

2
3 **Molecular detection of *Coxiella burnetii* in stray dogs in Klang Valley, Malaysia**
4

5
6 **Abstract**

7 **Aims:** *Coxiella burnetii* is a tick-borne pathogen that may cause clinical disease in a number
8 of animals including dogs. *C. burnetii* has garnered attention as a zoonotic agent. With the
9 increasing contact between dogs and humans through ownership of pets and the growing
10 stray dog population, it is alarming how there is still a paucity of information concerning this
11 pathogen in stray dogs in Klang Valley, Malaysia.

12 **Methods:** In order to determine the rate of infection of Q fever, blood and ticks were
13 collected from stray dogs from animal shelters and dog pounds and screened for *htpAB*-
14 associated repetitive element of *Coxiella burnetii* using nested PCR. Chi-square test was used
15 to establish the association or differences among/between proportions of the categories (age,
16 breed and sex) at the significance level of $\alpha=0.05$.

17 **Results:** The method was initially applied on blood samples from which 62 (33%) of dogs
18 were detected out of 188 total population then ticks as it is one of the transmission means
19 but, non was found positive. However, none of the sampled ticks were found to be positive.
20 Comparison based on age showed young dogs to have a high prevalence of 35.7% (95%CI,
21 0.07-0.64) than adults (32.8%, 95%CI, 0.26-0.40). According to breed, the local breed had a
22 higher infection rate (33.2%, 95%CI, 0.26-0.40) than pedigree dogs (25.0%, 95%CI, -0.55-
23 1.05) and based on sex, male dogs had a higher infection rate (34.3%, 95%CI, 0.21-0.42)
24 than their female counterparts (31.3%, 95%CI, 0.25-0.44). However, there was no significant
25 difference $P > 0.05$ between all the three factors observed for *Coxiella burnetii*.

26 **Conclusion:** This study found *Coxiella burnetii* present in Klang Valley, therefore
27 necessitate action against stray dogs population to avoid shedding or contamination of the
28 organism in environments and transmission to naive animals and humans.

29 **Keywords:** blood, *Coxiella burnetii*, nested PCR, Klang Valley, stray dogs and ticks.

30

31 **Introduction**

32 *Coxiella burnetii* is an obligate intracellular bacterium that parasitizes eukaryotic cells and is
33 the aetiological agent of Q fever [1]. It is an infectious zoonotic agent that has several modes
34 of transmission and has the potential to cause significant disease in both animals and humans.

35 It is extremely contagious and even a few organisms can lead to infection in man [2]. *C.*
36 *burnetii* is hardy and can survive in the external environment and resist disruptions from
37 physical and chemical substances [3]. There are a wide range of reservoirs of *C. burnetii* that
38 include many wild and domestic mammals, birds and ticks [3]. Rodents, birds and rabbits
39 play an important role as reservoirs [2, 4]. However, cattle, sheep and goats are the common
40 reservoirs that pass infection to humans. Cats and less frequently dogs have also been
41 implicated in human infections [5]. Therefore, extra care is recommended during parturition
42 because, reactivation of infection may occur and placentas become heavily infected during
43 pregnancy [2]. Consequently, veterinarians, animal handlers and owners are expected to
44 proceed with precaution when handling possible reservoirs of the agent.

45 In Malaysia, there are few reports of Q fever in humans [6, 7], ruminants and farm workers
46 [8] and pet dogs [9]. Despite increase in pet ownership in Malaysia coupled with the
47 transmission mode of the disease, it is alarming how there is still a paucity of scientific
48 information regarding the agent of Q fever in Malaysia. Nested PCR appeared to be highly
49 sensitive in *C. burnetii* diagnosis [10] and was suggested to be more superior than the

50 Immuno fluorescence Assay (IFA) serological test for the primary diagnosis of Q fever
51 because greater sensitivity achieved and being less time consuming [11]. In light of the
52 aforementioned research information, a cross sectional study was designed focusing on stray
53 dogs due to their closeness with human/animal environments, constant contact with ticks and
54 lack of documented history of diagnosis and treatment of Q fever infection.

55

56 **Methods**

57

58 **Aim, design and area of the study**

59

60 In order to detect *C. burnetii*, blood and ticks collected from stray dogs from animal shelters
61 and dog pounds were screened for *htpAB*-associated repetitive element of *Coxiella burnetii*
62 using nested PCR. Fisher exact test was used to establish the association or differences
63 among/between proportions of the categories (age, breed and sex) at the significance level of
64 $\alpha=0.05$. A total of 188 blood and 438 ticks samples were collected from shelters and dog
65 pounds from different locations in Klang Valley for detection of *C. burnetii*. Of 188 sampled
66 population, 159 dogs were sampled from Dewan Bandaraya Kuala Lumpur (DBKL), dog
67 pounds and 29 from Society for Prevention of Cruelty in Animals (SPCA), animal shelters
68 (Ampang and Paws Subang Jaya). Of the 438 ticks collected; 212 were males, 171 females
69 and 55 nymphs. On average, 3-9 ticks were collected per dog and placed into properly
70 labeled and sterile microcentrifuge tubes for further processing. Age, breed and sex of the
71 dogs from which blood and ticks samples were collected were recorded.

72

73 This study was carried out from 2013- 2014 on stray dogs in and around Klang Valley. Klang
74 Valley is an area in Peninsular Malaysia that comprises of Kuala Lumpur and its outer

75 reaches and neighbouring cities and towns in the state of Selangor. It is situated within 3° 6'
76 Northern latitude and 101° 39' Eastern latitude. A heartland of industry and commerce
77 covering important areas including Kuala Lumpur International Airport [12].

78

79 **DNA extraction from blood and ticks**

80

81 DNA from canine blood was extracted from 200 µl of whole blood using QIAamp animal
82 Dneasy blood and tissue kit (QIAGEN® GmbH, Hilden, Germany). The protocol was
83 followed based on manufacturer's instruction and the extracted canine DNA was stored at -
84 20°C until further analysis. Ticks were initially identified under stereomicroscope using
85 Kohls taxonomic classification guideline [13] and Soulsby morphological keys [14]. After
86 identification, the ticks were washed twice with 95% ethanol followed by a final washing
87 step with sterile distilled water. They were then crushed with certified RNase, DNase and
88 DNA free pestle and transferred to 1.5 ml microcentrifuge tubes mixed and some were cut
89 into pieces with a scalpel blade on glass slides then transferred to 2 ml microcentrifuge tubes.
90 DNA was then extracted from the ticks using blackPREP Tick DNA / RNA Kit, Analytik
91 Jena AG (Life Science, Konrad-Zuse-Strasse, Jena, Germany) and QIAamp animal Dneasy
92 blood and tissue kit (QIAGEN® GmbH, Hilden, Germany) using manufacturer's instruction
93 and stored at -20°C until further use.

94

95 **Amplification of *Coxiella burnetii* DNA**

96

97 Screening PCR for detection of *C. burnetii* in canine blood was then carried out. The first
98 PCR amplification was performed using primers; IS111F1 and IS111R1 (Fournier and
99 Raoult, 2003) which flank a 484-500 bp fragment of the *htpAB*-associated repetitive element

100 (Table 1). A nested PCR was carried out with primers IS111F2 and IS111R2 flanking a 260-
101 300 bp fragment. The amplification was performed in a reagent mixture of 4.0 µl of 5x Green
102 Go Taq buffer, 1.2 µl of 25mM Mgcl, 0.8 µl of 10mM dNTP, 1.0 µl of 20 pmol of both
103 forward and reverse primers, 0.3 µl of 5U/µl of Taq polymerase and 5.0 µl of DNA template
104 set up to 20 µl by adding 6.7 µl of sterile distilled water. The amplification protocol for both
105 screening and nested PCR were the same except that the first product was diluted ten times
106 and used as template for nested PCR. The positive control was *C. burnetii* Nine Mile strain
107 DNA isolated from cell culture obtained from Japan. The first PCR cycling conditions
108 consisted of an initial denaturation step at 95°C for 2 min followed by 40 cycles at 95°C for
109 30 s, 52°C for 30 s, 72°C for 1 min and a final extension step at 72°C for 5 min. Cycling
110 conditions for the nested PCR was carried out using the same parameters except that the
111 number of cycles was reduced to 35 and an annealing temperature was set to 48°C. The
112 resulting amplified products were electrophoresed on a 2.0% agarose gel at 100 V for 30 min,
113 stained for 30-40min in ethidium bromide and subsequently visualized under a UV
114 transilluminator.

115

116 In order to determine whether tick DNA was successfully extracted, PCR was conducted to
117 amplify the 28S rRNA gene of ticks. The primers (Inokuma *et al.*, 2003) (Table 1). PCR
118 amplification was performed in a final volume of 20 µl containing 5.0 µl of DNA template,
119 0.8 µl of 10 mM of each dNTP, 25 mM of Mgcl, 1µl of 20pmol of each primer, 0.3µl of
120 5U/µl of GoTaq DNA polymerase in 5X Green GoTaq reaction buffer (Promega Corporation,
121 Woods Hollow, Madison, WI, USA). The cycling conditions consisted of an initial
122 denaturation step at 95°C for 2 min followed by 35 cycles at 95°C for 30 s, 55°C for 30 s,
123 72°C for 30 s and a final extension step at 72°C for 5 min. The resulting amplified products

124 were electrophoresed on a 2.0% agarose gel at 100 V for 30 min, stained for 30-40 min in
 125 ethidium bromide and subsequently visualized under a UV transilluminator.

126

127 PCR was performed with tick DNA using the same reaction volume, IS111F1 and IS111R1
 128 primers for the first PCR and IS111F2 and IS111R2 set for nested PCR as described for
 129 screening of *C. burnetii* in canine blood

130 **Table 1: Sequences and target regions of oligonucleotide primers used for detection of**
 131 ***Coxiella burnetii* in canine blood and tick DNA**

| Primer target regions | Primer sequences 5'-3' | Amplicon sizes (bp) | References |
|---|-----------------------------|---------------------|------------|
| <i>C. burnetii</i> - <i>htpAB</i> ; associated repetitive element | IS111F1-TACTGGGTGTTGATATTGC | 485-500 | [15] |
| | IS111R1-CCGTTTCATCCGCGGTG | | |
| | IS111F2-GTAAAGTGATCTACACGA | 260-300 | |
| | IS111R2-TTAACAGCGCTTGAACGT | | |
| Ticks -28S rRNA | 28SF-GACTCTAGTCTGACTCTGTG | 484-500 | [16] |
| | 28SR-GCCACAAGCCAGTTATCCC | | |

132

133

134 For sequencing, PCR products prepared in total volumes of 75 µl were initially confirmed for
 135 successful amplification. After the verification, the products were then purified using
 136 Wizard® SV Gel and PCR clean-up system (Promega, USA) according to the manufacturer's
 137 instructions before sending for standard sequencing to NHKBioscience (Korean). Sequences
 138 were aligned and blasted to compare with other sequences stored in the GenBank.

139 **Data analysis**

140

141 The data collected during this study were recorded and stored in Microsoft Excel for
142 windows. Frequency tables were used to calculate the prevalence of *C. burnetii* based on
143 age, breed and sex of the stray dogs at the estimated confidence interval of 95%. The data
144 were then subjected to statistical analysis using statistical package IBM SPSS statistics
145 (version 20.0, SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Fisher exact test was used to establish the
146 association or differences among/between proportions of the categories at a significance level
147 of $\alpha=0.05$.

148

149 The sampled population was categorized according to age; young and adult, according to
150 breed; local and pedigree and according to sex; male and female. Stratification of the dogs
151 based on district or region was not possible because some of the information on these
152 variables were not clearly recorded or could not be obtained.

153

154 **Results**

155

156 **Descriptive statistics and prevalence of *Coxiella burnetii* in stray dogs**

157

158 Of the total 188 dogs sampled, 105 were males while 83 were females (Table 2).
159 In terms of sources, a total 159 dogs were sampled from Dewan Bandaraya Kuala Lumpur
160 (DBKL) dog pound and 29 dogs were sampled from Animal shelters (SPCA, Ampang and
161 Paws, Subang Jaya). *C. burnetii* was detected in 62 / 188 (33.0%) of the dogs sampled with
162 GenBank, accession number KU215930. Comparison based on age showed young dogs had a
163 relatively higher prevalence of 35.7% than adults (32.8%). According to breed, the local

164 breed had a relatively higher infection rate (33.2%) and based on sex, male dogs had a
 165 relatively higher infection rate (34.3%) than their female counterparts (31.3%). However, it
 166 should be noted that differences between all the three factors age, breed and sex of dogs for
 167 the presence of *C. burnetii* were not statistically significant $P > 0.05$ (Table 3).

168
 169
 170
 171
 172

Table 2. Proportion of the total dogs sampled and that of tick infested dogs that had *Coxiella burnetii* infection according to age, breed and sex

| Variables | Category | Sample Proportion n=188 (%) | Tick positive dogs n= 141 (%) | Coxiella positive tick infested dogs. n=141(%) |
|-----------|----------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|
| Age | Young | 14 (7.4) | 10 (7.1) | 1 (0.1) |
| | Adult | 174 (92.6) | 131 (92.9) | 42 (29.9) |
| Breed | Local | 184 (97.9) | 139 (98.6) | 43 (30.0) |
| | Pedigree/mixed | 4 (2.1) | 1 (1.4) | 0 (0.0) |
| Sex | Male | 105 (55.9) | 82 (58.2) | 26 (18.0) |
| | Female | 83 (44.1) | 59 (41.8) | 17 (12.0) |

173
 174
 175
 176
 177
 178

Table 3: Prevalence of *Coxiella burnetii* amongst the total dog population sampled according to age, breed and sex

| Variables | Category | Prevalence (%) | Standard dev. ± | 95% CI | P-value |
|-----------|----------|-------------------|--------------------|------------|---------|
| Age | Young | 35.7 | 0.50 | 0.07- 0.64 | 0.777 |
| | Adult | 32.8 | 0.47 | 0.26- 0.40 | - |
| Sex | Male | 34.3 | 0.47 | 0.21-0.42 | 0.755 |
| | Female | 31.3 | 0.48 | 0.25- 0.44 | - |

| | | | | | |
|-------|----------|------|------|------------|-------|
| Breed | Local | 33.2 | 0.47 | 0.26-0.40 | 1.000 |
| | Pedigree | 25.0 | 0.50 | -0.55-1.05 | - |

179

180 **Discussion**

181

182 This study to the best of our knowledge is the first to report *C. burnetii* in canine blood in
183 Malaysia with a prevalence of 33.0% (62/188) in stray dogs in Klang Valley. There are
184 previous reports of Q fever in humans [6, 17] as well as a recent report in ruminants and farm
185 workers [8] in Malaysia, but no reports thus far on stray dogs. A most recent report detected a
186 Coxiella-like bacteria (89%) in ticks recovered from wild boars, single porcupine and goats
187 (Khoo et al., 2016). Another high prevalence (59.0%) of the pathogens in ticks was also
188 reported from pet dogs visiting University Veterinary Hospital (UVH), University Putra
189 Malaysia (UPM) [9]. This poses a risk of sharing of infection between dogs and owners [9].
190 The implication of our findings is that stray dogs may play a greater role in dissemination of
191 the pathogen and may serve as a source of infection to humans and other naive dogs. The
192 recent report of the pathogen detection in pets [9], together with the present study on stray
193 dogs reiterates the existence and persistence of *C. burnetii* in dogs in Klang Valley, Malaysia.

194

195 Since the data used in this study is limited, information on prevalence of *C. burnetii* in the
196 study area in terms of the spatial and temporal distribution of the pathogen cannot be
197 explored as some variables were too scanty and most samples were obtained from Dewan
198 Bandaraya Kuala Lumpur (DBKL), thus the limitations of this study.

199

200 The primers used for amplification of the *htpAB*-associated repetitive element was reported
201 sensitive and specific for detection of *Coxiella* DNA [15]. It should be noted that the first
202 PCR with the outer primers; IS111F1 and IS111R1 could not amplify the *C. burnetii* DNA in
203 blood, however when a nested PCR was carried out with the inner primers, IS111F2 and
204 IS111R2, positive results were obtained from the canine blood. Despite the reported
205 sensitivity of the primers, nested PCR on all the tick DNA was negative for *C. burnetii* and
206 screening test was carried after running the success of tick DNA extraction. This nested
207 approach is adequate for detection because it is highly conserved with a unique component
208 common to a variety of *C. burnetii* strains, thus able to amplify a small bacterial load in blood
209 and milk [9].

210

211 Adult 57/62 (91.9%) stray dogs in Klang Valley had a relatively higher infection ratio
212 compared to the younger dogs 5/62 (8.1%) as shown in Table 2. This may result from
213 increase in the risk of abortion, still birth or death of puppies during pregnancy or after
214 whelping related to *C. burnetii* infection in some bitches [5]. However, adult dogs were
215 over represented and the difference was not statistically significant $P > 0.05$. Comparison
216 within breed showed the local breed had a relatively higher infection rate (33.2%) than
217 pedigree (25%) even though the difference was not statistically significant. The greater part
218 of the local canine population in the country consists of stray dogs and owned [18] local
219 breeds, thus explaining the results. According to sex, male dogs appeared to have a high
220 prevalence (34.3%) compared to the females (31.3%), but the difference was not statistically
221 significant (Table 3). As the difference between all variables was not statistically significant,
222 it can be assumed that age, sex and breed do not contribute or affect *C. burnetii* infection
223 rates.

224

225 **Conclusion**

226 In conclusion *C. burnetii*, the aetiological agent of Q-fever was found to be present in blood
227 samples obtained from stray dogs in Klang Valley, Malaysia. Ticks collected from dogs were
228 all negative suggestive that ticks may not necessarily be the source of infection of *C. burnetii*
229 to the stray dogs sampled in the study. Rather, the dogs may likely be the source of infection
230 to ticks during blood meal up-take. The relatively high prevalence rate of *C. burnetii* in dogs
231 sampled is worrisome in light of the public health risk and therefore warrants further
232 investigation and control as most of the infected dogs were sampled from Dewan Bandaraya
233 Kuala Lumpur and are mainly dogs that are caught roaming around the city.

234

235 **Abbreviations**

236 IFA= Immuno Fluorescence Assay

237 UPM= Universiti Putra Malaysia

238 UVH= Universiti Veterinary Hospital

239 DBKL= Dewan Bandaraya Kuala Lumpur

240 SPCA= Society for Prevention of Cruelty in Animals

241

242 **Declarations**

243

244 **Ethics approval :** This research was conducted following approval from the Animal Care
245 and Use Committee, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Universiti Putra Malaysia (UPM),
246 which was an adopted version of the Australian Code of Practice for the Care and Use of
247 Animals for Scientific Purposes. (The research grant No. 01-01-09-0662RU. Informed

248 consent and approval was obtained from the International care and use committee, UPM
249 (approval code RD74/2013)).

250

251 **Consent of publication:** Not applicable.

252

253 **Availability of data and material:** GenBank, accession number is KU215930.

254

255 **Competing interests:** All authors approved and declared that they have no competing
256 interest.

257 **References**

- 258 1. Jäger C, Willems H, Thiele D, Baljer G: **Molecular characterization of Coxiella**
259 **burnetii isolates.** *Epidemiology and infection* 1998:157-164.
- 260 2. Sykes JE: **Canine and feline infectious diseases.** California: Elsevier; 2014.
- 261 3. Maurin M, Raoult Df: **Q fever.** *Clinical microbiology reviews* 1999, **12**(4):518-553.
- 262 4. Webster JP, Lloyd G, Macdonald DW: **Q Fever (*Coxiella burnetii*) reservoir in wild**
263 **brown rat (*Rattus norvegicus*) populations in the United Kingdom** *Parasitology*
264 1995, **110**:31-35.
- 265 5. Johnson RC, Schmid GP, Hyde FW, Steigerwalt AG, DJ. B: **Borrelia burgdorferi**
266 **sp. nov.: etiological agent of Lyme disease.** *International Journal of System*
267 *Bacteriology* 1984, **34**(4):496-497 [http://dx.doi.org/410.1099/00207713-00207734-](http://dx.doi.org/410.1099/00207713-00207734-00207714-00207496)
268 [00207714-00207496](http://dx.doi.org/410.1099/00207713-00207734-00207714-00207496).
- 269 6. Kaplan MM, Bertagna P: **The geographical distribution of Q fever.** *Bulletin of the*
270 **World Health Organization.** 1955 **13**:829-860.
- 271 Khoo J., Lim F.S, Chen Frezshin, Phoon Wai-Hong, Khor Chee-Sieng, Pike B.L., Chang Li-
272 Yen and AbuBakar Sazaly (2016). Coxiella Detection in ticks from wildlife and
273 livestock in Malaysia. *Vector-Borne and Zoonotic Diseases*, 6:12.
- 274 7. Tay ST, Ho TM, Rohani MY: **Serological findings of Coxiella burnetii infection**
275 **among patients with fevers in a health centre in Sarawak, Malaysia.** *Southeast*
276 *Asian Journal of Tropical Medicine and Public Health* 1998, **29**(1):94-95.

- 277 8. Saraswathi BR, Kamaludin F, Chow T, Yoon C: **First documented zoonotic case of**
278 **Q fever in Penang, Malaysia. Outbreak, Surveillance and Investigation Reports.**
279 2011, **4**:1-4.
- 280 9. Watanabe M, Nakao R, Amin-Babjee S, Maizatul A, Youn J, Qiu Y, Sugimoto C:
281 **Molecular screening for Rickettsia, Anaplasmataceae and Coxiella burnetii in**
282 **Rhipicephalus sanguineus ticks from Malaysia. Tropical Biomedicine** 2015,
283 **32(2)**:390-398.
- 284 10. To H, Kako N, Zhang G, Otsuka H, Ogawa M, Ochiai O, Nguyen SV, Yamaguchi T,
285 Fukushi H, Nagaoka N: **Q fever pneumonia in children in Japan. Journal of**
286 **clinical microbiology** 1996, **34(3)**:647-651.
- 287 11. Zhang G, Nguyen SV, To H, Ogawa M, Hotta A, Yamaguchi T, Kim H, Fukushi H,
288 Hirai K: **Clinical evaluation of a new PCR assay for detection of Coxiella burnetii**
289 **in human serum samples. Journal of clinical microbiology** 1998, **36(1)**:77-80.
- 290 12. **Greater Kuala Lumpur** [<http://app.kwpcb.gov.my/greaterklkv/overview/>].]
- 291 13. Kohls GM: **Malaysian parasites XVII. Ticks (Ixodoidea) of Borneo and Malaya. .**
292 *Studies from the Institute for Medical Research, Fedeartion of Malaya* 1957, **28**:65-
293 94.
- 294 14. Soulsby EJJ: **Helminthes, Arthropods and Protozoa of Domesticated Animals,**
295 **7th edition..** London: Bailliere Tindall 1982.
- 296 15. Fournier P-E, Raoult D: **Comparison of PCR and serology assays for early**
297 **diagnosis of acute Q fever. Journal of Clinical Microbiology** 2003, **41(11)**:5094-
298 5098.
- 299 16. Inokuma H, Beppu T, Okuda M, Shimada Y, Sakata Y: **Epidemiological survey of**
300 **Anaplasma platys and Ehrlichia canis using ticks collected from dogs in Japan.**
301 *Veterinary parasitology* 2003, **115(4)**:343-348.
- 302 17. Tay S, Ho T, Rohani M: **Serological findings of Coxiella burnetii infection among**
303 **patients with fevers in a health centre in Sarawak, Malaysia. Southeast Asian**
304 **journal of tropical medicine and public health** 1998, **29(1)**:94-95.
- 305 18. Wong WT, Michael KCL: **Some observations on the population and natal**
306 **patterns among purebred dogs in Malaysia. Journal of Small Animal Practice**
307 1985, **26**:111-119.

308

309

310

311

312