips has not been studied earlier, it is the most serious problem causing considerable yield losses. Onion producers expend additional costs for chemical purchasing even though, these chemicals were not effective against onion thrips.

Several non-chemical or cultural control methods of thrips were found effective in delaying or suppressing population of thrips on onion. Khaliq *et al.* (2014) and Ibrahim *et al.* (2015) evaluated the effectiveness of botanical insecticides against onion thrips and obtained more than 60% suppression of onion thrips. Use of resistant cultivars such as onion cultivars with open growth nature, yellow-green, glossy to semi glossy leaf surfaces were less attractive to onion thrips (Diaz-Montano *et al.*, 2012). Similarly, Gachu *et al.* (2012) and Hossain *et al.* (2015) reported that vegetable intercrops with onion were effective in onion thrips management with higher economic returns. The effects of these cultural methods of management were not evaluated in the study area and growers rely only on chemical insecticides for the management of thrips. Hence, the study was initiated to study the effect of intercropping onion with other vegetables on the management of onion thrips infesting onion.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Description of the study site

The experiment was conducted at Axum Agricultural Research Center (AxARC). The particular research site was Hatsebo which is 5 km east of Axum town. The study area is located at 14°07'86.9"N latitude and 038°46'08.3"E longitude with an altitude of 2101 m.a.s.l. It is located in northern part of the country in Central Zone of Tigray Region in the semiarid tropical belt of Ethiopia with "*weinadega*" agro climatic zone ("*weinadega*" means areas with medium altitude). This "*Weinadega*" is characterized by low and erratic rainfall with an average annual rainfall of 750 mm. The rainy season is mono-modal concentrated in one season from July to September. The long term mean maximum and minimum temperatures range from 24.4 (May) to 31.4°C (June) and from 13.2 (July) to 8.7 °C (December), respectively. The soil type is classified as vertisol with a characteristic feature of clay soil type with P^H 7.5 to 8.3 (AxARC, 2012).

2.2. Treatments and experimental design

The experiment was laid out in a randomized complete block design (RCBD) with split plot arrangement replicated three times. Two onion varieties Bombay Red and Nasik Red were used as main plot treatments. Intercropping onion with cabbage, carrot, lettuce and a combination of them (cabbage+carrot, cabbage + lettuce, carrot + lettuce) along with treating onion with the insecticide Lambda-cyhalothrin (Karate) 5% EC (at a rate of 1Lha⁻¹) and untreated control as checks were used as subplots. The plot size was 4.4 x 2 m, spacing between the main plots and the sub-plots were 2 and 1 m, respectively. Spacing between the furrow and ridges were 40 and 20 cm, respectively. The spacing between plants (intra-plant spacing) was 10, 40 and 20 cm for onion, cabbage and lettuce, respectively. A plot had 20 rows all planted with onion in the sole onion plots but only 10 alternative rows were planted with onion in the intercropped plots. Onion and the intercropped species were planted in the ridge in alternate rows each constituting ten rows. Carrot was sown directly by drilling on the corresponding rows in the experimental field.

95 **2.3. Experimental procedure and field management**

96

97 **Nursery managements:** Seeds of Bombay Red and Nasik Red were planted on November
98 01/2015 at a rate of 4.0 kg ha^{-1} with 95% germination (EARO, 2004) on 5x1 m well prepared
99 seedbed for each of the variety. Onion varieties were sown spaced of 2 cm between seeds and 10
100 cm between rows. Cabbage and lettuce were seeded in a 5x1 m seed bed at the rate of 400g ha^{-1}
101 and 300 g ha^{-1} , respectively, on November 25/2015. Carrot was seeded on the main field at
102 5kg ha^{-1} on the same date. Onion seedlings were managed in the nursery for 55 days, whereas
103 cabbage and lettuce for 30 days only. All nursery management practices, such as mulching,
104 watering, fertilizer application and weeding, were applied as per recommendation for raising
105 vigorous and healthy seedling of each crop.

106

107 **Transplanting:** Seedlings were transplanted to the main experimental field when they attained
108 3-4 true leaves (on December 25/2015) by carefully uprooting them from nursery beds. One day
109 before transplanting, the nursery beds were irrigated to ease uprooting of seedlings. During
110 transplanting healthy, vigorous and uniform seedlings were transplanted and gap filling was
111 made within a week after transplanting to maintain the desired plant population per plot. Carrot
112 was directly sown on the rows allotted to it in the main field on November 25/2015. After
113 germination thinning of crowded seedlings were done to maintain 5 cm spacing between plants.

114 **Field management:** The field experiment was conducted at Axum Agricultural Research Center
115 (AxARC) horticulture field. The field was ploughed using oxen and harrowed manually to bring
116 the soil to fine tilth. Then the seedlings were transplanted to well prepared and irrigated
117 experimental field. The field was furrow irrigated twice weekly in the first four weeks and
118 weekly thereafter. Fertilizer Diammonium phosphate (DAP) was applied during transplanting at
119 the rate of 100 kg ha^{-1} (18% N: 46% P_2O_5). But urea was applied at the rate of 100 kg ha^{-1} (46%
120 N ha^{-1}) in split applications at transplanting and a month after transplanting (EARO, 2004).
121 Cultivation, weeding and all other agronomic practices, except chemical application, were
122 performed as per the recommendation for onion production. Harvesting was done when plants
123 attained physiological maturity. Lettuce was harvested starting 35 days earlier than onion but all
124 plants were not picked at once. It was harvested continuously for three weeks. Cabbage was also
125 harvested 15 days earlier than onion and carrot. Ten randomly tagged onion plants in each plot

126 were used to measure number of thrips, incidence and severity of damage, number of predatory
127 thrips and yield components of onion. All plants from each net plot area were harvested to record
128 the marketable yield, total yield of onion and intercropped plants.

129

130 **2.4. Data Collection**

131

132 Data on number of thrips, infestation and damage severity were taken at 15 days intervals
133 starting from a week after transplanting and continued until physiological maturity. Both nymphs
134 and adults were counted by examination of the entire plant with the aid of 10x magnifying hand
135 lens. Counting thrips was done during wind free time of the day normally, early in the morning
136 and late afternoon.

137

138 The percent reduction of number of thrips/plant was calculated using the formula of Dutta et al.
139 (2014): percent thrips population reduction over untreated control =

$$\frac{(\text{Mean of control} - \text{Mean of treatment})}{\text{Mean of control}} \times 100$$

140 Thrips infestation was calculated as a ratio of infested to total sampled plants (100 plants).
141 Leaves of each randomly tagged standing plants were examined to assess severity on a scale of
142 1-5 based on Smith *et al.* (1994) where 1 = no damage, 2 = up to 25%, 3 = 26-50%, 4 = 51-75%
143 and 5 = >75% damage. Yield and yield component data were collected at physiological maturity,
144 after harvesting and curing. Except the total yield, all data were taken from 10 randomly selected
145 plants. Data on total yield and yield of intercrops were collected from the entire plot. Monetary
146 return of each treatment was calculated based on the market price of the produce during harvest.
147 The market price of onion, cabbage, lettuce and carrot in each treatment was converted into gross
148 gain in ETB ha⁻¹. However, the additional cost of insecticide lambda-cyhalothrin and its
149 application was subtracted from the gross return of onion in the treated standard check.

150

151 **2.5. Data Analysis**

152

153 Data were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) using the PROC-GLM procedure of SAS
154 version 9.1 (SAS Institute Inc., 2005) software. Differences among treatment means were

155 separated using the Tukeys Studentized range test minimum significant difference (MSD) at 5%
156 probability level.

157

158 **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

159

160 **3.1. Effect of intercropping on the number of onion thrips on onion**

161

162 The number of thrips per plant was low during the initial sampling periods, but progressively
163 increased through time up to physiological maturity of onion. Thrips population was statistically
164 similar in all treatments up to 15 days after transplanting (DAT). This might be the result of the
165 stage of development of the premature intercropped species to render any notable effects on
166 thrips population. However, from 30 DAT onwards, onion intercropped with vegetables had
167 significantly ($P < 0.01$) lower thrips population than the plots treated with lambda-cyhalothrin
168 and untreated onion sole crops (Table 1). Onion varieties had no significant difference ($P > 0.05$)
169 on the number of onion thrips (Table 3).

170

171 Intercropping onion with cabbage, onion with cabbage + carrot, onion with carrot + lettuce,
172 onion with cabbage + lettuce and onion with carrot highly significantly ($P < 0.01$) reduced the
173 number of thrips per plant by 58.47, 63.81, 46.10, 50.51, and 28.16%, respectively at 60, 75 and
174 90 DAT (Table 2). The highest reduction of thrips population and damage (63.81%) was in
175 onion intercropped with cabbage + carrot. The number of thrips was decreased in all treatments
176 115 DAT. This might be due to the full maturity of the crop and occurrence of unusual rainfall.
177 Up to three Predatory thrips (*Aeolothrips Spp*) per plant was observed on onion intercropped
178 plots and but were totally absent in the chemical treated plots because of the adverse differential
179 effect of the insecticide on the natural enemies.

180

181 The intercropping treatments significantly reduced onion thrips per plant compared to chemical
182 treated and untreated sole cropping. This could be due to plant volatile chemicals, the visual and
183 physical interference by the mixed crop species. The companion plants act as physical barriers to
184 the movement of the insect pest and provide food and shelter for predators. The chemical or

185 visual communication between thrips and onion was also disrupted. The intercropped species
186 acted as trap crops as cabbage collected up to 64 onion thrips per single leaf. The presence of
187 multiple plants probably interfered with an insect's ability to detect host plant by physical
188 masking of the host plant or by producing volatiles that confuse the insect.

189
190 In this study the highest number of onion thrips per onion plant was recorded on insecticide
191 treated (mean number of thrips per plant 205.83) compared to the untreated check and
192 intercropped treatments. This could indicate the development of resistance to the insecticide
193 lambda-cyhalothrin in pest thrips. However, due to the adverse effect of the insecticide on
194 natural enemies there was no single predatory thrips recorded on onion sprayed with lambda-
195 cyhalothrin. The onion monoculture year after year and repeated application of Lambda-
196 cyhalothrin contributed to the failure of the insecticide to kill the pest. It is already established
197 fact that use of persistent and broad spectrum pesticides kills differentially beneficial natural
198 enemies. This caused pest resurgence, which was the rapid reappearance of a pest population in
199 injurious numbers following pesticide applicati

200
201 Various researchers reported the effects of intercropping on thrips population reduction. Hossain
202 *et al.* (2015) reported that intercropping onion with carrot and tomato significantly reduced thrips
203 population by up to 52.42% and 48.84%, respectively. Intercropping of spider plant
204 (*Chlorophytum comosum*) and carrot significantly ($P \leq 0.01$) reduced thrips population on three
205 onion varieties (Bombay Red, Red Creole and Orient F1), with the spider plant resulting in the
206 highest reduction up to 45.2% (Gachuet *et al.*, 2012). Intercropping reduced pests attack since the
207 non host crop acted as physical barriers to the movement of insect pests (Baidoo, 2012). Smith
208 and Liburd (2015) stated that when an herbivore encounters a plant it cannot feed on, it must
209 expend additional time and energy searching for an acceptable plant. This reduces the time and
210 energy of the insect that damage crop or deposit offspring and in some instances discourages and
211 forces the insect to migrate from the area.

212
213 According to MacIntyre Allen *et al.* (2005), the diagnostic dose bioassays showed that 15 of 16
214 field collected onion thrips populations were resistant to lambda-cyhalothrin, 8 of 16 were
215 resistant to diazinon and all were resistant to deltamethrin. These results indicated that

216 insecticide resistance is a wide spread problem in onion thrips. Similar to the current study, at
 217 Upper Awash and Ziway areas in Ethiopia, onion thrips had developed resistance to the
 218 insecticide Lambda-cyhalothrin and onion producers were forced to shift to other insecticides,
 219 such as selecron to manage onion thrips. Yeshitila (2005) reported that the performance of
 220 lambda-cyhalothrin was lower than selecron and botanical treatments in the experiment carried
 221 out at Shoarobit (Ethiopia) in 2003 and 2004.

222
 223 In the current study, intercropping of onion with cabbage had a mutual effect on insect pest
 224 reduction. Very few numbers of cabbage aphids and diamondback moth were observed on
 225 cabbage compared to cabbage monocropping in the nearby farmer's field. Similarly, Baidoo *et*
 226 *al.* (2012) stated that significantly lower numbers of *Brevicoryne brassicae* on the intercropped
 227 plants was attributed to the confusing olfactory and visual cues offered by onion which reduced
 228 their ability to disperse. Sankar *et al.* (2007) reported that intercropping cabbage with garlic and
 229 onion significantly reduced the populations of aphids on cabbage. The odor from onion is able to
 230 repel diamondback moth (*Plutella xylostella*) from settling on cabbage when onion was used as
 231 an intercrop. Garlic and onion produce a pungent alliaceous compound, allyl-epropyl-disulphide,
 232 which is responsible for its pest repellance attribute (Said and Itulya, 2003).

233
 234 Table 1. Effect of intercropping on the number of onion thrips (mean number of thrips per plant)

Intercropping	(Days after transplanting)						
	15	30	45	60	75	90	115
Onion with							
Cabbage	11.62 ^a	11.1 ^d	29.45 ^c	60.02 ^e	60.93 ^{de}	68.73 ^{ef}	23 ^d
Carrot +lettuce	13.47 ^a	15.25 ^{cd}	31.27 ^{bc}	74.57 ^d	67.27 ^{de}	89.20 ^d	35.60 ^c
Untreated	15.63 ^a	23.18 ^b	46.20 ^b	92.60 ^b	127.73 ^b	165.50 ^b	51.97 ^b
Lettuce	15.07 ^a	17.08 ^{bcd}	39.48 ^{bc}	82.45 ^c	94.80 ^c	112.13 ^c	52.37 ^b
Lamdacyhalothrin	14.85 ^a	32.30 ^a	64.07 ^a	122.60 ^a	169.50 ^a	205.83 ^a	80.83 ^a
Cabbage +carrot	11.30 ^a	13.25 ^{dc}	29.75 ^{bc}	72.55 ^d	58.27 ^e	59.90 ^f	21.67 ^d
Cabbage +lettuce	12.27 ^a	18.38 ^{bc}	38.45 ^{bc}	73.02 ^d	92.43 ^c	81.90 ^{de}	35.50 ^c
Carrot	14.70 ^a	16.30 ^{bcd}	34.67 ^{bc}	77.47 ^{dc}	80.07 ^{dc}	118.9 ^c	26.87 ^{dc}
MSD(p≤0.05)	5.52	7.16	16.71	7.34	21.53	4.34	9.98
CV (%)	19.92	19.14	20.95	4.4	11.26	6.21	11.95

235 MSD (5%) =Minimum significant difference at $P \leq 0.05$, CV (%) = Coefficient of variation in
 236 percent. Means in columns with the same letter(s) are not significantly different at 5% level of
 237 significance using Tukeys Studentized range test.

238

239 Table 2. Number of onion thrips reduction (%) over control

Intercropping Onion with	Days after transplanting						
	15	30	45	60	75	90	115
Cabbage	26.65	52.11	36.25	35.18	52.29	58.47	55.74
Carrot +lettuce	13.82	34.21	32.32	19.47	43.33	46.1	31.49
Untreated	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lettuce	3.58	26.32	14.54	10.96	25.78	32.25	-
Lamdacyhalothrin	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cabbage +carrot	27.7	42.84	35.61	21.65	54.38	63.81	58.3
Cabbage +lettuce	21.49	20.71	16.77	21.14	27.64	50.51	31.69
Carrot	5.95	29.68	24.96	16.33	37.3	28.16	48.29

240

241 Table 3. Effect of onion varieties on the number of onion thrips

Varieties	Days after transplanting						
	15	30	45	60	75	90	115
Nasik Red	13.37 ^a	17.95 ^a	38.54 ^a	81.87 ^a	94.21 ^a	113.28 ^a	41.12 ^a
Bombay Red	13.86 ^a	18.76 ^a	39.79 ^a	81.94 ^a	93.54 ^a	112.25 ^a	40.83 ^a
MSD(P≤0.05)	1.68	2.17	5.08	2.23	6.54	4.34	3.03
Significance level	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

242 MSD (5%) =Minimum significant difference at $P \leq 0.05$, NS = No significant difference at $P >$
 243 0.05

244

245

246 3.2. Effect of intercropping on thrips infestation and damage severity on onion (%)

247

248 The analysis of variance showed that thrips damage severity was highly significant ($P < 0.01$). It
 249 was lower on onion intercropped with vegetables than both treated and untreated onion sole
 250 crops (Table 4). However, thrips incidence was not significantly affected by both varieties and
 251 intercropping (Table 6). Thrips damage severity was low during the first sampling (15 DAT) but
 252 increased gradually over time on the onion crop. However, thrips infestation was high from the
 253 first week of sampling and remained high until the physiological maturity. Intercropping onion
 254 with cabbage, onion with cabbage + carrot, onion with lettuce + carrot, and onion with carrot had highly
 255 significantly ($P < 0.01$) lower thrips damage severity than onion sole cropping. Intercropping onion
 256 with cabbage, onion with cabbage + carrot, onion with lettuce + carrot and onion with carrot
 257 showed a better effect in reducing thrips damage severity by 36.13, 31.93, 36.13 and 31.51% at
 258 45 DAT and 23.37, 23.09, 17.66 and 14.94% at 90 DAT, respectively (Table 6).

259

260 Intercropping played an important role in reducing thrips damage severity by decreasing the
 261 number of onion thrips population. This was due to the physical, visual, and chemical
 262 interferences in addition to conserving more natural enemies. The highest thrips damage severity
 263 was recorded on onion treated with the insecticide lambda-cyhalothrin through time up to
 264 physiological maturity. 75% of the onion leaves were damaged on the insecticide treated plots
 265 ((mean scale = 4.28) (table 4)). This could be due to the highest number of infestation (205.83
 266 onion thrips) per plant (Table 1). Onion thrips feeding damage results in leaf tissue silvering and
 267 photosynthesis reduction, leading to bulb size reduction and yield loss.

268
 269 The significant effect of intercropping on thrips damage severity reduction in the present study
 270 was in line with other findings. Hossain *et al.* (2015) reported that intercropping onion with
 271 vegetables; carrot, tomato and french bean had significantly reduced thrips damage severity on
 272 onion. Similarly, Gachu *et al.* (2012) observed intercropping onion with carrot, french bean and
 273 spider plant that significantly reduced thrips damage severity on onion. Trdan *et al.* (2006)
 274 studied intercropping against onion thrips and observed that the least damage was recorded on
 275 the onions intercropped with buckwheat (*Fagopyrum* spp) and *Lacy phacelia*.

276
 277 Table 4. Effect of intercropping onion with other vegetables on thrips damage severity scale 1-5

Intercropping Onion with	Days after transplanting						
	15	30	45	60	75	90	115
Cabbage	1.10 ^c	1.28 ^c	1.52 ^d	2.25 ^e	2.68 ^d	2.82 ^e	2.8 ^e
Carrot+lettuce	1.23 ^{bc}	1.38 ^{de}	1.52 ^d	2.27 ^e	2.88 ^{cd}	3.03 ^{de}	2.97 ^{de}
Untreated	1.53 ^a	1.87 ^{ab}	2.38 ^a	3.03 ^b	3.35 ^b	3.68 ^b	3.58 ^b
Lettuce	1.32 ^b	1.82 ^{bc}	2.08 ^b	2.75 ^c	3.28 ^b	3.43 ^{bc}	3.32 ^{bc}
Lamda-cyhalothrin	1.58 ^a	2.10 ^a	2.65 ^a	3.4 ^a	4.03 ^a	4.28 ^a	4.15 ^a
Cabbage+carrot	1.13 ^{bc}	1.22 ^e	1.62 ^{cd}	2.38 ^e	2.75 ^d	2.83 ^e	2.85 ^{de}
Cabbage +lettuce	1.30 ^{bc}	1.62 ^{cd}	1.85 ^{bc}	2.63 ^{cd}	3.22 ^{bc}	3.27 ^{cd}	3.13 ^{dc}
Carrot	1.23 ^{bc}	1.53 ^d	1.63 ^{cd}	2.43 ^{de}	3.05 ^{bcd}	3.13 ^d	3.02 ^{de}
MSD(p<0.05)	0.2	0.25	0.29	0.23	0.37	0.29	0.29
CV%	7.66	7.62	7.52	4.28	5.76	4.31	4.53

278 MSD (5%) =Minimum significant difference at $P \leq 0.05$, CV (%) = Coefficient of variation in
 279 percent. Means with the same letter(s) within a column are not significantly different at 5% level
 280 of significance using Tukeys Studentized Range Test.

281
 282
 283

284 Table 5. Thrips damage severity reduction (%) over control

Intercropping	Days after transplanting						
	15	30	45	60	75	90	115
Onion with							
Cabbage	28.1	31.55	36.13	25.74	20	23.37	21.79
Carrot+lettuce	19.61	26.2	36.13	25.08	14.03	17.66	17.04
Untreated	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lettuce	13.72	2.67	12.6	9.24	2.09	6.79	7.26
Lamda-cyhalothrin	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cabbage+carrot	26.14	34.76	31.93	21.45	17.91	23.09	20.39
Cabbage +lettuce	15.03	13.37	22.27	13.2	3.88	11.14	2.57
Carrot	19.61	18.18	31.51	19.8	8.95	14.94	15.64

285

286

287 Table 6. Effect of intercropping onion with other vegetables on thrips infestation (%)

Intercropping	Days after transplanting						
	15	30	45	60	75	90	115
Onion with							
Cabbage	92	95	98.83	99.67	100	100	100
Carrot+lettuce	93.5	95.33	99.17	99.67	100	100	100
Untreated	93.67	95.83	99.5	100	100	100	100
Lettuce	92.5	95.5	99.17	99.33	100	100	100
Lamda-cyhalothrin	93.5	96.33	99.67	100	100	100	100
Cabbage+carrot	92.67	95.83	99	99.83	100	100	100
Cabbage +lettuce	92.67	95.83	99	99.5	100	100	100
Carrot	93.5	95.83	99	100	100	100	100
Significance level	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

288 NS=No significant difference ($P > 0.05$) on thrips infestation.

289

290

291 **3.3. Effect of intercropping on yield and yield components of onion**

292

293 The highest bulb diameters, 6.26 and 6.15 cm were recorded on onion intercropped with lettuce
 294 + carrot and carrot, respectively. Similarly, the highest bulb length (4.71cm), bulb weight
 295 (116.49g) and biomass weight (121.28 g) per plant were recorded on onion intercropped with
 296 carrot only. These parameters were also the highest on onion intercropped with carrot + cabbage
 297 but not significantly different ($P > 0.05$) from the untreated control. Onion intercropped with
 298 cabbage, onion with lettuce and onion with cabbage + lettuce gave the smallest bulb length, bulb
 299 diameter, bulb weight and biomass weight per plant compared to the untreated control (Table 7).

300 This could be due to competition for nutrients, water and light. Similarly, the smallest
301 measurements of bulb length, bulb diameter, bulb weight and biomass weight of 4.21cm,
302 5.39cm, 76.19 and 81.41 g, respectively were observed on insecticide treated onion sole
303 cropping. This might be due to the highest thrips population that severely damaged the crop.
304 Overall there was no significant difference ($P > 0.5$) in the yield and yield contributing characters
305 of onion between Nasik Red and Bombay Red varieties. The two varieties have the same
306 productivity potential (30 t/ha) by (EARO, 2004).

307
308 Onion Intercropped with carrot+ lettuce and onion with carrot gave statistically similar
309 marketable bulb yield of 35.52 and 31.87 t/ha, respectively compared with the untreated check
310 (34.21 t/ha). The lower onion marketable yield, were recorded on intercropping onion with
311 cabbage+ lettuce, onion with cabbage and onion with cabbage+ carrot with yields of 23.54,
312 24.53 and 26.77 t/ha, respectively, followed by the insecticide treated onion (27.76t/ha)
313 compared to the untreated check. The reduction in yield in the intercropping treatments could be
314 due to competition for light, nutrient and water. There might be also a shading effect; the lowest
315 bulb yield was recorded in onion intercropped with large canopy plants cabbage+ lettuce. The
316 onion marketable yield reduction in the current study concurs with findings reported by other
317 researchers. Hossain *et al.* (2015) observed onion yield reduction in intercrops of onion with
318 carrot, tomato and french bean compared to that of insecticide treated and untreated onion
319 monocropping. Gachu *et al.* (2012), working on onion intercropping with spider plant and carrot,
320 reported that intercropping onion with carrot and spider plant significantly ($P < 0.05$) reduced
321 onion bulb yield. Kabura *et al.* (2008) indicated that onion planted as a monocrop had higher
322 total and marketable yield than the onion-pepper intercrop. Intercropping onion with *Lacy*
323 *phacelia* also resulted in reduced onion yield (Trdan *et al.*, 2006).The marketable yield reduction
324 in onion was compensated by yield of the vegetables.

325
326 In the current study, the highest gross income per unit area was recorded on onion intercropping
327 compared to onion treated and untreated sole cropping (Table 8). Onion intercropped with lettuce
328 and onion with lettuce + cabbage gave significantly ($P < 0.01$) higher gross income 307,344 and
329 306,341 ETB ha⁻¹ respectively, followed by onion intercropped with cabbage + carrot 300,990
330 ETB ha⁻¹. This could be due to the diverse species within the same plot that had different prices

331 at the harvesting season. However, the lowest gross return per hectare was recorded from the
 332 insecticide treated control 194,583 ETBha⁻¹. Intercropping onion with vegetables had value
 333 addition over the onion sole cropping and diversifies the production and decreases the cost of
 334 production.

335
 336 Similar to the present findings, Hossain *et al.* (2015) concluded that intercropping of onion with
 337 carrot or tomato was suitable for the management of onion thrips infesting onion with higher
 338 economic return. Aswathanarayanareddy *et al.* (2006) indicated that chili intercropped with
 339 onion, garlic, brinjal, bhendi, marigold, maize and beans were significantly superior, to others
 340 with lesser infestation of whitefly, thrips, aphids, jassids, and pod borers with higher yield than
 341 sole crop of chili.

342 Table 7. Effect of intercropping on yield and yield components of onion

Intercropping Onion with	Bulb length (cm)	Bulb diameter (cm)	Bulb weight (g)	Biomass yield (g)	Marketable bulb yield (t/ha)	Gross return (ETB/ha)
Cabbage	4.55 ^{ab}	5.75 ^{abc}	88.40 ^{cde}	93.91 ^{cd}	24.53 ^c	281823 ^{ab}
Carrot +lettuce	4.69 ^a	6.26 ^a	111.77 ^{ab}	115.82 ^{ab}	35.52 ^a	278138 ^b
Untreated	4.39 ^{bc}	5.52 ^{bc}	89.87 ^{cd}	92.61 ^{cd}	34.21 ^a	239714 ^c
Lettuce	4.43 ^{bc}	5.39 ^c	90.17 ^{cd}	94.88 ^{cd}	28.17 ^{bc}	307344 ^a
Lamdacyhalothrin	4.21 ^c	5.39 ^c	76.19 ^e	81.41 ^d	27.76 ^{bc}	194583 ^d
Cabbage+carrot	4.69 ^a	5.99 ^{ab}	100.22 ^{bc}	104.59 ^{bc}	26.77 ^{bc}	300990 ^{ab}
Cabbage+lettuce	4.39 ^{bc}	5.53 ^{bc}	83.19 ^{de}	87.87 ^d	23.54 ^c	306341 ^a
Carrot	4.71 ^a	6.15 ^a	116.49 ^a	121.28 ^a	31.87 ^{ab}	232266 ^c
MSD(p<0.05)	0.24	0.55	12.75	16.66	5.29	28196
CV (%)	2.61	4.68	6.62	8.26	8.93	5.17

343 MSD (5%) =Minimum significant difference at (P ≤ 0.05), CV (%) = Coefficient of variation in
 344 percent. Means with the same letter(s) within a column are not significantly different at 5% level
 345 of significance using Tukey. The Gross return ETB/ha was calculated from the yield obtained
 346 from each crop multiplied by its field price value at harvesting time. Onion 7 birr/kg, cabbage
 347 3.50 ETB /kg, lettuce 1.75 ETB /plant, carrot 8 ETB /kg and the cost of insecticide (500 ETB/l)
 348 and its total application cost (420 ETB) was subtracted from the insecticide treated treatments.
 349

350 4. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

351 The study showed that onion-vegetable intercropping significantly reduced onion thrips
 352 population and thrips damage severity. On the other hand, it enhanced thrips natural enemies and

353 the gross gain per hectare (production per unit area). The highest thrips population percentage
354 reduction was obtained from onion intercropped with cabbage + carrot (63.81%) and onion with
355 cabbage only (58.47%). Predatory thrips (*Aeolothrips sp.*) were observed on onion intercropped
356 plots and were totally absent in the chemical treated plots. Therefore, the onion-vegetable
357 intercropping practice is suitable in onion production, especially for small-scale farmers who do
358 not have adequate resources for purchasing insecticides. This system would also be very ideal for
359 integrated pest management with organic vegetable production, in which chemical application is
360 required and also avoids human and environmental hazards due to pesticides. Growing of
361 multiple crops in intercropping system reduced insect pests, encouraged beneficial insect
362 population, and increased the productivity per unit of land. It allowed crop diversification as well
363 as reduced financial risk in the event of crop failure.

364 REFERENCES

- 365
- 366 1. Trdan S, Valic N, Zezlina I, Bergant K, Znidarcic D. Light blue sticky boards for mass
367 trapping of onion thrips, *Thrips tabaci* L. (Thysanoptera: Thripidae), in onion crops.
368 *Journal of Plant Diseases Protection*. 2005; 112: 173–180.
- 369 2. Trdan S, Znidarcic D, Valic N, Rozman L, Vidrih M. Intercropping against onion thrips,
370 *Thrips tabaci* Lind. (Thysanoptera: thripidae) in onion production: on the suitability of
371 orchard grass, lacy phacelia, and buckwheat as alternatives for white clover. *Journal of*
372 *Plant Diseases Protection*. 2006; 13:24-30.
- 373 3. Haidar K, Ghulam A, Asifa H, Ghayour A, Amijad A . Losses in onion (*Allium cepa*) due
374 to onion thrips (*Thrips tabaci*) and effect of weather factors on population dynamics of
375 thrips. *Journal of World Applied Science*. 2014; 32(11): 2250-2258
- 376 4. Ibrahim ND Adesiyun AA. Effects of staggered planting dates on the control of *Thrips*
377 *tabaci* Lind. and yield of onion in Nigeria. *African Journal of Agricultural Research*.
378 2009; 4 (1): 033-039.
- 379 5. Tadele Shiberu, Mulugeta Negeri and Thangavel Selvaraj. Evaluation of some botanicals
380 and entomopathogenic fungi for the control of onion thrips *Thrips tabaci* L. in West
381 Showa, Ethiopia. *Journal of Plant Pathology and Microbiology* 2013; 4: 161 doi:
382 10.4172/2157-7471.1000161.

- 383 6. Tsedeke Abate. Vegetable crops pest management, Pp. 52-55, In: (eds.), W. Godfrey, W.,
384 Bereket, T.T. Proceedings of the first Ethiopian Horticultural Workshop, Addis Ababa,
385 Ethiopia. 1985.
- 386 7. Yeshitla Merene. Population dynamics and damages of onion thrips (*Thrips tabaci*)
387 (Thysanoptera: Thripidae) on onion in northeastern Ethiopia. *Journal of Entomology and*
388 *Nematology*. 2005; 7(1): 1-4.
- 389 8. Bezawork Mekonnen. Major insect pests, diseases and weeds on major crops at the
390 Upper Awash Agro-Industrial Enterprise. In: Ferdu Azerefegne and Tsedeke Abate (eds).
391 Pages 61-67. Proceedings of a planning workshop on Facilitating the implementation and
392 adoption of IPM in Ethiopia. 2006; No. 17.
- 393 9. Khaliq A, Khan AA, Muhammad A, Tahir HM, Raza, AM Khan AM. Field evaluation
394 of selected botanicals and commercial synthetic insecticides against *Thripstabaci* Lind.
395 (Thysanoptera: Thripidae) populations and predators in onion field plots. *Journal of Crop*
396 *Protection*. 2014; 62:10-15.
- 397 10. Ibrahim Fitiwy, Abrha Gebretsadkan and Kiros Meles Ayimut Evaluation of botanicals
398 for onion thrips, *Thrips tabaci* Lind. (Thysanoptera: Thripidae) control at Gum Selassa,
399 South Tigray, Ethiopia. *Ethiopian Journal of Science*. 2015; 7(1): 32-45.
- 400 11. Diaz-Montano J, Fail J, Deutschlander M , Nault BA, Shelton AM. Characterization of
401 resistance, evaluation of the attractiveness of plant odors and effect of leaf color on
402 different onion cultivars to onion thrips (Thysanoptera: Thripidae). *Journal of Economic*
403 *Entomology*. 2012; 105: 632–641.
- 404 12. Gachu SM, Muthomi JW, Narla, RD, Nderitu, JH, Olubayo, FM, Wagacha JM
405 Management of thrips (*Thrips tabaci*) in bulb onion by use of vegetable intercrops.
406 *International Academic Journal*. 2012; 2(5): 393-402.
- 407 13. AxARCAxum Agricultural Research Center. Problem appraisal report. Aksum, Ethiopia.
408 2012.
- 409 14. Hossain MM, Khalequzzama KM, Mamun MAA, Alam MJ, Ahmed RN. Population
410 dynamics and management of thrips in bulb onion using vegetable intercrops.
411 *International Journal of Sustainable Crop Production*. 2015; 10 (3): 8-15.

- 412 15. Kabura BH, Musa B, Odo PE. Evaluation of the yield components and yield of onion
413 (*Allium cepa*L.) and pepper (*Capsicum annum* L.) intercrop in the Sudan savanna.
414 *Journal of Agronomy*. 2008; 7 (1): 88-92.
- 415 16. Aswathanarayanareddy, N., Ashok Kumar, C.I. and Gowdar, S.B. 2006. Effect of
416 intercropping on population dynamics of major pests of chilli (*Capsicum annum* L.)
417 under irrigated conditions. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Research*, 40 (4): 294-297.
- 418 17. Dutta NK, Mahmudunnabi M, Begum K, Ferdous AK Alam SN. Development of a
419 management approach against sucking pests of brinjal, Annual Report, Entomology
420 Division, 2014. 1701: 23-24.
- 421 18. Smith CM, Khan ZR, Pathak MD. Techniques for evaluating insect resistance in crop
422 plants. Boca Raton, Florida, USA. 1994.
- 423 19. Smith HA, Liburd OE. Intercropping, crop diversity and pest management. University of
424 Florida. 2015; 862: 1-7.
- 425 20. Baidoo, P.K., Mochiah, M.B. and Apusiga, K. 2012. Onion as a pest control intercrop in
426 organic cabbage (*Brassica oleracea*) production system in Ghana. *Journal of Sustainable*
427 *Agriculture Research*, 1(1):36-41.
- 428 21. MacIntyre Allen, J.K., Scott Dupree, C.D., Tolman, J.H. and Harris, C.R. 2005.
429 Resistance of *Thrips tabaci* to pyrethroid and organophosphorus insecticides in Ontario,
430 Canada, *Pest Management Science*, 61(8): 809-15
- 431 22. Sankar PK, Rahman MM, Das BC. Effect of intercropping of mustard with onion and
432 garlic on aphid populations and yield. *Journal of Biological Science*. 2007; 15: 35-40
- 433 23. Said M and Itulya FM (2003). Intercropping and nitrogen management effects of
434 diamondback moth and yields of collards in the highlands of Kenya. *African Crop*
435 *Science Journal*. 2003; 2: 35-42.

436

437

438

439

440