

**Tree Species Composition and Diversity of *Ipinu-Igede* Sacred Forest in Oju Local Government Area of Benue State, Nigeria**

**Abstract**

*The role of sacred forest/sacred groves in the conservation of biodiversity is well recognised and documented. Despite the importance of sacred forests in conservation, data of flora species composition and diversity in many sacred forests still remain scanty. The study was conducted to provide baseline data on tree species composition and diversity of Ipinu-Igede sacred forest with a view to promote the role of sacred forest in flora conservation in the area. Systematic sampling technique was adopted for the study. A base line transect of 2km long was established and five (5) other transects 2 km long were laid at regular interval of 500 m apart. On each transect, 4 sampling plots of 50m x 50m were established at a regular interval of 500m apart. Within the 50 m x 50 m plots, trees with diameter at breast height (DBH)  $\geq 10$  cm were identified and enumerated. Species Important Value Index (IVI), species richness, species evenness and species diversity were estimated. A total number of 50 tree species in 19 families were recorded. *Cola gigantea* was the most important tree species with IVI of 14.56, this was followed by *Harungana madagascariensis* 13.14. *Caesalpinioideae* was the dominant family with 6 species, 48.15% of the families were represented by only one species. The species richness was  $D=9.436$ , Species Evenness was  $J'=0.7668$  and species diversity was  $H=3.646$ . Thirty percent (30%) of the tree species were in the DBH class of 1-40cm indicating good regeneration status of the sacred forest. Acknowledgement of the traditional practices by scientists and other actors in natural resources conservation will help in promoting forest conservation.*

**Key words:** Biodiversity, Conservation, Sacred, Forest, composition and diversity

**Introduction**

The degradation of forest habitats due to anthropogenic activities are considered to be the major causes of decline in the global biodiversity (FAO, 2000, Morris, 2010). In Nigeria, forest resources are continuously under pressure due to the increasing demands of people and their associated industries for water, food, fuel, and income (Oribhabor, 2016). Community and sacred forests are not left out, as pressures due to human activities are gradually creeping into community and sacred forests (Agarwal, 2016). This is happening because the awareness about

35 the value of forest is still limited, as people still regard forests as gifts of nature that should  
36 only be exploited without replacement, with erroneous belief that such depleted forests could  
37 regenerate naturally (Udofia, 2007).

38 According to Chandrakanth *et al.* (2004) and Ormsby (2013) sacred forest are disappearing due  
39 to cultural change and pressure to use the natural resources that are found in these sacred  
40 forests. Despite the pressure, community and sacred forests appear to be the major sources of  
41 forest products in many communities because other forests have been completely deforested  
42 (Daye and Healey, 2015).

43 Sacred forests, also called sacred grooves, are places that have cultural or spiritual value for the  
44 people who live close to them (Ormsby, 2013). Many communities around the world have  
45 reasons behind their protection of sacred grooves. Some of these reasons are based on  
46 religious practices (Mgumia and Oba 2003; Onyekwelu and Olusola, 2014;)), burial grounds  
47 (Okali and Amubode, 1995) and watershed conservation (Asoka *et al.*, 2015, Agarwal, 2016).

48 In Nigeria, the role of sacred grooves in the conservation of biodiversity are well recognized  
49 and documented (Okali 1997; Oyelowo, *et al.*, 2012; Udoakpan, *et al.*, 2013; Onyekwelu and  
50 Olusola, 2014, Daniel *et al.*, 2015). Studies have demonstrated that, sacred grooves possess a  
51 great heritage of diverse gene pool of many forest species having socio-religious attachment  
52 with a lot of medicinal values (Asokan *et al.*, 2015). Sacred grooves are considered to be of  
53 ecological and genetically important (Agarwal, 2016). They harbour rare, endemic and  
54 endangered species of flora and fauna (Asokan *et al.*, 2015).

55 Despite the established values of sacred forests in biodiversity conservation in Nigeria,  
56 information on biodiversity of sacred forests is still scanty. This study was conducted  
57 provides preliminary information on the tree species composition and diversity in *Ipinu-Igede*  
58 sacred forest with a view of promoting forests biodiversity conservation in the area through the  
59 use of traditional institution.

60

## 61 **Materials and Methodology**

### 62 **Study Area**

63 The *Ipinu-Igede* sacred Forest is located in Oju Local Government Area of Benue State within  
64 the Southern Guinea Savanna zone covering an area of approximately 3km<sup>2</sup>. It lies between  
65 Longitude 8° 25' 0" and 8°41'67" E, and Latitude 6° 51' 0" and 6°85'0" N. Characterized by

66 two distinct seasons; wet and dry season. The wet season occur between April to October, and  
67 dry season between November to march. Mean annual rainfall is between 1200mm and  
68 1500mm. Mean annual temperature is 30°C. Relative humidity is between 60% and 80% wet  
69 but decreases in the early months of dry season (Jimoh *et al.*, 2009).

70 *Ipinu-Igede* is an ancestral heritage site for the Igede people of Benue State stretching  
71 through three communities; Oyinyi, Andibilla and Uchenyim. It is the location where the  
72 ancestral fathers of Igede land first settled when they migrated to Benue and the sacred grove  
73 contains relicts of traditional worship practices in the sacred grove.

#### 74 **Sampling design**

75 The survey team was made up of a plant taxonomist from the Department of Forest Production  
76 and Product, University of Agriculture, Makurdi and two experienced local guides who were  
77 knowledgeable in the local identification of tree species.

78 A base line was established 200 m from the edge of the forest and the five (5) subsequent transects of  
79 2km long were systematically positioned parallel to the first as described by Buckland *et al.*  
80 (1993) using compass and GPS at regular interval of 500m apart. This was to cover a larger  
81 proportion of the forest. On each of the transect, 4 sample plots of 50m x 50m were systematically laid  
82 at intervals of 500m. Within the 50 x 50 m plots, trees with diameter at breast height (DBH)  $\geq$  10  
83 cm were enumerated (Turyahabwe and Tweheyo, 2010, Ikyaagba *et al.*, 2016). Diameters of  
84 trees were measured using a diameter tape. Where there were cases of irregular features such as  
85 buttresses, diameters were taken above those features (Turyahabwe and Tweheyo, 2010). Each  
86 of the trees encountered was assigned a class based on DBH. The identification of plants  
87 samples was carried out using flora Field guides (Keay, 1989; Arbonnier, 2004, Agishi 2010).  
88 This was in conjunction with the taxonomist that was engaged for the identification of the  
89 trees on the field. Some of the trees were identified through their local names with the aid  
90 local guides, after which such names were compared with the names found in Agishi (2010)  
91 which have the Igede and the scientific names.

#### 92 **DATA ANALYSIS**

##### 93 **Tree species classification**

94 All plant species encountered were classified into families. Floristic composition in the study  
95 area was estimated using Importance Value Index (IVI), species richness, species diversity and  
96 species evenness.

97 Importance Value Index (IVI) was calculated for all species by summing relative frequency  
98 and relative density values for all the tree species. IVI was used to identify dominant tree  
99 species in the study area (Maingi and Marsh, 2006; Adam *et al.*, 2007).

#### 100 **Frequency**

101

102 Frequency =  $\frac{\text{Number of quadrats in which species occur}}{\text{Total number of quadrats sampled}}$   
103

104

#### 105 **Relative frequency**

106 The degree of dispersion of individual species in an area in relation to the number of all the  
107 species occurred.

108

109 Relative Frequency =  $\frac{\text{Species frequency of individual species}}{\text{Total of frequency values for all species}} \times 100$   
110

#### 111 **Density**

112

113 Density =  $\frac{\text{Number of individual species}}{\text{Area sampled}}$   
114  
115

#### 116 **Relative density**

117 Relative density is the study of numerical strength of a species in relation to the total number of  
118 individuals of all the species and can be calculated as:

119

120 **Relative Density** =  $\frac{\text{Species density of individual species}}{\text{Total density for all species}} \times 100$   
121  
122

123 **Importance Value Index (IVI)** = relative frequency+ relative density

124

125 Floristic composition in the sacred forest was estimated using diversity indices such as species  
126 richness, species evenness and species diversity. Species richness was computed using  
127 Margalef (1951) as expressed by Spellerberg (1991) and Magurran (2004) as follows:

$$128 \quad D = \frac{(S - 1)}{\ln N}$$

129 Where, D = species richness index (Margalef index), S = number of species and N = the total  
130 number of individuals.

131 Species diversity was estimated using Shannon- wiener diversity index as expressed by  
132 Spellerberg (1991) and Magurran (2004).

$$133 \quad H' = - \sum_{i=1}^s p_i \ln p_i$$

134 Where H' = species diversity index, pi = the proportion of individuals or the abundance of the  
135 i<sup>th</sup> species expressed as a proportion of the total abundance. The use of natural log is usual  
136 because this gives information in binary digits.

137 Species evenness was estimated using Pielou's evenness (equitability) index (Pielou, 1975)  
138 used by Turyahabwe and Tweheyo (2010) as follows:

$$139 \quad J' = \frac{H'(\text{observed})}{H_{\max}}$$

140 J' = Pielou's evenness index. Where H' (observed) / H<sub>max</sub>, where H<sub>max</sub> is the maximum  
141 possible diversity, which would be achieved if all species were equally abundant (=Log S)

## 142 **RESULTS**

### 143 **Tree species composition**

144 A total number of 50 tree species in 27 families were recorded in all (Table .1). The most  
145 occurring tree species in *Ipinu-Igede* sacred forest were *Cola gigantea* with Relative Frequency  
146 (RF) of 5.67% and Relative Density (RD) of 8.89%, This was followed by *Harungana*

147 *madagascariensis* with Relative Frequency (RF) of 4.26% and Relative Density (RD) of  
 148 8.89%, this was also followed closely by *Rauvolfia vomitoria* with Relative Frequency (RF) of  
 149 4.96% and Relative Density (RD) of 5.56%, *Elaeis guineensis* with Relative Frequency (RF) of  
 150 4.96%, and Relative Density (RD) of 4.44%. (Table.1).

151 On Important Value Index which provides knowledge on important species of a plant  
 152 community; *Cola gigantea* was the most dominant species with IVI value of 14.56, followed  
 153 by *Harungana madagascariensis*, *Rauvolfia vomitoria* and *Elaeis guineensis* with IVI values of  
 154 13.14, 10.52, 9.41 respectively,(Table.1).

155 **Table 1: Tree species composition showing the family, species, RF, RD, IVI of Ipinu-Igede**  
 156 **Sacred Forest.**

S/N	Species	Family	RF	RD	IVI
1	<i>Azelia africana</i> Pers.	Caesalpinioideae	2.84	2.78	5.61
2	<i>Albezia zygia</i> ( DC) J.F. Macbr.	Mimosaceae	1.42	1.11	2.53
3	<i>Alchornea cordifolia</i> ( Schmach & Thonn.) Mull.Arg	Euphorbiaceae	2.13	1.68	3.79
4	<i>Allophylus africanus</i> P.Beauv.	Sapindaceae	1.42	1.67	3.09
5	<i>Alstonia boonei</i> De Wild	Apocynaceae	3.55	2.78	6.32
6	<i>Anogeissus leiocarpus</i> (DC) Guill. & Perr.	Combretaceae	2.13	1.67	3.8
7	<i>Anthoclesta djalonesis</i> A.Chev.	Gentianaceae	0.71	1.11	1.82
8	<i>Antiaris toxicaria</i> ( Rumph ex Pers.)	Moraceae	2.13	1.67	3.79
9	<i>Aubrevillea kerstingii</i> (Harms) Pellegr	Mimosaceae	1.42	1.67	3.09
10	<i>Baphia nitida</i> Lodd	Papilionoideae	2.13	2.22	4.35
11	<i>Barteria fistulosa</i> Mast.	Passifloraceae	2.13	2.22	4.35
12	<i>Berlinia grandiflora</i> (Vahl) Hutch. & Dalziel	Caesalpinioideae	1.42	1.11	2.53
13	<i>Bombax costatum</i> Pellegr. & Vuille	Bombaceae	2.13	1.67	3.79
14	<i>Canarium schweinfurthii</i> Engl.	Burseraceae	1.42	1.11	2.53
15	<i>Ceiba pentandra</i> (L) Gaertn	Bombacaceae	2.13	1.67	3.79
16	<i>Celtis Zenkeri</i> Engl.	Ulmaceae	0.71	1.11	1.82
17	<i>Chrysophyllum albidum</i> G. Don	Sapotaceae	2.84	2.22	5.06
18	<i>Cola argentea</i> Mast	Sterculiaceae	5.67	8.89	14.56
19	<i>Daniellia oliveri</i> (Rolfe) Hutch. & Dalziel	Caesalpinioideae	1.42	1.67	3.09
20	<i>Dialium guineense</i> Willd.	Caesalpinioideae	0.71	0.56	1.26
21	<i>Diospyros mesipiliformis</i> Hochst ex D. AC	Ebanaceae	1.42	1.11	2.53
22	<i>Elaeis guineensis</i> Jacq.	Arecaceae	4.96	4.44	9.41
23	<i>Erythrophelum suaveolens</i> (Gull.& Perr.) Brenan	Caesalpinioideae	1.42	1.11	2.53

24	<i>Ficus exasperata</i> Vahl.	Moraceae	3.55	2.78	6.32
25	<i>Garcinia livingstonei</i> T. Anders	Guttiferae	2.13	1.67	3.79
26	<i>Harungana madagascariensis</i> Lam. er Poir	Guttiferae	4.26	8.89	13.14
27	<i>Holarrhena floribunda</i> (G.Don) T. Durand & Schinz.	Apocynaceae	1.42	1.11	2.53
28	<i>Irvingia gabonensis</i> (Aubry-Lecomte) Baill	Irvingiaceae	2.13	2.22	4.35
29	<i>Isoberlinia doka</i> Craib & Stapf.	Caesalpinioideae	0.71	1.11	1.82
30	<i>Khaya grandifoliola</i> C.DC	Meliaceae	3.55	3.33	6.89
31	<i>Khaya senegalensis</i> (Desr.) A. Juss.	Meliaceae	2.84	3.33	6.17
32	<i>Kigelia africana</i> (Lam) Benth	Bignoniaceae	0.71	0.56	1.26
33	<i>Lonchocarpus laxiflorus</i> Guill. & Perr	Leguminosae	1.42	1.67	3.09
34	<i>Mangifera indica</i> Linn.	Anacardiaceae	0.71	0.56	1.26
35	<i>Milicia excelsa</i> (Welw.) C.C. Berg	Moraceae	1.42	1.11	2.53
36	<i>Morinda lucida</i> Benth	Rubiaceae	2.84	2.76	5.61
37	<i>Mussanga cecropiodes</i> F. Br.	Cecropiaceae	0.72	0.56	1.26
38	<i>Napoleona Vogelii</i> Hook. & Planch	Lecythidaceae	0.71	0.56	1.26
39	<i>Newbouldia laevis</i> (P. Beauv.) Seemann ex Bureau	Bignoniaceae	0.71	0.56	1.26
40	<i>Pachystela pobeguiniiana</i> Pierre ex Lecomte	Sapotaceae	2.13	1.67	3.79
41	<i>Parkia bicolor</i> A. Chev	Mimosaceae	0.71	1.11	1.82
42	<i>Pterocarpus erinaceus</i> Lam	Papilionoideae	2.84	1.67	4.51
43	<i>Pterocarpus santalinoideus</i> DC	Papilionioceae	2.13	1.67	3.79
44	<i>Rauvolfia vomitoria</i> Afzel.	Apocynaceae	4.96	5.56	10.52
45	<i>Rothmannia hispida</i> (K. Schum) Fagerlind	Rubiaceae	0.71	1.11	1.82
46	<i>Spondia mombin</i> Linn.	Anacardiaceae	2.84	2.78	5.61
47	<i>Syzygium guineense</i> (Willd.) DC	Myrtaceae	2.13	1.67	3.79
48	<i>Terminalia superba</i> Engl.&Diels	Combretaceae	1.42	1.11	2.53
49	<i>Uapaca togoensis</i> Pax	Euphorbiaceae	1.42	1.67	3.09
50	<i>Vitex doniana</i> Sweet	Verbanaceae	0.71	0.56	1.26

157 RF= Relative Frequency, RD= Relative Density, IVI= Important Value Index

### 158 Family composition

159 A total of 25 families were recorded in the study area. The result shows that Caesalpinioideae  
160 was the dominant family with six (6) tree species representing (12%) of the species recorded.  
161 This was followed by Apocynaceae, Meliaceae, Mimosaceae, Papilionioceae Sapindaceae  
162 with three (3) tree species representing (6%) of the species recorded. Eleven (13) (48.15%)  
163 families recorded in the study area were represented by one (1) tree species. Also 8 (29.63%)  
164 of the families were represented by 2 species each, while 6(22.22) families were represented by  
165 3 and above tree species (Fig.1).




Fig. 1. Family Composition of Tree species in the *Ipinu-Igede* Sacred Grove

166

167

168 **Species Diversity, Richness and Evenness Indices**

169 A total of 50 species with 180 individual stands were recorded, The species richness for the

170 *Ipinu-Igede* sacred forest was  $D= 9.436$ , species evenness  $J' = 0.7668$  and Shannon-wheiner's

171 Diversity index stood at  $H'=3.646$  (Table 2).

172 **Table 2: Species Diversity, Richness and Evenness Indices**


Variables	Indices
Number of tree Species	50
Individuals	180
Shannon-wheiner's index_H	3.646
Species Evenness (J')	0.7668
Species Richness (D)	9.436

173



174 **Diameter at Breast Height Class of the Species**

175 The Diameter at Breast Height (DBH) class distribution indicated that 30% of the tree species  
176 were in DBH class of 1-40cm, 23% of the tree species were in the DBH class of 41-80cm.  
177 while 10 % of trees species in the study area were in DBH class of 161cm and above (Fig.2).



178

179

180

181

182 **DISCUSSION:**

183 **Tree species composition**

184 The number of tree species recorded in the *Ipinu-Igede* Sacred Forest was a demonstration of  
185 the value of sacred forest in forest biodiversity conservation. It also confirmed the diverse  
186 nature of sacred forest and it is an important conservation site (Asokan *et al.*, 2015, Agarwal.  
187 2016). The number of tree species recorded in this study was within the range of tree species  
188 composition recorded in Osun-Osogbo sacred grove with 61 tree species ( Onyekwelu *et al.*,  
189 2014). It was similar to 52 tree species recorded in Igbara-Oke sacred grove in Nigeria by

190 Oyelowo *et al.* (2012). The number was higher than what was obtainable in *Ayan Nsit* sacred  
191 forest in Nigeria (Udofia *et al.*, 2014). It was also higher than the number recorded by Daniel  
192 *et al.* (2015) in some selected sacred forests in Nigeria in which the highest number of tree  
193 species recorded was 38 species. At international level it was higher than 38 tree species  
194 recorded in Ilangudipatti Ayyanar sacred grove in India ( Thandavamoorthy, 2017).  
195 This result when compared to other studies implies that species composition in *Ipinu-Igede*  
196 Sacred Forest is diverse in tree species, considering the location of the study area which is  
197 located in the savanna. Also coupled with the fact that it has an inherent link with the host  
198 community who depend highly on the forest for timber, fuel wood, and other wood products  
199 for their livelihood which can easily result in the depletion of the tree species. Most of the tree  
200 species recorded in the study area were also recorded in other sacred forests in Nigeria  
201 (Onyekwelu, *et al.*, 2014, Udofia *et al.*, 2014, Daniel *et al.*, 2015). A good number of them are  
202 of high economic value, such species included; *Ceiba pentandra*, *Elaeis guinensis*, *Irvingia*  
203 *gabonensis*, *Khaya grandifoliola*, *Milicia excelsa*, *Terminalia superba*, *Pterocarpus spp.* and  
204 many others. The high number of tree species recorded in this study agreed with the other  
205 previous study which concluded that sacred forest of West Africa act as vital refuges for forest  
206 biodiversity ( Bosart, *et al.*, 2006, Kokou *et al.*, 2008, Onyekwelu, *et al.*, 2014, Udofia *et al.*,  
207 2014, Lynch *et al.*,2018).

#### 208 **4.5.2 Family composition**

209 The domination of *Caesalpinioideae*, agreed with the records of Richard (1996) and Schmitt  
210 (1996) that *Caesalpinioideae* is the most dominant tree family in West Africa with 115 tree  
211 species. Study by Jimoh *et al.* (2009) recorded *Caesalpinioideae* as the most abundant family.  
212 Other families with fair representation in the study area were *Apocynaceae*, *Meliaceae*,  
213 *Mimosaceae*, , *Papilionioceae* and *Sapindaceae*. Similar experience was recorded by Oyelowo  
214 *et al.*, (2012), Onyekwelu *et al.*, (2014), Daniel *et al.* (2015). The representation of good  
215 number of the families by only one or two tree species is similar to other studies in the

216 Savanna area of West Africa (Attua and Pabi, 2013, Ikyagba, *et al.*, 2015, Wakawa *et al.*,  
217 2017). However, this is an indication of the fragile nature of the savanna ecosystem, which  
218 requires attention to avoid extinction of some of these families.

#### 219 **4.5.3 Diversity indices:**

220 Diversity index is the measure of variety of species in an area. According to Sax (2002) and  
221 Daniel *et al.* (2015) an area with diversity index  $> 1$  is considered to be rich in species, while  
222 an area with diversity index  $< 1$  is considered to be less diverse. The result shows good species  
223 richness 9.436 and good species diversity 3.646; this is an indication that *Ipinu-Igede* Sacred  
224 Forest was rich in tree species. This result is higher than 2.05 and 1.11 recorded by Udofia *et*  
225 *al.* (2014) in Ayan Nsit, Its species diversity value was also higher than 3.54 and 2.35 recorded  
226 by Onyekwelu *et al.* (2014) in Osun Osogbo and Igbo-Olodumare sacred groves. The  
227 Evenness index of this study was higher than the values of 0.66 and 0.44 recorded by  
228 Onyekwelu *et al.* (2014) in Osun Osogbo and Igbo-Olodumare sacred groves. This was  
229 indication of fair representation of individual stand cross species.

230 In Tanzania, species richness in sacred groves was greater than in state forest reserves  
231 (Mgumia and Oba 2003). In Benin, Alohou *et al.* (2017) also recorded higher Species richness  
232 in Sacred forest compared to a forest reserve. This was an indication that some sacred forests  
233 are better than natural forests in terms of species richness, species diversity index and seedling  
234 regeneration potential. The evidence that sacred groves contain high species diversity and  
235 richness may support the consideration of conservationists for promoting sacred groves for in-  
236 situ biodiversity conservation.

237 The horizontal and vertical structures of the forest as revealed by the diameter and height  
238 distribution show a forest whose population structure is expanding, ensuring its stability. The  
239 high number of tree species within the DBH class of 1-40cm could be an indication of good

240 regeneration status of *Ipinu-Igede* sacred forest. Similar experience was recorded by Oyelowo *et*  
241 *al.* (2012) in Igbara-Oke in Nigeria, Onyekwelu *et al.* (2014) also reported a similar experience  
242 in Odun-Osogbo sacred grove. Another reason for most of the species in the lower DBH class  
243 could be that there is an increase in the disturbance of the forest from human activities, despite  
244 restrictions. As suggested by some authors (Colding and Folke 2001; Kobina and Kofi, 2009,  
245 Jimoh *et al.*, 2012) the success of traditional systems of resource conservation relies heavily on  
246 the presence of a homogenous ethnic or cultural community sharing similar values and  
247 experiences. This is usually based on a strong shared belief in the spiritual world and its  
248 pervasive influence on people's lives. The presence of other tribes in the area could be another  
249 reason for the disturbance of *Ipinu- Igede* sacred forest. Similar experience was reported by  
250 Jimoh *et al.*, (2012) among Ejagham tribe in Cross River state of Nigeria. In some instance  
251 member of the community consideration such practices as being evil due to influence of new  
252 Religion and westernization (Kobina and Kofi 2009, Onyekwelu *et al.*, 2014, Amonum *et al.*,  
253 2017). In Ghana Saj *et al.* (2006) reported a case where a Church encouraged her members to  
254 hunt monkey which is regarded as a taboo among the people. In Nigeria, Anoliefo *et al.* (2003)  
255 and Akindele (2010) reported that, many local people in Nigeria have embraced Christianity and  
256 hence shun traditional religion and its taboos. This degradation of sacred forest all over world,  
257 (Chandrakanth *et al.*, 2004, Sarfo-Mensah *et al.* 2010, Ormsby and Bhagwat, 2010). This called  
258 for strong enforcement of laws guiding this sacred forest by the communities where they are  
259 located.

## 260 **Conclusion**

261 Sacred Forests are generally established to meet traditional needs of the people. Sacred forest  
262 usually promote conservation of biodiversity. The result of this study have contribute to the  
263 body of studies which demonstrates that sacred forest can contribute immensely to the  
264 conservation of forest biodiversity. The study revealed that *Ipinu- Igede sacred forest* still

265 harbour rich forest. It is believed that the community maintained the Sacred forest in order to  
266 preserve their culture and tradition. Acknowledgement of the traditional practices by scientists  
267 and other actors in natural resources conservation will help in promoting forest conservation.

## 268 **Reference**

269 Adam, J. H., Mahmud, A. M. and Muslim, N. E. 2007. Cluster analysis on floristic and forest  
270 structure of hilly lowland forest in Lak Kawi, Sabah of Malasia. *International Journal*  
271 *Botany* 3. 4: 351-358.

272 Ademoh, F.O., Afolabi, A.M. and Egwaba, F.U. (2018). Floristic composition and community  
273 structure of woody species in a southern guinea savanna in Agbeji and Ajabalaka, Kogi  
274 state, Nigeria. *International Journal of Botany Studies*, 3 (5): 31-36

275 Adekunle V.AJ and Olagoke AO. 2008. Diversity and biovolume of tree species in natural  
276 forest ecosystem in the bitumen-producing area of Ondo state, Nigeria: a baseline study.  
277 *Biodiversity and Conservation* 17: 2735–2755.

278 Agarwal, M. (2016)Conserving Water and Biodiversity: Traditions of Sacred Groves in India.  
279 *European Journal of Sustainable Development* 5(4):29-140

280 Agishi, E.C. (2010). Tiv, Idoma, Etulo, Igede,Akwaya,Hausa, English and Scientific names of  
281 plants, 2nd Edition, Agitab publishers Ltd Makurdi.

282 Akindele, S. O. (2010). Forest Restoration through Traditional Institutions in Nigeria:  
283 Challenges and Prospects. From <[http://www.cfc2010.org/papers/session13/](http://www.cfc2010.org/papers/session13/Akindele-s13.pdf)  
284 [Akindele-s13.pdf](http://www.cfc2010.org/papers/session13/Akindele-s13.pdf)> (Retrieved August 27, 2011).

285 Alohou, E. C., Gbemavo, D. S. J. C., Mensah, S. and Ouinsav, C..(2017). Fragmentation of  
286 Forest Ecosystems and Connectivity Between Sacred Groves and Forest Reserves in  
287 Southeastern Benin, West Africa. *Tropical Conservation Science* 10: 1–11

288 Amonum, J.I., Ikyaaagba, E.T. and Maa, S.F. (2017).The Role of Customs and Taboos in the  
289 Conservation of Agogo Pond in Chito Stream in Ukum Local Government Area of  
290 Benue state. *Journal of Applied Tropical Agriculture* 22(2):166-172

291 Anoliefo, G. O., Isikhuemhen, O.S. and Ochije, N.R. (2003). Environmental  
292 Implications of the Erosion of Cultural Taboo Practices in Awka-South Local  
293 Government Area of Anambra State, Nigeria: 1. Forests, trees, and water resource  
294 preservation. *Journal of Agricultural and Environmental Ethics*, 16: 281-296

295 Arbonnier, M. 2004. Trees, Shrubs and Lianas of West African dry zones. Paris, CIRAD, 1-  
296 573pp

297 Asokan, A., Chouhan, S. and Singh, V. (2015) Sacred Grove—A Nature’s Gift—as a  
 298 Remedy for Human Ailments, a Biodiversity Reservoir for Restoring Indigenous  
 299 Traits for Endangered Listed Plants—A Review. *Open Access Library Journal*, 2:  
 300 e1517. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4236/oalib.1101517>

301 Attua, E.M. and Pabi, O. (2013). Tree Composition Richness and Diversity in Forest -  
 302 Savanna Ecotone of Ghana. *Journal. Applied. Bioscience*. 69:5437 – 5448

303 Bosart, J. L., Opuni-Frimpong, E., Kuudaar, S., and Nkrumah, E. (2006). Richness, abundance,  
 304 and complementarity of fruitfeeding butterfly species in relict sacred forests and  
 305 forest reserves of Ghana. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, 15, 333–359.

306 Chandrakanth, M.G., Bhat, M.G. and Accavva, M.S. (2004) Socioeconomic changes and  
 307 sacred groves in South India: protecting a community-based resource management  
 308 institution. *Natural Resources Forum* 28: 102–111

309 Colding J, Folke C 2001. Social taboos: “Invisible” systems of local resource management  
 310 and biological conservation. *Ecol Appl*, 11: 584-600

311 Daniel, K.S., Jacob, D.E. and Udeagha, A.U. (2015). Tree Species Composition in Selected  
 312 Sacred Forests in Nigeria, *International Journal of Mol. Ecol. and Conserv*, 5 (7) 1-10

313 Daye, D.D. and Healey, J.R. (2015). Impacts of land-use change on sacred forests at the  
 314 landscape scale. *Global Ecology and Conservation* 3 : 349–358

315 FAO (2000). Assessing Forest Integrity and Naturalness in Relation to Biodiversity. Forest  
 316 Resources Assessment - WP 54. On behalf of FAO as part of the Global Forest  
 317 Resources Assessment 2000 September 2000.

318 Ikyaaagba TE, Tee TN, Dagba BI, Ancha UP, Ngibo KD, Tume C (2015). Tree composition  
 319 and distribution in federal university of agriculture makurdi, Nigeria. *Journal of*  
 320 *research in forestry, wildlife and environment*, 7 (2):147 – 157.

321 Ikyaaagba, E. T., Jimoh, S. O. and Amonum, J. I. (2016). Effects of land use changes on Flora  
 322 diversity in Oban Division of the Cross River National Park, Nigeria . *Ghana Journal*  
 323 *of Forestry*. 31: 62-77

324 Jimoh, S.O., Adebisi, L.A and Ikyaaagba E.T. (2009). Biodiversity and ethnobotanical  
 325 potentials of plant species of University of Agriculture Makurdi Wildlife Park and  
 326 Ikwe Games Reserve, Benue State, Nigeria. *Int. J. Biol. Chem. Sci.*, 3 (6):1375-1385

327

- 328 Jimoh, S.O., Ikyaaqba, E.T., Aralape, A. A., Obioha, E. E. and Adeyemi, A. A. (2012). The  
329 Role of Traditional Laws and Taboos in Wildlife Conservation in the Oban Hill  
330 Sector of Cross River National Park (CRNP), Nigeria *J Hum Ecol*, 39.3: 209-219
- 331 Keay, R. W. J.(1989). *Trees of Nigeria*. Clarendon Press, Oxford Walnut Street, Oxford  
332 OX2.
- 333 Kobina, E.D. and Kofi, A. A. (2009). Change and Continuity: Using Indigenous Knowledge  
334 to Achieve Environmental Sustainability in Ghana. Paper presented at the 7th  
335 International Science Conference on the Human Dimensions of Global Environmental  
336 Change held in Germany, Bonn, on 26th -30th April, 2009 on the Theme. The Social  
337 Challenges of Global Change. From <[http://e08.cgpublisher.com/session](http://e08.cgpublisher.com/session_descriptions.html)  
338 [descriptions.html](http://e08.cgpublisher.com/session_descriptions.html)> (Retrieved January 5, 2011
- 339 Kokou, K., Adjossou, K., & Kokutse, A. D. (2008). Considering sacred and riverside forests in  
340 criteria and indicators of forest management in low wood producing countries: The case  
341 of Togo. *Ecological Indicators*, 8, 158–169
- 342 Lynch, L., Kokou, K. and Todd, S. (2018) Comparison of the Ecological Value of Sacred and  
343 Nonsacred Community Forests in Kaboli, Togo. *Tropical Conservation Science* 11: 1–  
344 11
- 345 Magurran, A. E. 2004. *Measuring Biological Diversity* Blackwell Science, Oxford.
- 346 Maingi, J. K. and Marsh, S. E. 2006. Composition, structure, and regeneration patterns in a  
347 gallery forest along the Tana River near Bura, Kenya. *Forest Ecology and Management*  
348 236: 211-228.
- 349 Mgumia, F. H. and Oba, G. 2003. Potential role of sacred groves in biodiversity conservation  
350 in Tanzania. *Environment and Conservation* 30: 259–265.
- 351 Morris, R. J.(2010) Anthropogenic impacts on tropical forest biodiversity: a network  
352 structure and ecosystem functioning perspective. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* 365: 3709–  
353 3718 doi:10.1098/rstb.2010.0273
- 354 Ormsby, A. A. and Bhagwat, S.A. (2010). Sacred forests of India: a strong tradition of  
355 community based natural resource management. *Environmental Conservation*, 37(3)  
356 320–326.
- 357 Ormsby, A. (2013). Analysis of Local Attitudes Toward the sacred Groves of Meghalaya and  
358 Kamataka, India. *Conservation Society* 11 : 187-197

- 359 Oribhabor, B. J. (2016) Impact of Human Activities on Biodiversity in Nigerian Aquatic  
360 Ecosystems. *Science International* 4: 12-20
- 361 Okali, D. U. U. 1997. Environmental and resources development: towards sustainable forestry  
362 development in Nigeria. in Oduwaiye et al. (eds) *Environment and Resource*  
363 *Development. Proceedings of the 1997 Annual Conference of the Forestry Association*  
364 *of Nigeria*. 22–26 September 1997, Ibadan. Pp 1–12
- 365 Okali, D.U.U. and Amubode, F.O. (1995): Resources conservation in Oboto, Nigeria. In:  
366 Towards Common Ground – Gender and Natural Resources Management in Africa.  
367 Pp. 27-47.
- 368 Onyekwelu, J. C. and Olusola, J.A. (2014) Role of Sacred Grove in In-situ Biodiversity  
369 Conservation in Rainforest Zone of South-western Nigeria. *Journal of Tropical*  
370 *Forest Science* 26(1): 5–15
- 371 Oyelowo, O. J., Aduradola A.M., Ekpo E.N. and Ine I.E (2012) Floristic Composition of a  
372 Sacred Grove in Igbara-oke, Ondo State, Nigeria. *Journal of forestry research and*  
373 *management*. 9, 83-92
- 374 Richards, P. W. (1996). *The Tropical Rainforest*. Cambridge: Cambridge University
- 375 Saj, T. L., Mather, C. and Sicotte, P. (2006). Traditional taboos in biological conservation:  
376 The case of *Colobus vellerosus* at the Boabeng-Fiema Monkey Sanctuary, Central  
377 Ghana. *Soc Sci Inform*, 45: 285-310.
- 378 Sax D.F. (2002). Equal diversity in disparate species assemblages: a comparison of native  
379 and exotic woodlands in California, *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, 11:49 -57
- 380 Schmit, K. (1996). Botanical survey in the Oban Division of CRNP –Technical Report on  
381 Oban Hill program Calabar 1-55pp
- 382 Spellerberg, I. F. (1991). *Monitoring Ecological Change*. New York USA, Cambridge  
383 University. 112-140pp
- 384 Thandavamoorthy, M (2017). Floristic Diversity of Ilangudipatti Ayyanar Sacred Grove at  
385 Pudukottai District of Tamil Nadu, India. *World Journal of Pharmacy and*  
386 *Pharmaceutical Sciences* 6 (8) 1056-1063
- 387 Turyahabwe, N. and Tweheyo, M. (2010). Does Forest tenure influence forest vegetation  
388 characteristic? A comparative analysis of private, local and central government forest  
389 reserves in Central Uganda: *The International Forestry Review*, 12 (4): 320 – 338.



- 390 Udoakpan U.I, Nelson, I. U. and Jacob, D.E. (2013). Ecological Survey of Plant Species  
391 Producing Valuable Forest Products in Two Sacred Forest in South Eastern Nigeria.  
392 *ARPN Journal of Science and Technology* 3 (4): 415-421
- 393 Udofia, S. I. (2007). Status of Homegardens in Akwa Ibom State, Nigeria. *Ph.D Dissertation*,  
394 Michael Okpara University of Agriculture, Umudike, Abia State, Nigeria. pp. 35-0.
- 395 Udofia, S. I., Owoh, P. W., Attah, V. I. and Thomas, A. D.(2014) Assessment of Plant  
396 Species Composition in Ayan Nsit Sacred Forest of Akwa Ibom State, Nigeria  
397 *Nigerian Journal of Agriculture, Food and Environment*. 10(2):34-37
- 398 Wakawa, L., Suleiman, A., Ibrahim, Y. and Adam, L. (2017). Tree Species Biodiversity of a  
399 Sahelian Ecosystem in North-East Nigeria. *Journal of Baringo Faculty of Forestry*  
400 19(2): 166-173,  
401  
402