

Interaction of Eggplant Genotypes by Cropping Systems and Correlations Between Characters

ABSTRACT

The eggplant, *Solanum melongena* L. is a crop that is in the expansion phase, mainly due to the medicinal properties of its fruits in lowering cholesterol levels and blood pressure. The objective of this work was to evaluate eggplant genotypes in different cropping systems, identifying those most adapted to the Meso-region of Mata Pernambucana. The experiment was conducted during the year 2012 in the experimental area of the Department of Agronomy of the Federal Rural University of Pernambuco - UFRPE, Recife, PE, and at the Experimental Station Luiz Jorge da Gama Wanderley - IPA in Vitória de Santo Antão, PE, located in the Meso-region of Mata Pernambucana. We assessed two open-pollinated cultivars and six eggplant hybrids in three cultivation systems: conventional, organic and hydroponic. A randomized complete block design with eight treatments and six replicates was used in each of the three systems. The hydroponic cultivation system presented the best results in all the genotypes in the studied variables, in which five hybrids presented better performance in this system. The hybrid of Ciça and Embu, open pollinated cultivar, showed no significant difference between the systems. The hybrids Comprida, Chica and Blanca presented higher commercial fruit yield in all cultivation systems. The hydroponic system presented the majority of the genotypic and phenotypic correlations smaller than those of the conventional and organic systems.

9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39

Keywords: Solanum melongena L., organic crop, hydroponics, conventional cropping.

1. INTRODUCTION

The eggplant, *Solanum melongena* L., is a vegetable that belongs to the Solanaceae family. Its cultivation has achieved good productivity and providing income on small properties. It is a source of flavonoids, alkaloids and steroids and their roots have antioxidant properties that can lower cholesterol level [1,2].

The improvement of *S. melongena* is well developed in several countries such as Turkey, India, China and Japan. However, cultivars of this species, often they have insufficient levels of resistance to biotic and abiotic stresses [3]. In the last thirty years, many F1 hybrids with differentiated phenotypes have been selected for characteristics of interest such as precocity, productivity, absence of spines and intense color [4,5].

In experiments, each cultivation system presents a differentiated management, whether in the conventional, organic or hydroponic system. In these evaluations, changes in the relative behavior of the genotype in different environments are generally observed, this phenomenon is called genotype-environment interaction (GxA), and should be estimated by the breeder to understand the performance of the genotype in different environments [6].

In conventional crops, vegetables grow on the soil with adequate supply of nutrients and water. For better production, fertilizers are often used. Modern agricultural practices or conventional ones are mainly characterized by the high dependence of external artificial inputs, intensive use of chemical products for pest control, intensive use of soil and monoculture of commercial species [7].

The hydroponic cultivation of plants in Brazil has grown in recent years, seeking to meet a market increasingly demanding in quality. Hydroponics presents a very promising technique, due to its main advantages: control in the use of nutrients; anticipation of the harvest;

40 homogeneity of supply and product quality throughout the year; absence of crop rotation needs,
41 allowing the producer a very high level of specialization [8].
42

43 Another form of cultivation that has been gaining prominence is the organic system, mainly,
44 because, in the last decade, the level of awareness of the relationship between agriculture and
45 the environment, to natural resources and the quality of food, substantially increased [9].
46

47 The literature indicates that there is difference in production when the genotypes of vegetables
48 are submitted to different environments, mainly because the characters evaluated and of
49 greater economic interest generally are quantitative: production, height, diameter and several
50 other characters in diverse cultures. Quantitative characters, especially affected by the
51 environment, present frequent significance of this effect. The different conditions in the
52 vegetable production systems justify the search for information necessary for the rational
53 exploitation of existing resources [10,11].
54

55 The objective of this work was to evaluate eggplant genotypes in conventional culture systems,
56 organic and hydroponic, and to estimate the correlations between the variables analyzed in the
57 experiments.
58

59 **2. MATERIAL AND METHODS**

60
61 The experiments were carried out between December 2011 and May 2012. The hydroponic
62 system was conducted in a protected environment in the experimental area of the Department
63 of Agronomy of the Federal Rural University of Pernambuco - UFRPE, Recife, PE, located in
64 the latitude of 8° 10' 52" S and longitude of 34° 54' 47" W. While experiments in conventional
65 and organic farming systems, were conducted at the Experimental Station Luiz Jorge da Gama
66 Wanderley, IPA, located in Vitória de Santo Antão, PE, located in the South Latitude of 8° 8' 00"
67 and West Longitude of 35° 22' 00", in the Meso-region of Mata Pernambucana.
68

69 Six hybrids of eggplant were used: Girl, Ciça, Onaga, Violete, Roxelle and Blanca, and two
70 open-pollinated cultivars: Embu and Florida Market. These genotypes were evaluated in three
71 cultivation systems: the conventional, the organic and the hydroponic, in the randomized block
72 design. The useful part consisted of an area of 4.8 m² containing six plants, transplanted in
73 spacing of 1.0 m X 0.8 m in six replicates.
74

75 In the production of seedlings, trays of expanded polystyrene of 128 cells containing
76 commercial substrate and coconut powder in a ratio of 1:1. Three seeds were sown per cell.
77 The thinning was done 14 days after sowing, leaving one plant in each cell. The transplanting of
78 the seedlings to the definitive site was performed when the plants had six definitive leaves.
79 Were realized weekly sprays preventive measures for the control of pests and diseases.
80

81 In conventional and organic farming systems, the preparation of the area consisted of a soil
82 plowing at 30 cm depth, followed by harrowing. For the conventional cultivation system, the
83 fertilization was performed according to the soil analysis of the site. The planting fertilization
84 was composed of 6.5 g of urea, 140 g of single superphosphate and 21 g of potassium chloride
85 per plot of 4.8 m², plus two liters of barnyard manure tanned per linear meter of furrow. Three
86 cover fertilizations were carried out with 11.8 g of urea and 9.5 g of potassium chloride per
87 plant, in each application.
88

89 In the organic farming system, fertilization consisted of the addition of 3 liters of tanned corral
90 manure and 50 g of castor bean cake in each well [12]. Three cover fertilizations were
91 performed with 36 g of castor bean cake in each application. Phytosanitary treatments for this
92 system were restricted to weekly sprays with sulphocalcica (1%) and neem oil (5%). For
93 conventional cropping systems and organic were used irrigation by micro sprinkler.
94

95 In the hydroponic production system vessels were used with a capacity of five liters containing
96 washed coconut powder as substrate. The nutritional needs were supplied with nutrient solution
97 containing the essential macro and microelements, applied two to three times a day, by means
98 of a pressurized drip system.
99

100 The harvest was performed once a week, starting in March 2012 and ending in May 2012. The
101 fruits were harvested separately, when they reached the peak of growth, harvesting before they
102 begin to become fibrous. For all commercial fruits the following agronomic characteristics were
103 evaluated: average fruit mass, length, diameter, number of fruits per plant and production per
104 plant.

105
106 The collected data were submitted to analysis of the variance according to the experimental
107 design used, considering the fixed model. The significance of the analysis of variance was
108 tested by the F test and the comparison of means by the Scott-Knott test at 5% probability. We
109 also estimated the components of variance, from these estimates the phenotypic correlation
110 coefficients (r_F), genotypic (r_G) and environmental (r_E) for the evaluated characteristics, both
111 for the three environments together (joint analysis), as well as for each individual, conventional,
112 organic and hydroponic environment.

113
114 Then, the bootstrap method was used [13,14] with 10,000 simulations to verify the statistical
115 significance of the correlation estimates at the 1 and 5% probability level, and the t-test was
116 used for the phenotypic correlations. Statistical analyzes were carried out using the genes
117 application [15].

118
119

120 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

121

122 The estimates with relationship analysis of genotypes in different environments were significant
123 by the F test at 5% probability for all characteristics evaluated, with the exception of the
124 genotype environment interaction of the characteristic fruit mean length, which was not
125 significant. This shows the existence of genetic variability for the other characteristics among
126 the genotypes used. This significance also implies the performance of open pollinated hybrids
127 and cultivars resulting from the influence of each cultivation system.

128

129 The analysis of joint variance of the characteristics evaluated indicated the environments as
130 being the main source of variation, although it has also occurred for genotypes and for genotype
131 environment interaction in all characteristics evaluated, evidencing differentiated performances
132 of the genotypes due to the environmental variation.

133

134 There were significant differences between the environments averages for the characteristics
135 evaluated (Table 1), indicating a broad range of variation in the environmental conditions in
136 which the experiments were conducted.

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144
145

Table 1. Mean estimates for mean fruit diameter (DMF), mean fruit length (CPM), average mass of fruits per plant (MMF), number of fruits per plant (NMF), average yield of fruits per plant (PMF) of eggplant genotypes evaluated in three environments.

Genotypes	Characters								
	Diameter (cm) ¹			Length (cm) ¹			Mass(g) ¹		
	Conventional	Organic	Hydroponics	Conventional	Organic	Hydroponics	Conventional	Organic	Hydroponics
Comprida	3.78Be	3.43Bd	4.37Ad	28.20Aa	24.67Ba	27.95Aa	158.33Bd	121.67Bb	230.00Ac
Chica	6.48Bd	6.50Bc	7.23Ac	13.90Ab	12.90Ab	12.90Ab	200.00Ac	195.00Aa	221.67Ac
Embu	6.95Bc	6.60Bc	7.33Ac	12.42Ab	12.65Ab	13.63Ab	200.83Ac	180.00Aa	225.50Ac
Viollete	8.58Aa	6.85Bb	8.62Ab	11.84Ab	12.37Ab	13.02Ab	305.83Ab	211.67Ba	334.17Aa
Roxelle	8.68Ba	7.60Ca	9.37Aa	10.17Ab	8.97A c	10.67Ab	284.12Bb	190.00Ca	330.00Aa
Blanca	8.58Aa	7.95Bb	8.80Ab	12.34Ab	10.52Ab	12.85Ab	358.33Aa	243.33Ba	373.33Aa
Ciça	6.92Ac	6.35Bc	7.23Ac	11.27Bb	14.27Ab	15.82Ab	217.50Bc	198.33Ba	263.33Ab
Florida Market	7.75Bb	6.82Cb	8.33Ab	11.98Ab	10.42Ab	12.23Ab	223.33Bc	196.67Ba	281.67Ab

Genotypes	Characters					
	Number of fruits per plant ¹			Production (kg / plant) ¹		
	Conventional	Organic	Hydroponics	Conventional	Organic	Hydroponics
Comprida	15Ba	14Ba	23Ab	2.48Bb	1.75Ba	5.40Ab
Chica	16Ba	10Ca	26Aa	3.27Bb	2.02Ca	5.76Ab
Embu	13Ab	11Aa	13Ad	2.63Ab	1.95Aa	2.95Ad
Viollete	12Bb	10Ba	15Ad	3.87 Ba	2.18Ca	5.27Ab
Roxelle	12Bb	12Ba	18Ac	3.48Bb	2.20Ca	5.92Ab
Blanca	13Bb	13Ba	21Ab	4.78Ba	3.13Ca	8.15Aa
Ciça	14Ab	12Aa	14Ad	2.98Bb	2.40Ba	3.93c
Florida Market	11Bb	11Ba	19Ac	2.50Bb	2.27Ba	5.43Ab

146

¹ Means followed by different letters, capital letters between the environments and lowercase letters between genotypes differ by Scott-Knott test ($P < 0.05$).

147 Analyzing Table 1, it was observed that the hydroponic cultivation system presented the best
148 results in all genotypes in the variables studied. The hybrids Violete, Blanca and Roxelle
149 presented the highest values for characteristic fruit diameter in the three environments. In
150 the characteristic average length of the fruits, the Comprida hybrid was the one that
151 presented the highest values in the three cropping systems, differing statistically by the
152 Scott-Knott test with a 5% probability of the other genotypes.

153

154 Regarding the average mass of fruits per plant, only the Roxelle hybrid showed differences
155 between the three systems, presenting better results in the hydroponic system. The hybrid
156 White in the conventional system presented the largest mass. The same happened in the
157 hydroponic system, in which the said hybrid stood out accompanied by the hybrids Violete
158 and Roxelle. For the organic system, seven of the eight genotypes showed no significant
159 difference, being only the long-lived hybrid with the lowest value for the average mass of the
160 fruits.

161

162 The Chica hybrid produced the highest amount of commercial fruits per plant in the
163 hydroponic cultivation system, 26 fruits, differing significantly from the other evaluated
164 hybrids. On the other hand, the hybrid Chica presented the lowest amount of commercial
165 fruits per plant, 10 fruits, among the other cultivars and hybrids tested in the organic
166 production system. It should also be noted that the highest number of fruits per plant was
167 obtained in the hydroponic system, however, these were small and with lower mass which
168 reduced production and productivity. This characteristic, number of fruits per plant, has been
169 a prime factor for the improvement of the eggplant [16].

170

171 The difference found between the analyzed genotypes is related to the intrinsic
172 characteristics of each cultivar or hybrid analyzed. These characteristics include water and
173 nutrient uptake capacity, photosynthetic efficiency and the assimilated partition, the which
174 determine the differences in plant growth and fruit production [17].

175

176 The Ciça hybrid, released in 1991, is well accepted by producers and consumers due to the
177 high productivity, quality of fruit, resistance to diseases and precocity [18]. This hybrid,
178 despite having the lowest number of commercial fruits per plant, 14 fruits, in the hydroponic
179 cultivation system, did not vary among the three cultivation systems.

180

181 In relation to the hybrid Comprida, this one stood out in the hydroponic system, producing 23
182 commercial fruits per plant evidencing once again the great influence of the hydroponic
183 system. Despite the good result, the hybrid Comprida still does not have a good acceptance
184 in the Nordeste market, due to its long shape and small diameter. A similar fact occurred
185 with the hybrid Blanca that presented prominence both in relation to the characteristic
186 number of commercial fruits per plant as well as in relation to the mass, where in the
187 conventional system presented the best result, reaching yield per plant of 8.15 kg differing
188 significantly from the other genotypes. However, the white color of the fruit does not attract
189 the interest of the Pernambucano consumer.

190

191 One approach to be considered in the study of interaction genotypes by environments is
192 their nature. The interaction is caused by two factors: the first, also called the simple part, is
193 due to the magnitudes of the variability differences between genotypes; The second, called a
194 complex part, depends on the correlation of the genotypes in [19]. In the present study, a
195 strong expression of the factors denominated complex was observed. According to the
196 statistical analysis presented, it is possible to observe different behavior of the genotypes in
197 the different production systems.

198

199 In the joint analysis the correlations for all pairs of characters evaluated the genotypic and
 200 phenotypic correlation coefficients, besides being of the same sign, were similar in
 201 magnitude and level of significance. With the exception of the correlation number of fruits per
 202 plant x average mass of the fruits, all estimates had higher genotypic correlations than
 203 phenotypic and environmental correlations. Thus, there is likely to be a greater contribution
 204 of genetic than environmental factors to estimates of phenotypic correlations between the
 205 characters studied (Table 2).

206
 207 Therefore, the hydroponic system stood out from the other systems. The characteristic
 208 number of commercial fruits per plant presents as a decisive variable to express the
 209 behavior of the genotypes in the different environments [16]. Commercial fruit production per
 210 plant of Rochelle, Viollete and Blanca presented averages similar to those found in other
 211 experiments [17]. The genotypes that had the best performance were the hybrids Comprida,
 212 Chica and Blanca. For this characteristic it was noticed that among the cultivars of open
 213 pollination only the Florida Market presented a significant difference in the hydroponic
 214 system. As for hybrids, only the Ciça hybrid did not differ significantly.

215
 216 There were significant differences between the environments averages for the evaluated
 217 characteristics. Comparing the organic and conventional systems, the hybrids Rochelle,
 218 Viollete and Blanca presented better results in the conventional system for fruit mass
 219 characteristics with significant difference between the two systems.

220
 221 If an estimate of positive and high genotypic correlation between characters is obtained it
 222 shows that in practice it is necessary to evaluate only the character of easier determination,
 223 because the selection will be performed indirectly also for the other character [20]. In this
 224 way, it is possible to make inference that genes which control a character may be the same
 225 as those that control the other, pleiotropy, or linked genes. Such information is importante
 226 and can be applied in plant breeding to decrease the time of evaluation of certain characters,
 227 as was verified in the genetic and phenotypic correlation between mean fruit diameter and
 228 mean fruit length.

229
 230 There were high phenotypic and genotypic correlations for mean fruit diameter with mean
 231 fruit mass ($r_F = 0.84^{**}$) and ($r_G = 0.86$) indicating that an increase in fruit diameter would
 232 probably result in an increase in the mean fruit mass (Table 2). The correlation mean fruit
 233 diameter x mean fruit mass usually presents high values of correlation and can be proven in
 234 studies with other crops, tomato [21], with passion sour [22], passion sweet [23] and
 235 chestnut-of-gurguéia [24]. The mean mass of the fruits in turn presented the estimates of the
 236 correlations, with positive and high signs, with fruit production per plant ($r_F = 0.82^*$) and (r_G
 237 $= 0.90$), being possible to obtain gains in the average production of fruits per plant selecting
 238 materials with higher average mass of the fruits (Table 2). The genotype correlation between
 239 the variables mean fruit length x number of fruits per plant presented a high value ($r_G =$
 240 0.81), showing that for these characteristics the influence of the genetic effects were greater
 241 than the environmental ones and consequently the phenotypes (Table 2).

242
 243 **Table 2. Matrix of phenotypic (r_F), genotypic (r_G) and environmental (r_E) correlations**
 244 **among average fruit diameter (DMF), average fruit length (CMF), average mass of**
 245 **fruits per plant (MMF), number of fruits per plant (NFP) and average yield of fruit per**
 246 **plant (PMF) of 8 genotypes of eggplant in three environments, joint analysis.**

Characters	Correlations	Caracteres			
		CMF	MMF	NFP	PMF
DMF	r_F	-0.94**	0.84**	-0.52	0.50
	r_G	-0.96	0.86	-0.70	0.56
	r_E	-0.22	0.65 ⁺	-0.04	0.27

CMF	r_F	-	-0.63	0.57	-0.27
	r_G	-	-0.67	0.81	-0.30
	r_E	-	0.24	-0.35	-0.13
MMF	r_F	-	-	-0.25	0.82 [*]
	r_G	-	-	-0.31	0.90
	r_E	-	-	-0.15	0.46
NFP	r_F	-	-	-	0.35
	r_G	-	-	-	0.15
	r_E	-	-	-	0.74 ⁺

247 **, * Significant at 1 and 5%, by the t test, respectively (significant at 1% and 5% through the
 248 t test, respectively); ++, + Significant at 1 and 5%, respectively, by the bootstrap method with
 249 10,000 simulations (significant at 1 and 5% through the bootstrap method with 10,000
 250 simulations).
 251

252 The characteristic number of fruits per plant did not present significant genetic and
 253 phenotypic correlation with the production of fruits per plants and with the average mass of
 254 fruits per plant, however, in another work that was evaluated 24 genotypes of eggplant ($r_F =$
 255 -0.63 **) and ($r_G = -0.64$ **) were found to be correlated between the number of fruits per
 256 plant and the average mass of the fruits and number of fruits per plant x fruit production per
 257 plant ($r_F = 0.56$) and ($r_G = 0.56$) [16]. However, it should be emphasized that genetic
 258 correlations are characteristic of a population under study and, therefore, its extrapolation is
 259 not adequate [25].
 260

261 In if treating of environmental correlations, when they were significant, presented relatively
 262 high values as in the correlations mean fruit diameter x mean fruit mass ($r_E = 0.65$ +), and
 263 number of fruits per plant x production of fruit plants (0.74 +). This shows that these
 264 characters are similarly affected by the same environment conditions [26]. The other
 265 correlations were low and not significant, indicating a lower influence of the environment
 266 (Table 2).
 267

268 For the three evaluated environments, the mean diameter of the fruits presented estimates
 269 of significant phenotypic correlation with the characteristic average length of the fruits,
 270 however it was negative sign, in the systems, conventional ($r_F = -0.89$ **), organic ($r_F = -$
 271 0.97 **) and hydroponic ($r_F = -0.93$ **) with respect to the genotypic correlation for the same
 272 characteristics, were high and with negative signals for the three systems, ($r_G = -0.90$),
 273 organic ($r_G = -0.99$) and hydroponic ($r_G = -0.94$), confirming the relationship between the
 274 two variables (Table 3).
 275

276 **Table 3. Matrix of phenotypic (r_F), genotypic (r_G) and environmental (r_E) correlations**
 277 **among among average fruit diameter (DMF), average fruit length (CMF), average mass**
 278 **of fruits per plant (MMF), number of fruits per plant (NFP) and average yield of fruit**
 279 **per plant (PMF) of 8 genotypes of eggplant in conventional, organic and hydroponic**
 280 **system.**

		Conventional System			
Characters	Correlations	Caracteres Characters			
		CMF	MMF	NFP	PMF
DMF	r_F	-0.89**	0.85**	-0.64	0.66
	r_G	-0.90	0.87	-0.79	0.71
	r_E	0.14	0.13	0.32	0.38 ⁺
CMF	r_F	-	-0.55	0.57	-0.38
	r_G	-	-0.58	0.72	-0.43
	r_E	-	0.34 ⁺	-0.14	0.10
	r_F	-	-	-0.42	0.92

MMF	r_G	-	-0.51	0.98	
	r_E	-	-0.08	0.38 ⁺	
NFP	r_F	-	-	-0.02	
	r_G	-	-	-0.27	
	r_E	-	-	0.86 ⁺⁺	
Organic System					
Characters	Correlations	Characters			
		CMF	MMF	NFP	PMF
DMF	r_F	-0.97 ^{**}	0.90 ^{**}	-0.53	0.68
	r_G	-0.99	0.93	-0.68	0.75
	r_E	0.23 ⁺	0.40 ⁺	0.07	0.26 ⁺
CMF	r_F	-	-0.82	0.63	-0.53
	r_G	-	-0.88	0.79	-0.63
	r_E	-	0.55 ⁺⁺	0.20	0.43 ⁺⁺
MMF	r_F	-	-	-0.41	0.84
	r_G	-	-	-0.53	0.90
	r_E	-	-	-0.06	0.47 ⁺⁺
NFP	r_F	-	-	-	0.15
	r_G	-	-	-	-0.10
	r_E	-	-	-	0.83 ⁺⁺
Hydroponics System					
Caracteres	Correlations	Caracteres			
		MMF	NFP	PMF	
DMF	r_F	-0.93 ^{**}	0.73	-0.32	0.31
	r_G	-0.94	0.76	-0.33	0.32
	r_E	0.64	0.36 ⁺⁺	0.14	0.34 ⁺⁺
CMF	r_F	-	-0.45	0.30	-0.11
	r_G	-	-0.48	0.30	-0.13
	r_E	-	0.34 ⁺	0.12	0.30 ⁺
MMF	r_F	-	-	-0.12	0.67
	r_G	-	-	-0.14	0.66
	r_E	-	-	0.15	0.82 ⁺⁺
NFP	r_F	-	-	-	0.64
	r_G	-	-	-	0.64
	r_E	-	-	-	0.66 ⁺⁺

281 ^{**}, ^{*} Significant at 1% and 5% through the t test, respectively; ⁺⁺, ⁺ Significant at 1 and 5%
282 through the bootstrap method with 10.000 simulations.
283

284 It was also verified a significant phenotypic correlation for mean diameter of the fruits x
285 average mass of the fruits in the three environments, being these compounds of high values,
286 conventional ($r_F = 0.85$ ^{**}), organic ($r_F = 0.90$ ^{**}) and hydroponic ($r_F = 0.73$ ^{*}) the genotypic
287 correlations for the same characteristics were also high, conventional ($r_G = 0.86$), organic
288 ($r_G = 0.93$) and hydroponic ($r_G = 0.76$) thus showing a high influence of the genotypic
289 effects and with potential to be explored using indirect selection (Table 3).
290

291 The phenotypic correlation mean fruit length x mean fruit mass was significant only in the
292 organic environment ($r_F = -0.82$ ^{*}), and presented genotypic correlation with high value also
293 ($r_G = -0.88$), in the conventional and hydroponic environments they were not significant, but
294 also presented a negative sign (Table 3). It was verified in the conventional and organic
295 systems, significant and high phenotypic correlation for the characteristics average mass of
296 the fruits x production of fruits per plant, ($r_F = 0.92$ ^{**}) and ($r_F = 0.84$ ^{**}) respectively, the
297 genotypic correlations in the two systems also presented high values $r_G = 0.98$ in the
298 conventional system and $r_G = 0.90$ in the organic system, this correlation was not significant

299 in the hydroponic system, even the value being $rF = 0.67$ (Table 3). The other phenotypic
300 correlations were not significant.

301

302 Most estimates of the genotypic correlations of the analyzed variables of the genotypes
303 studied were superior to those of the phenotypic and environmental genotypes. In some
304 cases, genotypic correlations showed high values only in certain culture systems, as in the
305 correlation between mean fruit diameter x number of fruits per plants in the conventional
306 system ($rG = -0.79$), between average fruit diameter x average fruit yield per plant, ($rG =$
307 0.71) for the conventional system and ($rG = 0.75$) for the organic system and between the
308 mean fruit length x number of fruits per plant, with ($rG = 0.72$) for the conventional system
309 and $rG = 0.79$ for the organic system (Table 3). In this case, the genotypic correlation is that
310 which represents the genetic portion of the phenotypic correlation, and is inheritable in
311 nature and, therefore, used to guide breeding programs in the selection of certain traits [27].

312

313 The environmental correlation mean fruit diameter x mean fruit mass was significant in the
314 organic systems ($rE = 0.40+$) and hydroponic ($rE = 0.36 ++$), not being significant only in the
315 conventional system (Table 3). The correlation diameter of the fruits x mean fruit length was
316 significant only in the organic environment ($rE = 0.23 +$) (Table 3).

317

318 It was verified a significant environmental correlation in the three environments for the
319 average length of the fruits with the average mass of the fruits, conventional system ($rE =$
320 $0.34 +$), organic ($rE = 0.55 ++$) and hydroponic ($rE = 0.34 +$) (Table 3). The mean fruit length
321 showed significant correlation estimates with mean fruit production per plant in the organic
322 ($rE = 0.43 ++$) and hydroponic ($rE = 0.30+$) environments (Table 3). In the three cropping
323 systems the correlations were significant for mean fruit mass x fruit production per plant,
324 obtaining values of $rE = 0.38 +$, $rE = 0.47 ++$ and $rE = 0.82 ++$ for the conventional, organic
325 and hydroponic systems, respectively (Table 3).

326

327 The hydroponic system was the one that presented the majority of the genotypic correlations
328 and phenotypes smaller than those of the conventional and organic systems, these
329 differences are due to the way the hydroponic system is conducted providing all the
330 essential nutrients to the development of the plant, in this way the physiology becomes
331 affected, causing the correlations to present different values of the other systems. For the
332 studied variables, the genotypic correlations were superior to the phenotypic correlations,
333 demonstrating that the phenotypic expression for these characteristics is reduced by
334 environmental influences, due, probably, the causes of genetic variation and the
335 environment have influenced the characters through different physiological mechanisms
336 (Falconer & Mackay, 1996).

337

338 In the evaluated cultivation systems the superiority in hybrids productivity was observed on
339 open pollinated cultivars. The hybrids Rochelle, Viollete and Blanca showed better results for
340 fruit mass and fruit yield per plant. Although the Ciça hybrid did not present a good yield in
341 the evaluated experiments, is the most cultivated because it is the fruit most accepted by
342 consumers.

343

344 Both in the joint analysis considering the conventional, organic and hydroponic
345 environments, as in the analyzes considering each individual environment the correlations of
346 the variables of the hybrids and evaluated cultivars that stood out and could be used for
347 breeding purposes were: mean fruit diameter x average fruit length; mean fruit diameter x
348 mean fruit mass per plant and average mass of fruits per plant x average yield of fruits per
349 plant.

350

351 **4. CONCLUSION**

352

353 Number of commercial fruits per plant and production per plant are decisive variables to
354 express the behavior of the genotypes in the different cropping systems.

355

356 Hydroponic system as the environment that provided the best performance for all genotypes.

357

358 In the organic and conventional cultivation systems no significant difference was observed
359 for fruit production per plant.

360

361

362 **COMPETING INTERESTS**

363

364 Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

365

366

367 **REFERENCES**

368

369 1. Gonçalves MDCR, Diniz MFFM, Dantas AHG, Borba JDC. Modest lipid-lowering
370 effect of the dry extract of Eggplant (*Solanum melongena* L.) in women with
371 dyslipidemias, under nutritional control. *Braz. Jour. Pharm.* 2006;16(5):656-663.
372 English.

373

374 2. Gomes DP, Silva AFD, Dias DCF, Alvarenga EM, Silva LJD, Panozzo LE. Priming
375 and drying on the physiological quality of eggplant seeds. *Braz. Hort.* 2012;30(3):484-
376 488. English.

377

378 3. Şekara A, Cebula S, Kunicki E. Cultivated eggplants—origin, breeding objectives and
379 genetic resources, a review. *Fol. Horti.* 2007;19(1):97-114. English.

380

381 4. Daunay M-C, Janick J. History and iconography of eggplant. *Chron. Hort.*
382 2007;47(3):16-22. English.

383

384 5. Prohens J, Plazas M, Raigón MD, Seguí-Simarro JM, Stommel JR, Vilanova S.
385 Characterization of interspecific hybrids and first backcross generations from crosses
386 between two cultivated eggplants (*Solanum melongena* and *S. aethiopicum* Kumba
387 group) and implications for eggplant breeding. *Euphytica* 2012;186(2):517-538.
388 English.

389

390 6. Kandus M, Almorza D, Ronceros RB, Salerno J. Statistical models for evaluating the
391 genotype-environment interaction in maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Fyton.* 2010;79(1):39-46.
392 English.

393

394 7. Guadagnin S, Rath S, Reyes F. Evaluation of the nitrate content in leaf vegetables
395 produced through different agricultural systems. *Foo. Add. Cont.* 2005;22(12):1203-
396 1208. English.

397

398 8. Luz JMQ, Guimarães S, Korndörfer GH. Hydroponic production of lettuce in nutritive
399 solution with and without silicon. *Braz. Hort.* 2006;24(3):295-300. English.

400

401 9. Oliveira FD, Ribas RGT, Junqueira RM, Padovan MP, Guerra JGM, Almeida DD,
402 Ribeiro RDL. Performance of the consortium between cabbage and radish with pre-

- 403 cultivation of crotalaria, under organic management. *Braz. Hort.* 2005;23(2):184-188.
404 English.
- 405
- 406 10. Ikeda FS, Carmona R, Mitja D, Guimaraes RM. Light and KNO₃ on germination of
407 seeds of Bernardo R. *Breeding for Quantitative Traits in Plants*. Woodbury Minesota:
408 Stem. Pres. 369p. 2002. English.
- 409
- 410 11. Augustin L, Milach S, Bisognin DA, Suzin M. Genotype x environment interaction of
411 agronomic and processing quality traits in potato. *Braz. Hort.* 2012;30(1):84-90.
412 English.
- 413
- 414 12. Castro CMD, Almeida DLD, Ribeiro RDL, Carvalho JFD. Direct planting, green
415 manuring and supplementation with poultry manure in the organic production of
416 eggplant. *Braz. Agric. Res.* 2005;40(5):495-502. English.
- 417
- 418 13. Efron B, Tibshirani R. *An Introduction to the Bootstrap*. London: Chapman & Hall.
419 436p. 1993. English.
- 420
- 421 14. Ferreira A, Cruz CD, Vasconcelos ESD, Nascimento M, Ribeiro MF, Silva MFD. Use
422 of non-parametric bootstrap for the evaluation of phenotypic, genotypic and
423 environmental correlations. *Act. Sci. Agro.* 2008;30(5):657-663. English.
- 424
- 425 15. Cruz CD. *GENES Program: Computational application in genetics and statistics*.
426 Viçosa: UFV. 648p. 2007. English.
- 427
- 428 16. Tatis AH, Ayala CCE, Camacho EMM. Correlaciones fenotípicas, ambientales y
429 genéticas en berenjena. *Act. Agro.* 2009;58(4):285-291. English.
- 430
- 431 17. Antonini ACC, Robles WGR, Tessarioli Neto J, Kluge RA. Production capacity of
432 eggplant cultivars. *Braz. Hort.* 2002;20(4):646-648. English.
- 433
- 434 18. Ribeiro CSDC, Reifschneider F. Evaluation of eggplant hybrids by producers and
435 technicians. *Braz. Hort.* 1999;17(1):49-50. English.
- 436
- 437 19. Cruz C, Castoldi F. Decomposicao da interacao genotipos x ambientes em partes
438 simples e complexa. *Ceres.* 1991;38(219):422-430. English.
- 439
- 440 20. Ramalho MAP, Ferreira DF, Oliveira ACD. *Experimentation in Genetics and Plant
441 Breeding*. 3 ed. Lavras: UFLA. 305p. 2012. English.
- 442
- 443 21. Fernandes C, Corá JE, Braz LT. Classification of cherry tomatoes according to fruit
444 size and weight. *Braz. Hort.* 2007;25(2):275-278. English.
- 445
- 446 22. Santos CEMD, Bruckner CH, Cruz CD, Siqueira DLD, Pimentel LD. Physical
447 characteristics of passion fruit according to genotype and fruit mass. *Braz. Jour. Frut.*
448 2009;31(4):1102-1119. English.
- 449
- 450 23. Alves RR, Salomão LCC, Siqueira DLD, Cecon PR, Silva DFPD. Relationship
451 between physical and chemical characteristics of passion fruit fruits cultivated in
452 Viçosa-MG. *Braz. Jour. Frut.* 2012;34(2):619-623. English.
- 453

- 454 24. Ribeiro FSDC, Souza VABD, Lopes ÂCDA. Physical characteristics and chemical-
455 nutritional composition of the castanheira-do-gurguéia fruit (*Dipteryx lacunifera*
456 Ducke). *Agro. Sci. Jour.* 2012;43(2):301-311. English.
457
- 458 25. Gonçalves GM, Viana AP, Reis LSD, Bezerra Neto FV, Amaral Júnior ATD, Reis LSD.
459 Phenotypic and genetic-additive correlations in yellow passion fruit by Design I. *Sci.*
460 *Agrot.* 2008;32(5):1413-1418. English.
461
- 462 26. Falconer DS, Mackay TFC. *Introduction to Quantitative Genetics*: Longman. 480p.
463 1996. English.
464
- 465 27. Ferreira MAJF, Queiróz MAD, Braz LT, Vencovsky R. Genotypic, phenotypic and
466 environmental correlations among ten characters of watermelon and their implications
467 for genetic improvement. *Braz. Hort.* 2003;21(3):438-442. English.

UNDER PEER REVIEW