

3 **Effects of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal inoculation on growth and yield of two sweet**
4 **potato varieties**

5
6 **ABSTRACT**

7 Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) represent a functionally important component of soil
8 microbial community, being of particular significance for plant mineral nutrition in tropical agro
9 ecosystems. The effects of AMF inoculation on growth and yield of two sweet potato varieties
10 was studied during the short rains season of 2017/2018 in the Teaching and Research Farm of
11 Agricultural Science and Technology Department, Kenyatta University. The experiment was laid
12 down as 2x2 factorial design in a randomized complete block design (RCBD) with three
13 replications. The experimental factors were two sweet potato varieties (Kemb-10 and Bungoma)
14 and AMF inoculation (With and without inoculation). Data on growth was collected on vine
15 length and number of branches, while data on yield was collected on marketable storage roots
16 and shoot biomass. Data was analyzed using Genstat 15th edition and the results showed that
17 there was significantly difference at $P \leq 0.05$ among the treatments. AMF inoculation increased
18 growth and yield of sweet potatoes by vine length 29.74%, Number of branches 22.36%,
19 marketable storage roots 18.32%, and shoot biomass 28.68% in week 20. Also, variety
20 interacting with AMF inoculation enhanced growth and yield parameters. In conclusion, the
21 study demonstrated that the application of commercial AMF inoculum solely or when interacting
22 with varieties enhanced the growth and yield of sweet potatoes.

23 *Keywords: Arbuscular mycorrhiza fungi; inoculation; interactions; growth; yields.*

24 **1. INTRODUCTION**

25 Sweet potato (*Ipomoea batatas* (L) Lam) is a dicotyledonous plant belonging to the family
26 Convolvulaceae. It is one of such important starchy tuber crops in tropical and subtropical
27 countries because of its yield potential and high calorific value. It is an important food security
28 crop in many developing countries [1]. The roots are mainly consumed though the leaves also

29 provide essential minerals, vitamins and protein [2]. It is ranked as the sixth most important food
30 crop worldwide, following rice, wheat, potatoes, maize, and cassava [3]. One reason for this is
31 that sweet potato is a hardy crop and can thrive on marginal soils [4]. Notwithstanding its hardy
32 nature, just like other crops it still requires some important nutrients to realize its full production
33 potential. For this reason, over the years, there has been a decline in sweet potato yield due to the
34 inherent poor soils in low- income countries [5].

35 On the other hand, inorganic fertilizers may enhance good yields [6], but farmers in low- income
36 countries cannot afford the costly inorganic fertilizer. Therefore, the search for cheaper soil
37 amendments such as organic fertilizers to improve the soil fertility has become more important.
38 Organic fertilizers improve the physical, chemical, and biological characteristics of the soil
39 thereby increasing productivity for food, improved income, and nutrition security [7]. As
40 research efforts are directed toward improving soil fertility for increased yields, it is important to
41 consider the effect of microorganisms such arbuscular mycorrhiza on the growth and yield of
42 sweet potatoes.

43 Arbuscular mycorrhiza fungi (AMF) which belong to phylum Glomeromycota [8], associate with
44 a broad range of species and are more widely distributed than other types of mycorrhizal
45 associations. They are ubiquitous obligate mycobionts forming symbiosis with the terrestrial
46 plant communities [9]. The role of mycorrhizae in plant development pertains to mineral
47 nutrition especially the uptake of phosphate [10]. This effect has been attributed to an increase in
48 the absorbing surface and the exploitation of a larger soil volume by the extra radical mycelium;
49 the small hyphal diameter leading to an increased P absorbing surface area and compared to non
50 mycorrhizal roots, higher P influx rates per surface unit; the formation of polyphosphates (Poly
51 P) by mycorrhizal fungi and thus low internal P concentrations, and the production of organic
52 acids and phosphatases, which catalyze the release of P from organic complexes [11]. Also,
53 according to [12], mycorrhizal plants show enhanced photosynthetic capacity. With these
54 benefits, the AMF are known to be of great importance due to their high capability to increase

55 growth, yield, and quality of crops through efficient nutrient acquisition in infertile soils and
56 consequently lessening the prerequisite for Phosphate-based fertilizers [13].

57 **2. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

58 **2.1 Description of the Study Site**

59 An experiment was conducted in the Teaching and Research Farm of Agricultural Science and
60 Technology Department, Kenyatta University at Thika Road, Kiambu, (7.27oN 3.54oE). The
61 farm is within the coordinates 1°10'50.0"S, 36°55'41.0"E (Latitude:-1.180568; Longitude:
62 36.928042). The area temperature ranges between 12.8°C during the cold month and 24.6°C
63 during the hot seasons. The soils are loamy, acidic, well drained and moderately deep with low
64 level of phosphorus (9.0 mg/kg). The average amount of rainfall received is 989 mm per year
65 [14] where 1200 mm rains is recorded during the long rains whereas 780 mm is recorded during
66 the short rains.

67 **2.2 Crop Husbandry and Experimental Design**

68 The experiment was carried out for five months during the short rains of 2017/2018 cropping
69 season which occurred between November and March. The experimental factors were two sweet
70 potato varieties (Kemb-10 and Bungoma), and mixed commercial inoculum. The inoculum
71 consisted of *Rhizophagus irregularis*, *Funneliformis mosseae*, *Claroideoglossum claroideum* and
72 *Claroideoglossum etunicatum* AMF species (with and without inoculation).

73
74 The experiment was then laid out as 2x2 factorial experiments in a randomized complete block
75 design (RCBD). The experiment had a total of 8 treatments which were replicated three times.
76 Well matured healthy and disease-free cuttings of the two varieties were procured from KARLO
77 Embu. The vines were later covered with a moist cloth under a shade for two days to initiate
78 roots before planting. As per recommendation, 25g of mixed mycorrhizal inoculant was added to
79 the root absorption zone during planting. Sweet potato cuttings measuring 30cm were planted in
80 each replication with 3m × 3m plot size at 60cm × 30 cm spacing. All other recommended

81 cultural practices were applied as needed. Plots were kept free from weeds by regular hand
82 weeding.

83

84 **2.3 Data Collection**

85 Data on growth (vine length and number of branches) was collected monthly and at the end of
86 the fifth month, final harvesting was done on plants from 1.5 by 1.5 m plots area and the yield
87 parameters: Marketable storage root yield and shoots biomass were determined. Marketable
88 storage roots were judged by tuber size, length, shape, cleanness, free from pests and diseases,
89 and those having the weight of more than 100g. Shoot biomass was judged as those sweet potato
90 vines growing above ground.



91 **a) Bungoma variety**

92 **b) Kemb-10 variety**

92 **2.4 Data Analysis**

93 Data collected on sweet potato growth and yield components were subjected to analysis of
94 variance using GenStat statistical software version 15.1 edition. The mean separation for
95 treatments was done using Fischer's Protected Least Significance Difference (L.S.D) test at 5%
96 level of significance.

97 **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

98 **3.1 Influence of sweet potato varieties and mycorrhizal inoculation on vine length**

99 The vine lengths differed significantly ($P \leq 0.05$) due to variety and mycorrhiza inoculation as
 100 shown in (Table 1). At harvesting week 20, the highest 86.8 cm vine length was recorded in
 101 Bungoma variety. Data on mycorrhiza inoculation showed that inoculum influenced higher vine
 102 length in studied weeks with the highest 92.9 cm being observed at the end of the fifth month.

103

104 **Table 1. Effects of sweet potato varieties and mycorrhizal inoculation on vine length**

VINE LENGTH (cm)			
Variety	WEEK 4 (WAP)	WEEK 12 (WAP)	WEEK 20 (WAP)
Bungoma	20.96b	32.33b	86.8a
Kemb-10	23.56a	35.51a	77.7a
LSD _{0.05}	1.95	2.33	11.68
Mycorrhiza			
MN	20.69b	31.28b	71.6b
MP	23.83a	36.56a	92.9a
LSD _{0.05}	2.15	2.34	10.88
VXMY			
BN	19.26b	29.59c	74.70b
KN	22.13ab	32.97bc	68.44b
BP	22.67ab	35.08ab	98.81a
KP	25.00a	38.04a	87.00ab
LSD _{0.05}	3.04	3.31	16.52

105 **Means followed by the same letter within the same column are not significantly different**
 106 **($P \leq 0.05$).**

107 **WAP=Weeks after planting, MN=Mycorrhiza negative, MP=Mycorrhiza positive,**
 108 **VXMY=Variety interaction with mycorrhiza, BN= Bungoma without mycorrhiza,**
 109 **KN=Kembo-10 without mycorrhiza, BP= Bungoma interaction with mycorrhiza, KP =**
 110 **Kemb-10 interaction with mycorrhiza**

111

112 Interactions between varieties and mycorrhizal were significantly different at $P \leq 0.05$. In week
113 five the highest 98.81 cm vine length was recorded in Bungoma interacting with mycorrhiza
114 though the positive interactions were not significantly different in all the weeks. Bungoma
115 variety performed better than Kemb-10 variety in terms of vine length even where there was no
116 inoculation. This could have been so because Bungoma variety is land race variety while Kemb
117 10 variety is an improved variety [15]. This corroborates with [16] who stated that petiole and
118 vine lengths vary widely with genotypes. It is evident from our data that AMF inoculation
119 improved plant growth expressed as vine length compared with the un-inoculated plants. This
120 results correlates with [17] who studied the effect of AMF inoculation on Temulawak plant and
121 observed that mycorrhizal inoculation improved yield of studied plant. Also the results were in-
122 line with the findings by [18] who stated that treatments had higher values of growth parameters
123 including plant height, and number of seeds per plant. Previous studies show the positive effects
124 of mycorrhiza on plant growth [19].

125 **3.2 Influence of sweet potato varieties and mycorrhizal inoculation on Number of branches**

126 Analysis of variance showed that there was no significant difference ($P \leq 0.05$) among the number
127 of branches due to variety though in week 20 Bungoma had the highest 17.22 number of
128 branches. Inoculated sweet potato produced significantly higher number of branches in all the
129 weeks compared with the un-inoculated plants. The highest number of branches 18.56 was
130 recorded in week 20 (Table 2). Data on interaction showed that there was significance difference
131 ($P \leq 0.05$) in week four. Data revealed that maximum number of branches 19.11 was recorded in
132 week 20 as a result of Bungoma variety interacting with AMF. Meanwhile the lowest number of
133 branches 15.00 was recorded in Kemb-10 without AMF inoculation.

134

135 **Table 2. Effects of sweet potato varieties and mycorrhizal inoculation on number of**
 136 **branches**

Number of Branches			
Variety	WEEK 4 (WAP)	WEEK 12 (WAP)	WEEK 20 (WAP)
Bungoma	7.5b	10.61a	17.22a
Kemb-10	8.44a	11.23a	16.5a
LSD	0.85	1.09	2.09
Mycorrhiza			
MN	7.72a	10.06b	15.17b
MP	8.22a	11.83a	18.56a
LSD	0.95	1.08	2.07
VXMY			
BN	7.22a	9.78b	15.33ab
BP	7.77a	11.44ab	19.11a
KN	8.22a	10.33ab	15.00b
KP	8.66a	12.22a	18.00ab
LSD	1.2	1.54	2.95

137 **Means followed by the same letter within the same column are not significantly different**
 138 **(P≤0.05).**

139 **WAP=Weeks after planting, MN=Mycorrhiza negative, MP=Mycorrhiza positive,**
 140 **VXMY=Variety interaction with mycorrhiza, BN= Bungoma without mycorrhiza,**
 141 **KN=Kembo-10 without mycorrhiza, BP= Bungoma interaction with mycorrhiza, KP =**
 142 **Kemb-10 interaction with mycorrhiza**
 143

144 Sweet potato varieties performed differently in terms of number of branches due to their
 145 genotypic differences. Results on mycorrhiza inoculation indicated that inoculation resulted in
 146 the highest number of weeks in all the weeks. These increases may be due to the beneficial effect
 147 of AMF in enhancement of phosphorus element uptake. Phosphorus is known for the activation
 148 of photosynthesis and metabolic processes of organic compounds in plants and hence increasing
 149 plant growth [20].

150 Results on interaction revealed that the highest number of branches were observed where the
 151 variety were inoculated this could have been so because one of the most dramatic effects of
 152 infection by AM fungi on the host plant is the increase in phosphorus (P) uptake [21] mainly due

153 to the capacity of the AM fungi to absorb phosphate from soil and transfer it to the host roots
 154 [22]. This is achieved through the increase in the absorbing surface and the exploitation of a
 155 larger soil volume by the extra radical mycelium of the fungi.

156 **3.3 Influence of sweet potato varieties and mycorrhizal inoculation on yield**

157 The results of mean sweet potato marketable storage roots and shoot biomass yield recorded at
 158 20 WAP are as shown in (Table 3). There was significant difference ($P>0.05$) between the means
 159 in all the parameters. Kemb-10 recorded the highest 41.2 tha^{-1} marketable storage root yield.
 160 Data on shoot biomass yield revealed that Bungoma variety had the highest 67.86 tha^{-1} shoot
 161 biomass yield.

163 **Table 3. Effects of sweet potato varieties and mycorrhizal inoculation on marketable**
 164 **storage root and shoot biomass yield**

Variety	Marketable storage root yield (t/ha)	Shoot biomass (t/ha)
Bungoma	35.03b	67.79a
Kemb-10	41.20a	57.85b
LSD _{0.05}	5.32	8.48
Mycorrhiza		
MN	34.89b	54.96b
MP	41.33a	70.72a
LSD _{0.05}	4.88	12.87
VXMY		
BN	31.39b	61.31ab
KN	38.36ab	48.57b
BP	38.62ab	74.28a
KP	43.95a	67.13a
LSD _{0.05}	7.54	11.98

166 Means followed by the same letter within the same column are not significantly different
167 ($P \leq 0.05$).

168 WAP=Weeks after planting, MN=Mycorrhiza negative, MP=Mycorrhiza positive,
169 VXY=Variety interaction with mycorrhiza, BN= Bungoma without mycorrhiza,
170 KN=Kembo-10 without mycorrhiza, BP= Bungoma interaction with mycorrhiza, KP =
171 Kemb-10 interaction with mycorrhiza

172 Data on mycorrhiza inoculation showed that there was significance difference in all the
173 variables. Inoculation resulted in the highest marketable storage root and shoot biomass yield
174 41.33tha^{-1} and 70.8tha^{-1} respectively. Interactions between variety and mycorrhiza inoculation
175 were revealed. The highest 43.95tha^{-1} storage root yield was observed in Kemb-10 interacting
176 with mycorrhiza while the lowest 31.39tha^{-1} was recorded in Bungoma without mycorrhiza
177 inoculation. Results on shoot biomass indicated that Bungoma variety interacting with
178 mycorrhiza had the maximum 74.28t/ha biomass.

179 The yield variation may be due to genetic potential of different varieties [23]. Also [24] reported
180 enormous existent variation among varieties. Among mycorrhiza inoculation, inoculation had
181 positive effects on the marketable and above biomass yields. This pronounced positive effect
182 agrees with most previous studies. Inoculation of microplants of potato cv. Golden Wonder with
183 a commercially available AM fungus inoculum containing three species increased the tuber yield
184 when grown in the greenhouse in sand containing slow release fertilizer [25]. [26] reported that
185 applications of phosphorus solubilizing microbe significantly improved yield of maize on
186 Ultisol.

187 4. CONCLUSION

188 The study demonstrated that the application of AMF solely increased the growth and yield of
189 sweet potatoes. Furthermore, it is conclusive that appropriate interactions between sweet potato
190 varieties and mycorrhiza can significantly enhance plant growth and yield.

191 **COMPETING INTERESTS**

192 Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

193

194 **REFERENCES**

- 195 1. Crissman C., Anderson P., Fuglie K., Kapinga R., Lemaga B., Devaux A., Bussink C. Trends
196 in the potato and sweet potato sectors in sub-Saharan Africa and their contribution to the
197 Millennium Development Goals In Kapinga R. E., editor; , Kingamkono R., editor; , Msabaha
198 M., editor; , Ndunguru J., editor; , Lemaga B., editor; and Tusiime G., editor. (Eds.), Tropical
199 root and tuber crops: Opportunities for poverty alleviation and sustainable livelihoods in
200 developing countries: Proceedings of the thirteenth triennial symposium of the international
201 society for tropical root crops (iSTRC). 2007; (pp. 9–19). Arusha, Tanzania: International
202 Society for Tropical Root Crops.
- 203 2. Bovell-Benjamin, A.C. Sweet potato utilization in human health, industry and animal feed
204 systems In: Ray, R.C. and Tomlins, K.L. (eds) Sweet potato: Post Harvest Aspects in Food,
205 Feed, and Industry. Nova Science Publishers, New York, 2010; pp. 193–224
- 206 3. International Potato Center. Sweet potato Facts and Figures. Available at:
207 <https://cipotato.org/crops/sweetpotato/sweetpotato-facts-and-figures/> [accessed March 27, 2018].
- 208 4. Nedunchezhiyan, M., and Ray, R. C. Sweet potato growth, development, production and
209 utilization: Overview. In R. C. Ray, and K. I. Tomlins (Eds.), Sweet potato: Post harvest aspects
210 in food (pp. 2–26). New York: Nova Science Publishers Inc.2010;
- 211 5. Sowley, E., Neindow, M., and Abubakari, A. Effect of poultry manure and NPK on yield and
212 storability of orange-and white- fleshed sweet potato [*Ipomoea batatas* (L.) Lam]. ISABB
213 Journal of Food and Agricultural Sciences, 2015; 5(1), 1–6

- 214 6. Ali, M., Costa, D., Abedin, M., Sayed, M., and Basak, N. Effect of fertilizer and variety on the
215 yield of sweet potato. *Bangladesh Journal of Agricultural Research*, 2009; 34(3), 473–480
- 216 7. Gibberson, D. I., Joshua, O.-S., Ato, B.-P., Justice, O., and Paul, A. A. The effect of deficit
217 irrigation and manure on soil properties, growth and yield of orange fleshed sweet potato
218 [*Ipomea batatas* Lam]. *Scholars Journal of Agriculture and Veterinary Sciences*, 2016; 3(7),
219 463–473. <https://doi.org/10.21276/sjavs.2016.3.7.4>
- 220 8. Schu'bler A, Schwarzott D, Walker C. A new fungal phylum, the Glomeromycota: phylogeny
221 and evolution. *Mycol Res* 2001; (105):1413–1421.
- 222 9. Barea JM, Jeffries P. Arbuscular mycorrhizas in sustainable soil plant systems. In: B. Hock
223 and A. Varma (eds) *Mycorrhiza, structure, Function, Molecular Biology and biotechnology*.
224 Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg. 1995; 521-559.
- 225 10. Moose B. The influence of soil type and endogone strain on the growth of mycorrhizal plants
226 in phosphate deficient soil. *Rev. Ecol. Sol.*1972; (9):529.
- 227 11. Fomina M.A. Alexander I.J., Colpaert J.V and Gadd G.M. Solubilization of toxic metal
228 minerals and metal tolerance of mycorrhizal fungi. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 2005; (37):
229 297- 299
- 230 12. Boldt, K., Pors, Y., Haupt, B., Bitterlich, M., Kuhn, C., Grimm, B., et al. Photochemical
231 processes, carbon assimilation and RNA accumulation of sucrose transporter genes in tomato
232 arbuscular mycorrhiza. *J. Plant Physiol.* 2011; (168), 1256–1263. doi:
233 10.1016/j.jplph.2011.01.026
- 234 13. Roy-Bolduc, A., and M. Hijri. The use of mycorrhizae to enhance phosphorus uptake: A way
235 out the phosphorus crisis. *J. Biofertil. Biopestici.* 2011(2):104.

- 236 14. FAO/UNESCO. FAO-UNESCO Soil map of the world. Vol. IV. Africa, UNESCO, Paris.
237 1974;4: 307-308
238
- 239
240 15 Mwololo J.K., Mburu M. W. K., and Muturi P.W. Performance of sweet potato varieties
241 across environments in Kenya. International Journal of Agronomy and Agricultural Research.
242 2012; Vol. 2, No. 10, p. 1-11,
- 243 16. Yen, D.E. The sweet potato and Oceania; An essay in Ethno botany. Honolulu, Hawaii;
244 Bishop Museum press.1974
- 245 17. Samanhudi, A., Yunus, B. Pujiasmanto and M. Rahayu. Application of organic manure and
246 mycorrhizal for improving plant growth and yield of Temulawak (*Curcuma xanthorrhiza* Roxb.).
247 Sci. Res. J. 2014; 2(5): 2201-2796.
- 248 18. Jarande, N.N., P.S. Mankar, V.S. Khawale, A.A. Kanase and J.T. Mendhe. Response of
249 chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) to different levels of phosphorus through inorganic and organic
250 sources. J. Soils and Crops. 2006; 16(1): 240-243.
- 251 19. Cekic, F.O., Unyayar, S., Ortas, I. Effects of arbuscular mycorrhizal inoculation on
252 biochemical parameters in *Capsicum annum* grown under long term salt stress. Turk J
253 .Bot.2012 (36), 63-72
- 254 20. Purekar PN, Singh RR, Deshmukh RD. Plant Physiology and Ecology. 2 nd Ed. Chand, S.
255 and Company, New Delhi, India.1992;
- 256 21. Kothari, S.K., H. Marschner, and V. Rornheld. 1991. Contribution of the VA mycorrhizal
257 hyphae in acquisition of phosphorus and zinc by maize grown in a calcareous soil. Plant Soil.
258 1991; (131): 177-185.

259 22. Asimi, S. Gianinazzi-Pearson, V. and Gianinazzi, S. Influence of increasing soil phosphorus
260 levels on interactions between vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizae and Rhizobium in soybeans.
261 Canadian Journal of Botany. 1980; (58):2200-2205.

262 23. Mcharo M, Carey EE, Gichuki ST. Performance of selected sweet potato varieties in Kenya.
263 Afr. Crop Sci. 2001; (9): 49-59

264 24. Vorasoot, N., P. Songsri, C. Akkasaeng, S. Jogloy and A. Patanothais. Effect of water stress
265 on yield and agronomic characters of peanut. Journal of Science Technology, 2003; (25): 283-
266 288.

267 25. Ryan, NA., Deliopoulos, T., Jones, P. and Haydock, P.P.J. Effects of mixed-isolate
268 *Trichoderma* inoculum on potato-potato cyst nematode interaction. Annals of Applied Botany,
269 2003; (143). 111-119.

270 26. Fitriatin, B.N., Yuniarti, A., Turmuktini, T., Ruswandi, T.K. The effect of phosphate
271 solubilizing microbe producing growth regulators on soil phosphate, growth and yield of maize
272 and fertilizer efficiency on Ultisol. Eurasian Journal of Soil Science.2014; 3(2): 101–107.

273 FAO/UNESCO, 1999

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281