1
I

3

4 5

ORIGINAL RESEARCH ARTICLE COMPONENTS OF TREE BIOMASS IN AN INTEGRATED CROP-LIVESTOCK-FOREST SYSTEM

6 **ABSTRACT**

7 Aims: The objective of this study was to perform the adjustment of volumetric models and to

8 determine the biomass of Eucalyptus grandis x Eucalyptus urophylla hybrid cultivated in a

9 crop-livestock-forest integration system (CLF).

10 **Study design:** The experimental area consists on a crop-livestock-forest integration system

11 where trees tend to east-west direction. The trees are hybrids clones Eucalyptus grandis x

12 Eucalyptus urophylla who were seven years old.

13 Place and duration of study: The present work was carried out at Fazenda Santa Brígida,

14 Ipameri, Goiás. The forest inventory was carried out in 2015.

15 **Methodology**: After a forest inventory, 12 trees were felled, which were cubed and 16 compartmentalized to determine the volume and biomass of their components.

17 Results: The highest volumes of wood were observed in the diametric classes that

18 presented the largest number of individuals, however in the class of higher DBH an average

19 individual volume of 0.36 m3 of wood was observed. The total biomass of Eucalyptus was

20 56.64 Mg ha-1, being 83.70% wood, 6.52% in branches, 6.37% in bark and 3.40% in leaves.

21 **Conclusion**: The volumetric models developed by Schumacher & Hall and Ogaya were 22 suitable for estimating the volume of wood in CLF systems, where both showed the 23 determination coefficients of 0.866.

24 Keywords: compartmentalization; eucalyptus; CLFS; volume, modeling

25

261. INTRODUCTION

Crop-livestock-forest (CLF) integration has been proposed as an economically viable production technology for the recovery and renovation of degraded areas in the Cerrados. In addition to the formation or recovery of pastures, this technique favors the production of grains along with the exploitation of tree biomass production for its own purposes, either simultaneously, sequentially, or rotationally [1].

Integrated CLF systems, involving the three components, allow for the intensive and sustainable use of the soil with profitability, since the year of its implementation. The intensification of the production observed has several benefits to the producer and the environment, such as: improving the physical, chemical, and biological conditions of the soil, increasing the cycling and efficiency for the use of nutrients, reducing production costs of agriculture and livestock, opening new areas for production, and diversifying and stabilizing the income in the rural property [2].

The tree component biomass included in the integrated systems promotes benefits ranging from soil protection to availability of nutrients and organic matter in the soil by the deposition of leaves and tree branches [3,4]. Eucalyptus has been presented as a good option in the integrated CLF due to its rustic nature, rapid growth, great utilization, and economic value in the market, being an alternative for farmers interested in wood production [5].

46 In the integration of crop-livestock-forest, one of the challenges lies in the 47 careful planning of the system, defining short, medium, and long-term actions. The 48 competition for light between forest species and agricultural and pastoral crops requires 49 special attention, as this directly influences the productivity of the system. However, this 50 competition can be reduced by selecting genetic material, adapting the planting arrangement 51 of the tree component, and silvicultural treatments, which, in addition to adding value to the 52 wood, also allows for greater light entry into the integration system that contributes to the 53 maintenance or increase in the productivity of the other components [6,1].

54 The configuration of tree component arrangements may influence plant height,

diameter of breast height (DBH), and volume of wood. [7] verified that integrated systems with single and double row arrangements provided higher volumes of wood. In their study, [8] verified higher volumes of eucalyptus wood in integrated systems with forages than in monoculture.

The balanced relationship between the integrated CLF components is important for the expression of the productive potential of the species involved. In the case of tree species, especially the fast-growing ones such as eucalyptus, accumulation and biomass production are influenced by age of trees, among other factors. In the juvenile phase, accumulation is higher in the canopy components, whereas a greater increase of biomass in the trunk component is perceived over time [9].

65 However, this work had the objective of adjusting volumetric models and 66 determining the biomass of the *Eucalyptus grandis* x *Eucalyptus urophylla* hybrid cultivated 67 in an integrated crop-livestock-forest (CLF) system in Ipameri / Goiás.

68

69 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

70

The present work was carried out at Fazenda Santa Brígida in the municipality of Ipameri - Goiás, located at 17° 39'22" south latitude and longitude west of 48° 12'22" and altitude OF 800m [8]. According to the classification of Köppen-Geiger, the climate of the region is Aw (tropical savannah with dry season in winter), and the average temperature of the region varies between 22 and 23°C. The annual rainfall is around 1200 to 1400 mm, having a wet period comprised of seven months from October to April, and the remaining five months characterize the dry season [10].

According to [11], the soil of the experimental area is classified as red latosol, being naturally acidic and with low base saturation with good drainage and sand-clay texture. The experimental area consists of an integrated crop-livestock-forest system that tends towards the east-west direction. Tree planting was carried out with clones of the hybrid *Eucalyptus grandis* x *Eucalyptus urophylla* (GG 100) in 2008 in an area of approximately four hectares (ha). The trees were arranged in double rows (1 m x 1 m x 26 m), occupying 1.4 ha of the total area of the system [8].

Before establishment of the seedlings, soil acidity was corrected with the use of two tons per hectare of dolomitic limestone and one ton of gypsum. At planting, the base fertilization used was 400 kg ha⁻¹ of yoorin thermophosphate and 180 grams (g ha⁻¹) of NPK formulation 06-30-30, supplemented with 0.4 g ha⁻¹ of zinc, 0.2 g ha⁻¹ copper, and 0.2 g ha⁻¹ boron. The half of this composition was incorporated into the bottom of the planting pit, and the remainder was distributed twenty days after planting in two lateral holes located 10 cm from the seedlings.

15 months after of planting, a pruning was performed, and at 30 months, the third cover fertilization was applied using NPK formulation 00 - 00 - 36 with the addition of 0.2 g ha⁻¹ of copper and 0.6 g ha⁻¹ of boron per plant, provided in continuous fillet in the crown projection.

82

83 **2.1 Determination of eucalyptus biomass**

84

The forest inventory of the area was carried out in October 2015 when the tree component was fully developed seven years after planting. DBH (diameter at breast height at 1.30 meters in relation to soil level) and H (total height of trees) were measured in the field with the aid of a caliper and the use of a clinometer. For the measurement, a systematic sampling was carried out with regular intervals for every six tree lines in which the measurements of DBH and H were made in the two individuals that composed it.

Based on the data obtained from the forest inventory, the trees were distributed in four classes of diameters (Table 2.1). Subsequently, three individuals were felled for sampling in each diameter class, considering the lower, middle, and upper limits, totaling 12

- 94 trees.
- 95

Table 2.1. Diametric distribution (cm) of eucalyptus in the integrated CLF system in the
 municipality of Ipameri / Goiás in 2015.

Class Center	Number of Sampled
	Individuals
11.5	16
16.5	59
21.5	80
26.5	6
	11.5 16.5 21.5

After the trees had been felled, they were subjected to rigorous sampling, according to the method developed by Smalian and described by [12]. The points for taking diameters with and without bark were: 0.10 m, 0.30 m, 1.30 m, 2.30 m, and so on, at one meter intervals up to full height.

103 After measuring the diameters, the trunk was sectioned into 1-m-long logs to 104 the point where the diameter was seven centimeters (commercial diameter). From there, up 105 to a diameter of three centimeters was considered as tip of the trees, and the remaining 106 portions to the apex were considered branches. For the determination of the dry weight of 107 wood, the methodology developed by [13] was used, in which three samples were taken 108 along the trunk. The total height of the tree was divided into three sections, and the midpoint 109 of each third of the tree was taken to compose the sample. Each sampling point was 110 composed of the complete disc of the tree cylinder that had a thickness of ten centimeters.

After sectioning, the logs were weighed both with and without bark to determine the wet weight of the wood and bark. The tree canopy, in turn, was divided into two components: leaves and branches. These components were also weighed in the field and properly sampled to determine the dry weight in the laboratory, as well as to determine wood 115 biomass (WB), branch biomass (BB), and leaf biomass (LB).

116 The biomass samples were sent to the Forest Ecology Laboratory (ECOFLOR) 117 of the Federal University of Goiás. They were placed in a force air circulation oven at 65oC 118 for drying until the weight of the samples remained stable to obtain the dry mass of the 119 components with a precision digital scale (0.01 g). In order to relate the DBHs and biomass 120 components of each tree, linear regressions were performed for each component: wood, 121 bark, branches, and leaves. For the volumetric models, the DBH and the total height of the 122 tree were considered the independent variables, and the total volumes and the trunk with the 123 bark were dependent variables. Four volumetric models, one single-entry and three double-124 entry, were chosen because they were the most used for the quantification of the production 125 in forest stands and have not yet been tested in integrated CLF systems. The models tested 126 are described in Table 2.2.

Table 2.2. Volumetric models tested in the present work.

Author	Туре	Model
Autor	Type	Woder
Husch	Single entry	$V = \beta_0 + \beta_1 DAP$
Ogaya	Double entry	$V=DAP^{2}\left(\beta_{0}+\beta_{1}H\right)$
Schumacher & Hall (log)	Double entry	$V=\beta_0+\beta_1 Ln(DAP)+\beta_2 Ln(H)$
Spurr (log)	Double entry	$V=\beta_0 + \beta_1 Ln(DAP^2H)$

DBH= diameter at breast height; H = total height; β_0 = value of the height estimated when the diameter is zero; β_1 = slope of the line, which corresponds to the value of the first derivative; β_2 = rate of change in volume (m³) as height (m) variation occurs with constant DBH (cm); β_3 = coefficient of the multivariate model.

The volumetric models were adjusted and evaluated by means of adjustment and precision statistics, following the importance proposed by [14]: graphical analysis of the residues; estimate of the standard error in percentage (Syx%) that indicates the proximity between the estimated values and those observed and the closer to zero the model and the determination coefficient (R2) that shows how much the dependent variables are explained by the independents and, in this case, the closer to a better model.

128 **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

3.1 Determination of eucalyptus biomass

130

The integrated crop-livestock-forest (CLF) system evaluated presents a density of 303 trees per hectare. This occupied 33.65% of the area designated to the system and an average production of 0.18 m³ of wood per tree, totaling a volume of wood without bark of 54.80 m³ ha in the studied system. The remaining 66.35% were destined to other economic activities within the integration, such as agricultural and forage production. This which favors the diversification of crops in time and space, taking into account the integration presuppositions.

After performing the forest inventory, the diameter distribution was analyzed, and 138 139 four diameter classes were obtained. It can be noticed that the height, density, and volume of wood without bark were higher in class III, being 30.33 m, 151 trees ha⁻¹, and 40.06 m³ 140 ha⁻¹, respectively (Table 2.3). Through the dendrometric characteristics of this integrated 141 142 CLF system, it is possible to verify a trend in relation to the height behavior of the plants and 143 their DBHs, being that the DBH tends to increase as the height increases. This is contrary to 144 the expected behavior in more homogeneous forest stands where trees with higher heights 145 and smaller diameters are observed.

- 146
- 147

Table 2.3. Dendrometric characteristics of *Eucalyptus grandis* x *Eucalyptus urophylla* grown
 in the integrated CLF system at Fazenda Santa Brígida in the municipality of
 Ipameri / Goiás / 2015.

Diameters Classes	Average	Average DBH	Density(tree.	Volume	of	wood
(cm)	height	(cm)	ha⁻¹)	without b	ark (r	n ³ .ha⁻¹)

	(m)			
I (9-14)	12.58	10.45	30	1.25
II (14,1-19)	20.73	14.53	111	9.45
III(19,1-24)	30.33	21.57	151	40.06
IV(24,1-29)	30.22	26.55	11	4.04
Total	23.47	18.28	303	54.80

Generally, resource availability tends to be higher, reflecting higher growth in broader plantations [15]. This fact can be observed in this study because in spite of the densification of the trees in the planting lines, the spacing between the eucalyptus ridges provides greater light availability in this integrated CLF system. This causes the effect observed in the height, DBH, and wood volume that can be attributed to the lesser effect of resource competition than to continuous plantings where the height and DBH ratio are inversely related.

159 The maximum and minimum diameter found in this integrated CLF ranged from 9.4 160 to 28.25 cm, and the highest tree density were located in classes II and III, which 161 consequently contributed with a higher volume of wood within the ILPF system (Figure 2.3). 162 In its study with eucalyptus clones GG100 (E. grandis x E. urophylla) of 4.5 years, [16] 163 observed a diametric variation between 5.0 and 17.1 cm. They also reported that the classes 164 of greater diameter were those that presented the greater number of individuals, a DBH 165 variation close to that of the present study, but the central classes were those with the 166 highest number of individuals.

Although Class IV presents the highest average wood volume per tree of 0.36 m³, its contribution to the system is around 10%, among the individuals of lower number present in this class. However, classes III and II were the ones that concentrated the largest number of individuals, being responsible for 86.4% of the wood produced in this area of the integrated CLF with an average volume of 0.26 and 0.08 m³, respectively, per individual

172 within the classes.




Figure 2.3. Volume of wood (m³ ha⁻¹) in different diametric classes of eucalyptus trees in an
integrated crop-livestock-forest system in Ipameri / Goiás.

When evaluating three 32-month-old eucalyptus trees (*E. urophylla* x *E. grandis*), Torres et al. (2016) found volumes of wood without bark ranging from 0.01 to 0.24 m³ for DBHs between 6.79 and 20.8 cm. These results are similar to those verified in the present work in the corresponding diametric classes.

The total biomass produced by the hybrid GG 100 in this integrated CLF was 56642.76 kg ha⁻¹, and the trees belonging to class III contributed the most in this production. In general, 38303.00 kg ha⁻¹ of biomass were quantified through individuals with DBH between 19.1 and 24 cm 24.1 and 29 cm, contributing 67.62% of the total produced (Table 2.4).

Among the components analyzed in the eucalyptus, the wood biomass contributed the most to the total biomass of the integrated CLF trees with 83.70%, followed by the branches with 6.52%. Considering the trunk biomass (wood + bark), this was 90.07%

188 and the contribution of the canopy (leaves + branches) was 9.92% (Table 2.4).

189 Table 2.4. Eucalyptus wood biomass (WB), bark biomass (KB), branch biomass (BB), and

leaf biomass (LF) with seven years of integrated CLF cultivation in Ipameri /

191

190

Goiás.

Class	Class I	Class II	Class III	Class IV	Total of
	(9-14 cm)	(14.1-19	(19.1-24	(24.1-29 cm)	components
		cm)	cm)		\mathcal{A}
LB (kg ha ⁻¹)	169.80	615.93	992.19	149.23	1927.15 (3.40)*
KB (kg ha⁻¹)	393.60	1008.29	1974.81	318.41	3695.11 (6.52)
BB (kg ha⁻¹)	139.31	859.96	2363.32	245.73	3608.32 (6.37)
WB (kg ha⁻¹)	1540.81	9918.34	32972.68	2980.35	47412.18 (83.70)
Total Biomass	2243.52	12402.52	38303.00	3693.72	56642.76
	(3.96)	(21.90)	(67.62)	(6.52)	

^{*} Values in parentheses refer to the percentage of component contribution in relation to total

193 biomass.

194

Evaluating biomass components in eucalyptus stands with different ages, [13] verified a trunk biomass around 80.3% for plantations with 8 years, a result that is consistent with the present study.

198 In the present work, it was verified that the order of contribution of biomasses in 199 the different components was Wood>Braches>Bark>Leaves (Figure 2.4). These results 200 were similar to those verified by [17] in Allegrete//RS in homogeneous plantation of *E. dunni* 201 with four years of age and those reported by [18] in Campos das Vertentes/MG using 202 eucalyptus clones I-144 with 6.5 years of age.




Figure 2.4. Contribution of eucalyptus biomass from different components and diametric classes in the integrated CLF system in the Ipameri / Goiás municipality.

Different results to the present work were observed by [19] when working with *E. benthamii* in an CLF at 12 months of age, [20] with *Eucalyptus* sp. of three years of agem and [21] in eucalyptus in the agroforestry system of 18 months, where they verified the inversion in the production of leaves and bark. This explains the effect of biomass distribution during the different tree development phases, as the first one focused on leaf expansion and the second on the development of trunks and leaf area limitation [22,13].

In his study comparing different commercial clones of eucalyptus in integrated CLF systems in the municipality of Juara/MT, [6] verified that GG100 eucalyptus was the one that allocated the largest biomass in the trunk when planted in double lines corresponding to 62.6% of the total biomass of the trees at 15 months of age, Moreover, this behavior was maintained at seven years, as verified in the present work with the same clone in which this percentage reached 90.07% as predicted by [23]. From a commercial and structural point of view, the objective of the cultivator is to increase the volume of the trunk and to improve the quality of the wood. Less biomass in the branches is desirable since the primary product is the wood for commercialized [6].

In Figure 2.5, it can be verified through the regression analysis that the linear model was adequate to explain the increase of the biomass of the different components in relation to the DBHs. One can observe an intense relation between these, mainly for wood and bark, and with lower intensity with the branches.

Where the second second




Figure 2.5. Production of the different components of biomass of *Eucalyptus grandis* x
 Eucalyptus urophylla in relation to DBH in an integrated CLF system in the city
 of Ipameri / Goiás.

The biomass gains of wood and bark due to the increase of the DBH were homogeneous. In other words, as the DAP increased, the biomass of these components also increased, which is justified by the high values of the coefficient of determination presented in the respective regressions (R2 0.9229 and R2 0.8604). While the biomass of leaves and branches did not present significant increases with the increase of DBH (R2 0.6647 and R2 0.4672), which evidences the accumulation of biomass as a function of age. In more developed plantations, the biomass of the leaves and branches decrease [13].

3.2 Adjustments of volumetric models


237

Table 2.5 shows the adjustments for the different models tested as a function of height and DBH. Considering the graphical analysis of the residues, the standard error and the determination coefficient, the double entry models of Schumacher & Hall (log) (0.866 and 21.33%) and Ogaya (0.866 and 20.78%) can be considered the most efficient to predict the volume of wood for an integrated CLF system in this spatial arrangement (Figure 2.6).

Table 2.5. Adjustments of volumetric models attributed to the eucalyptus plantation used in
 the integrated crop-livestock-forest system and their estimated coefficients (β),
 coefficient of determination (R2), and standard error (Syx %).

Models	β0	β1	β2	R2	Syx(%)
Husch	-0.18775	0.031469	-	0.681	35.10
Ogaya	-0.27662	0.00045	0.019777	0.886	20.78
Schumacher & Hall (log)	-8.8478	0.617035	1.848882	0.886	21.33
Spurr (log)	-1.45173	0.207884	-	0.748	31.21

247



249

Figure 2.6. Waste residue distribution of the volumetric models tested for clones of
 Eucalyptus grandis x *Eucalyptus urophylla* in integrated CLF system in the city of Ipameri /
 Goiás.

However, all models tested had a satisfactory distribution of residues, an R2 ranging from 0.681 to 0.866 and a standard error of less than 35%, which makes it possible to use these models to estimate the volume of wood in the integrated CLF system.

256 [24] consider the Näslund and Ogaya models as the most efficient to determine 257 the volume of wood in the Integrated CLF system with eucalyptus of six years of age in 258 Cachoeira Dourada / Goiás. These presented coefficients of determination of 99.5 and 259 99.1%, respectively. However, in spite of verifying a higher coefficient of 260 determination for the Shumacher & Hall model, [25] observed a standard error that was 261 considered high, another criterion used to indicate the volumetric model was the graphical 262 distribution of the residues. In this scenario, the Takata model was the most suitable for 263 estimating the volume of wood in a settlement of seven years of E. urophylla in Niguelândia, 264 north of Goiás.

In their study with a silvipastoril system in the region of Coronel Pacheco/MG, [26] tested different volumetric models to estimate the volume of eucalyptus trees, and they found that the Schumacher & Hall model presented the best fit for those conditions, as also verified in the present work. This demonstrated that the Schumacher & Hall model has also been used for the integrated CLF system, since its statistical properties almost always result in non-biased estimates.

With regards to the tree component of the integrated CLF system evaluated, a forest inventory was carried out to verify that at seven years after planting, the total biomass produced by the *Eucalyptus grandis* x *Eucalyptus urophylla* hybrid presented 56.64 Mg ha-1, a mean tree height of 25 m, and a chest height of 18. 222 cm. This biomass presented a distribution with greater quantity in the wood component, followed by the branches, bark, and leaves.

Although crop-livestock-forest integration presents limitations in its operation, this system becomes feasible from an adequate planning that meets the production demands of the property in the short, medium, and long term. Although it is a complex system because of the need to optimize the production conditions of each component, it is necessary to know the ecophysiology of the plants that will make up the integration. Besides the aggregate environmental benefits, this is important to determine if the productivity of the system is satisfactory to meet the social and economic demands and, thus, achieve the precepts of sustainability.

The environmental and productive importance of the integrated CLF system can be considered for the need to deepen the knowledge of the behavior of each component of the integration and prompted the interest in carrying out this research. It can be concluded that, finally, the initial objectives were reached, and it is, therefore, time for these results to be released.

284 Another aspect to be considered is the need for continuation of this research, 285 both for this region of the Cerrado of Goiás and for the other regions of the Country. It is 286 known that many agricultural systems, conducted in an inadequate way, have contributed to 287 the degradation of environmental quality and, due to this condition, seek to maintain 288 production through the opening of new arable areas. Knowledge of crop-livestock-forest 289 integration, as well as studies on the various possibilities of system implementation, are 290 important factors for the productivity of agroecosystem and reduction of negative impacts on 291 the Cerrado and other biomes.

292

293 **4. CONCLUSIONS**

294 The highest average volume of wood per tree was verified in the highest DBH 295 class;

296 The volumetric models of Schumacher & Hall and Ogaya were efficient to 297 estimate the volume of wood in the integrated CLF system;

298 The biomass of *Eucalyptus grandis* x *Eucalyptus urophylla* was 56.64 Mg ha-1,

299 and 90.07% was present in the components of the trunk, while the others allocated in the 300

canopy.

301 Adequate cultural (debris and thinning) treatment throughout the crop cycle has 302 negatively influenced the development of culture.

- 303
- 304

305 REFERENCES

306

307 1. VIANA MCM, ALVARENGA RC, MASCARENHAS MHT, MACEDO GAR, SILVA EA, 308 SILVA KT, RIBEIRO PCO. Consorciação de Culturas com o Eucalipto no Sistema de 309 Integração Lavoura-Pecuária Floresta. In: XXIX CONGRESSO NACIONAL DE MILHO E SORGO, 29, Águas de Lindóia. Anais... Águas de Lindóia, SP: Associação Brasileira de 310 311 Milho e Sorgo (ABMS), 2012. Portuguese.

312

313 2. GONTIJO NETO MM, VIANA MCM, ALVARENGA RC, SANTOS EA, SIMÃO EP, 314 CAMPANHA MM. Sistemas de integração Lavoura Pecuária Floresta em Minas Gerais. 315 Boletim de Industria Animal, 2014; 71: 183-191. Portuguese

316

317 3. XAVIER DF, LÉDO FJS, PACIULLO DSC, PIRES MFÁ, BODDEY RM. Dinâmica da 318 serapilheira em pastagens de braquiária em sistema silvipastoril e monocultura. Pesquisa 319 Agropecuária Brasileira, 2011; 46: 1214-1219. Portuguese.

320

321 4. GATTO A, BARROS NF, NOVAIS RF, SILVA FR, LEITE FP, VILLANI EMA. Estoques de 322 carbono no solo e na biomassa em plantações de eucalipto. Revista Brasileira de Ciências 323 do Solo, 2010; 34: 1069-1079. Portuguese.

325	5. TORRES CMME, OLIVEIRA AC, PEREIRA BLC, JACOVINE LAG, OLIVEIRA NETO SN,
326	CARNEIRO ACO. Estimativas da produção e propriedades da madeira de eucalipto em
327	Sistemas Agroflorestais. Scientia Forestalis, 2016; 44: 137-148. Portuguese.
328	
329	6. TONINI H, MORALES MM, MENEGUCI JLP, ANTONIO DBA, WRUCK FJ. Biomassa e
330	área foliar de clones de eucalipto para a desrama. Nativa, 2016; 4: 271-276. Portuguese.
331	
332	7. CLEMENTE MA. Características agronômicas do sorgo e eucalipto em diferentes
333	arranjos espaciais. 51 f. Dissertation (Master Degree) - Federal University of Uberlandia,
334	2015. Portuguese.
335	
336	8. OLIVEIRA P, FREITAS RJ, KLUTHCOUSKI J, RIBEIRO AA, CORDEIRO LAM,
337	TEIXEIRA LP, MELO RAC, SILVA A, VILELA L, BALBINO LC. Evolução de sistemas de
338	integração lavoura-pecuária-floresta (ILPF): estudo de caso da fazenda Santa Brígida,
339	Ipameri, Go. 2 ed. Planaltina: Embrapa Cerrados, 2015. 50 p. Portuguese.
340	$\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{Y}$
341	9. SAIDELLES FLF, KÖNIG FG, SCHUMACHER MV. Avaliação da biomassa e dos
342	nutrientes em espécies florestais de rápido crescimento. In: 1 Simpósio Brasileiro de Pós-
343	Graduação em Engenharia Florestal. AnaisSanta Maria: Perspectivas e Tendência da
344	Pesquisa Florestal, 2001; 1: 134-144. Portuguese.
345	
346	10. CARDOSO MRD, MARCUZZO FFN, BARROS JR. Classificação Climática de Köppen-
347	Geiger para o Estado de Goiás e o Distrito Federal. Acta Geográfica. 2014; 8: 44-55.
348	Portuguese.
349	
350	11. EMBRAPA. Sistema brasileiro de classificação de solos. 3. ed. Rio de Janeiro-RJ:
351	Embrapa Solos, 2013. 306p. Portuguese.

353 12. FINGER CAG. Fundamentos de biometria florestal. Santa Maria: UFSM/ CEPEF/
354 FATEC, 1992. 269 p. Portuguese.

355

356 13. SCHUMACHER MV, WITSCHORECK R, CALIL FN. Biomassa em povoamentos de
 357 *Eucalyptus spp.* de pequenas propriedades rurais em Vera Cruz, RS. Ciência Florestal
 358 (UFSM. Impresso), 2011; 21: 17-22. Portuguese.

359

360 14. DRAPER NR, SMITH H. Applied regression analysis. New York: Jonh Willey & Sons,361 407 p. 1966.

362

363 15. REINER DA, SILVEIRA ER, SZABO MS. O uso do eucalipto em diferentes
364 espaçamentos como alternativa de renda e suprimento da pequena propriedade na região
365 sudoeste do Paraná. Synergismus scyentifica. 2011; 6 (1). Portuguese.

366

367 16. CERDEIRA ALN. Modelos para quantificação do volume de diferentes sortimentos em
368 plantio de *Eucalyptus urophylla* x *Eucalyptus grandis*. 66 f. Monography (Forest
369 Engeenering), University of Brasília, 2012. Portuguese.

370

17. GUIMARAES CC, SCHUMACHER MV, WITSHORECK R, SOUZA HP, SANTOS JC.

Biomassa e nutriente em povoamento de *Eucalyptus dunni* Maiden no pampa gaúcho.
Revista Árvore. 2015; 39 (5): 873-882. Portuguese.

374

375 18. BENATTI BP. Compartimentalização de biomassa e nutrientes em estruturas de plantas
376 de eucalipto cultivadas em solos distintos. 114 f. Dissertation (Master Degree) – Federal
377 University of Lavras. 2013; Portuguese.

379 19. UTIMA, A. Y. Crescimento e rendimento dos componentes agrícola e arbóreo de um
380 sistema integrado de produção agropecuária no ano de implantação em área de proteção
381 ambiental. 78 f. Dissertation (Master Degree in Agronomy), Federal University of Paraná,
382 2015. Portuguese.

383

20. CARON BO, ELOY E, SOUZA VQ, SCHMIAT D, BALBINOT R, BEHILNG A,
MONTEIRO GC. Quantificação da Biomassa florestal em plantios de curta rotação com
diferentes espaçamentos. Comunicata Scientiae. 2015; 6 (1): 106-112. Portuguese.

387

21. CALIL FN, VIERA M, SCHUMACHER MV, LOPES VG, WITSCHORECK R. Biomassa e
nutrientes em Sistema agrossilvicultural no extremo sul do Brasil. Ecologia e Nutrição
Florestal. 2013; 1 (2): 80-88. Portuguese.

391

392 22. GONÇALVES JLM, STAPE JL, BENEDETTI V, FESSEL VAG, GAVA JL. Reflexos do
393 cultivo mínimo e intensivo do solo em sua fertilidade e na nutrição das árvores. In:
394 GONÇALVES, J. L. M.; BENEDETTI, V. (eds.) Nutrição e fertilização florestal. Piracicaba:
395 IPEF, 2005. 1-57. Portuguese.

396

397 23. MUÑOZ F, RUBILAR R, ESPINOSA M, CANCINO J, HERRERA M. The effect of
398 pruning and thinning on above ground aerial biomass off *Eucalyptus nitens* (Deane &
399 Maiden) Maiden. Forest Ecology and Management. 2008; 255 (3): 365-373.

400

401 24. LEMES JUNIOR JM, SILVA NETO CM, SOUZA KR, GUIMARÃES LE, OLIVEIRA FD,
402 GONÇALVES RA, MONTEIRO MM, LIMA NL, VENTUROLI F, CALIL FN. Volumetric
403 models for Eucalyptus grandis x urophylla in a crop-livestock-forest integration (CLFI)
404 system in the Brazilian cerrado. African Journal of Agricultural Research. 2016; 11 (15):
405 1336-1349.

407 25. MIGUEL, E. P. Avaliação biométrica e prognose da produção de *Eucalyptus urophylla*408 (s.t. blake) na região norte do estado de Goiás. 166 f. Dissertation (Mester Degree in Forest
409 Engeenering), Federal University of Paraná. 2009. Portuguese.

411 26. MÜLLER MD, FERNANDES EM, CASTRO CRT, PACIULLO DSC, ALVES FF.

412 Estimativa de acúmulo de biomassa e carbono em sistema agrossilvipastoril na zona da

413 mata mineira. Pesquisa Florestal Brasileira, 2009; 60: 11-17. Colombian.