Original Research Article

PHENOTYPICAL VARIABILITY OF FUNCTIONAL GROUPS OF PLANTS IN AN URBAN RAINFOREST

ABSTRACT

1

2

3

4

5

6

12

The functional characteristics of plants can be used to understand the changes of vegetation under different environmental pressures, since during the process of succession, the species deal with variations of luminosity, an important resource for the regeneration and growth of plants in humid tropical forests. From the perspective that along the succession there is variation of light availability and that leaf characteristics such as specific leaf area. chlorophyll content and leaf dry matter content are more plastic in groups linked to the rapid acquisition of the resource at the beginning of the succession, it was tested the hypothesis that at the beginning of the succession, where there is greater availability of light, leaf characteristics would be more plastic for the acquisitive group. It was initially found that the geographic distances did not influence the values of the variability indices of the groups, which allows to infer that the distance between the areas does not interfere in the variability of the leaf characteristics. To answer the hypothesis that at the beginning of the succession, in which there is greater light availability, the leaf characteristics would be more plastic for the purchasing group than for the conservative ones, a simple linear regression analysis (ARLS) was performed in the indices of variability for the groups (acquisitive and conservative) and abiotic factor (light) in each area of occurrence. However, the hypothesis that at the beginning of the succession, where there is greater light availability, the characteristics of the leaf would be more plastic for the species was rejected for the species acquisitive, since all indices were reduced for the purchasing group. It is important to take into account that the variation of leaf characteristics as a function of the light availability in an urban tropical fragment is different from what occurs in the classic succession commonly reported, pointing out that possible disturbances caused by the surroundings are the main agents of the functional structure of the community.

13 14

15

Keywords: Leaf characteristics, Light, Atlantic Rainforest, Phenotypic plasticity.

16 **1. INTRODUCTION**

17

18 The evaluation of the functional characteristics of plants groups can be used to understand 19 the changes of vegetation under different environmental pressures [1]. In forest 20 environments, throughout the process of succession, the species deal with variations in the 21 luminosity levels, an important resource for the regeneration and growth of plants in 22 rainforests [2,3].

Plants respond to environmental variations through acclimatization (phenotypic plasticity) or adaptations (evolutionary response) [4]. Phenotypic plasticity is the ability to adjust the value of a given characteristic from a single genotype, according to changes in the environment within the individual lifetime, while the adaptations result from selective pressure variations along the gradient, able to produce hereditary differences among species, through evolution process [5,6,7].

29 The study of functional characteristics of plants has increased in recent years [8], the reason 30 for this growth is due to the fact that these characteristics have effects on growth, 31 reproduction and plant survival [9]. In this respect, different authors have discussed in detail 32 the relations between physiological and ecological aspects of those characteristics [10,11]. 33 The most abundant species in environments with greater light availability are characterized 34 by rapid growth, low wood density, leaves with a short life cycle, high values of specific leaf 35 area, chlorophyll content and low dry matter content. The conservative ones have greater 36 abundance in areas with less light availability and are characterized by higher heights, stems 37 with denser wood, leaves with longer life, high investment in dry matter, low chlorophyll 38 content and specific leaf area [12,13].

Although the most studies focus on interspecific variation [14,15], it is understood that knowing the intraspecific variation can help to better understand the formation of communities [16,17,18,19]. The knowing role of variation within the groups of acquisitive and conservative tree species can help to understand the processes that lead to the formation and the functioning of the communities [20,21,8].

Ideally, studying intraspecific variation throughout the succession would be the ideal condition, but hardly is found species present in all successional stages, so is chosen to study the variations of the characteristic values in groups of species with quite different functional strategies, the acquisitive and conservative. These strategies are widely recognized and confirmed by the literature, especially with regard to the change of abundance of their populations throughout the succession [13,22,23,24,25].

50 Assuming that there is variation in light availability throughout the succession, leaf 51 characteristics such as specific leaf area, chlorophyll content and leaf dry matter content are 52 more plastic in groups linked to the rapid acquisition of the resource at the beginning of the 53 succession [26,27]. In this study, was studied four areas of tropical rainforest located in a 54 basal area gradient as a successional gradient evaluated in [28]. We hypothesized that at 55 the beginning of the succession, where there is greater availability of light, leaf 56 characteristics would be more plastic for the acquisitive group. If this is true, greater plasticity 57 is expected in leaf dry matter content, specific leaf area and chlorophyll content in the 58 species of the acquisitive group in environments with greater light availability.

59

60 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

61

62 **2.1 Study area**

63 The research was carried out in the Dois Irmãos State Park (PEDI), located northwest of the 64 municipality of Recife-PE, between coordinates 7° 57' 21"and 8° 00' 54" S; 34° 55' 53" and 65 34° 58' 38" W. In the area predominates Ombrophilous Dense Lowland vegetation [29], with 66 geological formation Barriers and soils of the podzolic type, with subordinate latosols, usually sandy-clavey, ranging from deep to very deep, and the soil acidity varies from medium to 67 high [30] The local climate is As' type (tropical humid or tropical coastal), with average 68 69 monthly temperatures above 23 °C, average annual rainfall of 2460 mm and rainy season in 70 the autumn-winter period [31].

71

72 **2.2** Assembly of plots, inclusion criterion and floristic list

In the PEDI area, a module of the Biodiversity Research Program (PPBio), Mata Atlântica Network, is installed using the RAPELD method: consisting of a combination of Rapid Inventory (RAP) and ecological long-term research (PELD) [32]. The method consists in the opening of two straight trails of 5000 m of extension, parallel with distance of 1000 m to each other, along which sampling plots are installed according to standard protocol [32].

From the two trails installed by the PPBio researchers, was selected one, in which was analyzed four plots (250 × 40 m) each, distancing 1000 m from each other, totaling four areas. Was assume that these four areas represent different successional stages depending on the variation of the basal area [28]. Thus, was hypothesized that there is variation in light availability throughout the sequence.

For each plot, a 250 m corridor was installed, following the ground level curve, according to the protocol defined by [33]. Within each hectare 20 plots of 10×20 m without overlap were selected, where botanical samples were collected from all plants with stem diameter at breast height (DBH) \ge 5 cm. Only the functional characteristics of the species present with five or more individuals in the four areas were collected.

All botanical material was identified, following the classification system [34] and deposited in
 the Vasconcelos Sobrinho Herbarium (HVS) at Rural Federal University of Pernambuco
 (UFRPE).

91 **2.3 Light data collection**

92 The total radiation (luminosity) was obtained in each of the 80 plots of 10 × 20 m drawn (20 93 per area). Initially hemispheric photos were taken in the center of each plot with a Nikon D50 94 camera with a hemispherical lens (Nikon DX 18-105 mm adapted fisheye 67-58 mm) on a 95 tripod adjustable to one meter above the ground, horizontally leveled, positioned with the upper part aligned with magnetic north. The photographs were taken between August and 96 December 2015, between 8:30 and 11:00 hours [35]. The image processing was done with 97 98 the GLA software (Gap Light Analyzer) version 2.0 [36], in order to obtain the total radiation 99 that crosses the canopy (luminosity).

100

101 **2.4 Identification of functional groups**

102 Considering that there is greater leaf plasticity in groups of species linked to the fast use of 103 resource in environments with greater light availability, was chosen to test species with 104 acquisitive and conservative strategies in the four areas, since these strategies are more 105 easily identified. For this, was studied 10 functional characteristics (leaf, stem and root) of 106 the 41 species evaluated in [28] as follows: 1) was performed a hierarchical clustering 107 analysis based on the abundance of the 10 functional characteristics, based on the Gower 108 dissimilarity matrix [37]. There was no phylogenetic signal for functional characteristics 109 throughout the succession according to [28]. A nonparametric multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA) was then performed to verify the optimal number of groups. The 110 choice of the best number of groups was one in which the increase in the amount of 111 variance was higher than 15% [38]. It is important to note that average values of all 10 112 characteristics (leaf, stem and root) were used in all four areas to identify the formation of 113 114 both groups (acquisitive and conservative).

Plants with high chlorophyll content, higher specific leaf area, leaf area, low dry matter content [39], less dense stem and root woods, higher amount of saturated water and lower contents of dry matter [40,41,42], are related to the acquisition group resource and dominate in areas at the beginning of the succession, while plants that present low content of chlorophyll, specific leaf area, leaf area, higher dry matter content, denser stem and root woods, less saturated stem and root water and higher dry matter contents of stem and root [12] predominate in environments related to conservative use. The hierarchical cluster analysis and PERMANOVA were performed with the "ggplot2", "ggdendro", "vegan" and "cluster" packages in R [43]. As results, 13 species were identified and nine were conservative.

- Fig 1. Hierarchical cluster analysis performed by the Ward method for the 41 species revealed that the optimal number of groups of strategies was three (k = 3), with $R^2 =$
- 127 0.54, studied in the four areas of an urban forest fragment.



128

130 It is known that studying phenotypic plasticity throughout the succession would be an ideal 131 condition, but hardly is found species in all successional stages, so was chosen to study the 132 variations of the characteristic values in groups of species with very different functional 133 strategies (acquisitive and conservative). For this was used the standard deviation because

¹²⁹ Source: Leite MJH (2017)

it is considered as a measure of dispersion around the population mean of a random 134 variable and for indicating the degree of variation of a set of elements. Based on the 135 characteristic values (TMSF, AFE and Cc_mass) was calculated the standard deviation of 136 each group of species present in each area. Was considered only the species that presented 137 138 standard deviation of 0.1. While species that exhibited values below or above 0.1 was not 139 used to avoid outliers in the results. The literature reports that the standard deviation is 140 considered an important characteristic of the normal distribution, since species with a deviation of 0.1 their characteristics tend to be closer to the mean (Table 1). 141

Table 1. Standard deviation of the functional characteristics of the acquisitive and conservative species in the four areas of a fragment of urban 1 forest. 2

ACQUISITIVE SPECIES						CONSERVATIVE SPECIES			
	STANDARD DEVIATION					STANDARD DEVIATION			
Areas	Species	AFE	TMSF	Cc_mass	Areas	Species	AFE	TMSF	Cc_mass
A1 _{>AB}	Inga thibaudiana DC.	0.1359	0.0606	0.1088	A1 _{>AB}	Bowdichia virgilioides Kunth	0.1282	0.0660	0.0573
	Ocotea glomerata (Nees) Mez	0.0780	0.0416	0.0474		Eschweilera ovata (Cambess.) Mart. ex Miers	0.1751	0.1024	0.1770
	Parkia pendula (Willd.) Benth	0.1867	0.1451	0.1887		Pogonophora schomburgkiana Miers ex Benth.	0,1870	0.1477	0.1885
	Schefflera morototoni (Aubl.) Maguire,	0.1224	0.0679	0.1202		Pouteria banggi (Rusby) T.D. Penn.	0.1476		0.1549
	Steyerm. & Frodin							0.1568	
	Sclerolobium densiflorum (Benth.)	0.1706	0.1746	0.1032		Talisia macrophylla (Mart.) Radlk.	0.3925	0.8205	0.2416
	Thyrsodium spruceanum Benth.	0.0475	0.6173	0.7788					
A2 _{ABI}	Anacardium occidentalle L.	0.1596	0.1970	0.1469	A2 _{ABI}	Bowdichia virgilioides Kunth	0.8704	0.4118	0.0210
	Cecropia pachystachya Trécul	0.1278	0.1887	0.1293		Byrsonima sericea DC.	0.1470	0.1853	0.1459
	<i>Cordia superba</i> Cham.	0.1807	0.1819	0.1324		Eschweilera ovata (Cambess.) Mart. ex Miers	0.1232	0.1245	0.1357
	Himatanthus phagedaenicus (Mart.)	0.1460	0.1200	0.1294		Lecythis Pisonis Cambess.	0.3129	0.0791	0.0998
	Woodson								
	Plathymenia reticulata Benth.	0.1244	0.1023	0.1802		Pera ferruginea (Schott) Müll. Arg	0.1360	0.1054	0.1126
						Pogonophora schomburgkiana Miers ex Benth.	0.1155	0.1047	0.1797
	Schefflera morototoni (Aubl.) Maguire,	0.1063	0.1804	0.1304					
	Steyerm. & Frodin				A3 _{ABI}	Bowdichia virgilioides Kunth	0.7810	0.0705	0.0219
	Tapirira guianensis Aubl.	0.1038	0.1390	0.1397		Byrsonima sericea DC.	0.1245	0.1031	0.1264
	Thyrsodium spruceanum Benth.	0.1170	0.0181	0.0397		Chamaecrista ensiformes (Vell.) H.S.Irwin &		0.1026	0.1302
						Barneby	0.1262		
A3 _{abi}	Apeiba albiflora Ducke	0.1380	0.0660	0.1214		Eschweilera ovata (Cambess.) Mart. ex Miers	0.1349	0.1208	0.1582
	Cecropia pachystachya Trécul	0.1409	0.1377	0.1296		Lecythis Pisonis Cambess.	0.8827	0.5922	0.3496
	Ocotea glomerata (Nees) Mez	0.0519	0.0344	0.0422		Pera ferruginea (Schott) Müll. Arg	0.1406	0.1306	0.1876
	Plathymenia reticulata Benth.	0.3646	0.1417	0.1494		Pogonophora schomburgkiana Miers ex Benth.	0.1761	0.1348	0.1312
					A4 _{<ab< sub=""></ab<>}	Chamaecrista ensiformes (Vell.) H.S.Irwin &		0,1977	0.1713
						Barneby	0.1551		
						Pera ferruginea (Schott) Müll. Arg	0.1368	0.0503	0.1296
						Pogonophora schomburgkiana Miers ex Benth.	0.1285	0.1345	0.1502

 DP_AFE – Standard deviation of the leaf area, DP_TMSF - Standard deviation of leaf dry matter, DP_Cc_mass - Standard deviation of chlorophyll content, $A1_{AB}$ (area with greater basal area), $A2_{ABI}$ (basal intermediate area), $A3_{AB}$ basal area) and $A4_{AB}$ (area with the lowest basal area). 3

4

P

5 **2.5 Functional characteristics**

6 From the 10 characteristics studied in [28], only three foliar characteristics were studied because they are considered very plastic: specific leaf area, chlorophyll content and leaf dry 7 8 matter content [26,27,44] in the 22 species selected in the two groups, nine conservative 9 and thirteen acquisitive. The data collection occurred in five individuals per species. From 10 each individual, 10 mature leaves were collected at the intermediate height of the crown (exposed to the sun), without evident symptoms of pathogen or herbivore attack [39]. For the 11 12 determination of the leaf area (FA), the "Image-Tool" program was used [45]. The specific 13 leaf area (AFE) was the ratio between leaf area and dry weight (Table 2).

The chlorophyll content in the leaves was measured with the aid of a SPAD chlorophyll meter (Minolta SPAD 502 D Sprectrum Technologies Inc., Plainfield, II, USA). The content of chlorophyll by mass was determined by the following formula: (Cmassa; Chlorophyll content* (AFE / 10000 [46])). After rehydration, the leaves were weighed in an analytical scale to obtain the saturated weight of water. They were then scanned for leaf area measurement using the computer program "Image-Tool" [45].

20 21

Table 2. List of functional characteristics analyzed in an urban Rainforest fragment, adapted from [47].

Functional Feature	Description	Functional Relationship
AFE	Specific leaf area (AF / PS) Dry matter content of leaf (PUF-PSF)	Photosynthetic rate, leaf longevity, relative growth rate
TMSF	Chlorophyll Concentration	Resistance to physical hazards (herbivory)
Cc_mass	(Cmassa, chlorophyll content * (AFE / 10000)	Photosynthetic process, acting in the conversion of light energy into chemical energy

AFE - specific leaf area (cm².mg⁻¹); CC_mass - concentration of chlorophyll (micromol.g⁻¹); TMSF - leaf dry matter content (mg.g⁻¹).

26

27 2.6 Phenotypic Plasticity

Was calculated the phenotypic plasticity index proposed by [26] for three leaf characteristics (AFE, Cc_mass and TMSF) of the 13 species of the group of the acquisitive and nine conservative species, in each of the four areas. This index can vary from zero to one, with IP 1 inferring high plasticity. In order to calculate the IP, the following formula was used: IP = maximum average value - minimum average value / maximum average value of each characteristic for each group of acquisitive and conservative species in each area.

34 2.7 Data Analysis

In order to verify if the phenotypic plasticity indices of the two groups of species were
 influenced by the geographic distances, was used the Mantel Partial test in each of the 80
 plots drawn (20 per area).

The Mantel Partial test and simple regression analysis were performed using the nortest, vegan and APE packages in the R environment version 3.0.2 [43]. To test the hypothesis that at the beginning of the succession, where there is greater light availability, leaf characteristics would be more plastic for the acquisitive group, a simple linear regression analysis (ARLS) was performed, on the plasticity indices of the groups (acquisitive and conservative, response variables) and abiotic factor (light) in each area.

45 3. RESULTS

46

According to the Partial Mantel test, the geographic distances did not influence the values of the plasticity indices of the groups (r = -0.2977; p = .001). This result allows to infer that the distance between the areas does not interfere in the plasticity of the foliar characteristics.

50 To test the hypothesis that at the beginning of the succession, where there is greater light 51 availability, leaf characteristics would be more plastic for the acquisitive group, was 52 performed a simple linear regression analysis between the light percentages and the 53 plasticity indices of the two groups of species with and conservative strategies (Fig. 2).



56

Fig 2. Simple regression analysis between light percentages and phenotypic plasticity
indices of the group with acquisition strategy in the four areas of a fragment of urban
Rainforest. A, B and C (purchasing group). IP_AFE (specific leaf area index),
IP_Cc_mass (plasticity index of chlorophyll content), IP_TMSF (leaf dry matter content
plasticity index).

62 $IP_AFE - IP$ of specific leaf area (acquisition group, A), $IP_Cc_mass - IP$ of chlorophyll content by63mass (acquisitive group, B), $IP_TMSF - IP$ of leaf dry matter content (acquisitive group, C), $IP_$ 64 $Cc_mass - IP$ of chlorophyll content (conservative group, D). (Area with the lowest basal area), A2ABI65(intermediate basal area), A3 < AB (intermediate basal area) and A4 < AB (area with the lowest basal</td>66area). F values were obtained with ANOVA (* = P < .05; ** = P < .01; *** = P < .001).</td>

67

68 The results of this analysis revealed that within the acquisitive species, as the light 69 percentages increased throughout the succession, all leaf characteristics (IP_AFE, 70 IP_Cc_mass and IP_TMSF) presented lower plasticity (Fig. 2). In relation to the conservative 71 group, was observed no relation with the abiotic light factor in the succession.

Was observed that the acquisitive group presented lower values of IP_AFE (0.03), IP_Cc_mass (0.05) and IP_TMSF (0.06) in the initial phase of the succession (A4 <AB), environment with higher incidence of light (46.97%). In the environment with less light (6.09%, A1 <AB) this group was more plastic, with higher value of IP_AFE (0.52), IP_Cc_mass (0.58) and IP_TMSF (0.31). Thus, was rejected the hypothesis that at the beginning of the succession, where there is greater availability of light, leaf characteristics would be more plastic for the acquisitive group (Fig. 2).

79

80 4. DISCUSSION

81

The hypothesis that at the beginning of the succession, where there is greater light 82 83 availability, leaf characteristics would be more plastic for the acquisitive species was rejected. Since, as the light availability within the acquisitive group increased, the plasticity 84 85 indices of the characteristics such as TMSF, AFE and Cc mass decreased. It is important to 86 emphasize that although these characteristics are highly plastic in more open environments, their plasticity may have been reduced due to the constant perturbations in the area, 87 88 especially in the environment with a higher incidence of light (46.97%, A4 <AB). Lower AFE 89 and Cc mass values were found in the more open area (A4 <AB), which expected higher 90 values. These results point to the hypothesis that because these characteristics are highly 91 plastic, especially in more open environments, the anthropic actions occurred in this area. 92 caused that these characteristics did not suffer increase of their values. Is worth to mention 93 that the species occurring in these environments present a short life cycle, colonize faster, 94 invest more in height and present high mortality, leading species of these environments to 95 be more susceptible to changes.

While the areas with lower incidences of light (A1> AB, 6.09% and A2ABI, A3ABI 12.94%),
the values of those characteristics increased as they decreased light availability, contrary to
expectations. It is possible to hypothesize that this increase in plasticity in these areas has
occurred because species that grow in shaded environments experience several ontogenetic
changes in relation to low irradiance during the life cycle and therefore may demonstrate
greater plasticity in such characteristics.

According to [48,26], the plasticity of physiological characteristics are more plastic in open environments, because they present rapid responses in the short term in relation to the availability of the resource. However, there is evidence to suggest that the adjustments are not necessarily related to the successional status of species [49,50].

For [51,52] phenotypic plasticity is more observed in seedlings, especially in the pioneer ones, because they are more prone to acclimatization. On the other hand, [53] observed that the leaf plasticity of pioneer species may be lower in shaded environments, because they cannot survive long in this environment. 110 It is important to mention that, although the foliar characteristics are highly plastic in more 111 open environments, the plasticity can be reduced by the perturbations occurring in the area 112 where is found [54,55]. What could be proven with the results found in the present research 113 (lower IP AFE, IP Ccmass and IP TMSF) in the environment with greater incidence of light. 114 The perturbations occurred in the area may have contributed to this reduction of plasticity (Leite et al 2019), is important to note that species occurring in these environments present 115 116 a short life cycle, being more susceptible to changes in their values. For [56,57] both 117 conservative and acquisitive species can be plastic in characteristics important for its 118 functions. These authors also observe that groups of species adapted to high irradiation may 119 have greater plasticity in leaf characteristics related to photosynthesis, such as nitrogen 120 content and that shade tolerant species may present greater plasticity in specific leaf area 121 and chlorophyll content.

122 4. CONCLUSIONS

123

Different from what is expected, at the beginning of the succession, where there is greater availability of light, the leaf characteristics would be less plastic for the acquisitive group, this disturbances could change the classical path of succession in function of population dynamics, especially in the area with greater light availability, which probably led to higher plant mortality of the acquisition group, as a result, the variability of A4_{<AB} leaf characteristics decreased.

130

This research showed that the variation of leaf characteristics, as a function of the light availability, in an urban Rainforest fragment is different from what occurs in the classic succession commonly reported, pointing out that possible disturbances caused by the surroundings are the main agents of the functional structure of the community.

135136 COMPETING INTERESTS

137

138 Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

140 **REFERENCES**

141

139

 Lavorel S, Garnier E. Predicting changes in community composition and ecosystem functioning from plant traits: revisiting the Holy Grail. Functional Ecology 2002:16(1): 545– 556. Doi: doi/full/10.1046/j.1365-2435.2002.00664.x.

145 2. Oldeman RAA. Forest Elements of Silvology. Berlin: Springer-Verlag; 1990.

146 3. Chevin LM, Hoffmann AA. Evolution of phenotypic plasticity in extreme environments.
147 Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci. 2017;372(1723). Doi: 148 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2016.0138</u>.

4. Schlichting CD, Wund MA. Phenotypic plasticity and epigenetic marking: an assessment
of evidence for genetic accommodation. Evolution. 2014;68(3): 656-672. Doi:
doi/full/10.1111/evo.12348.

152 5. Lusk CH, Falster DS, Jara-Vergara CK, Jimenez-Castillo M, Saldaña-Mendoza A.
153 Blackwell Publishing Ltd Ontogenetic variation in light requirements of juvenile rainforest 154 evergreens. Functional Ecology. 2008;22;(1): 454–459. Doi: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2435.2008.01384.x.

156 6. Nicotra AB, Atkin, O. K., Bonser, S. P., Davidson, A. M., Finnegan, E. J., Mathesius U,
157 Van Kleunen M. Plant phenotypic plasticity in a changing climate. Trends in plant science.
158 2010;15(12): 684–692. Doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2010.09.008.

7. Ramírez-Valiente JA. Understanding the importance of intrapopulation functional variability and phenotypic plasticity in Quercus suber. Tree Genetics & Genomes.
2015;11(3): 1-11. Doi: 10.1007/s11295-015-0856-z.

8. Petruzzellis F, Palandrani C, Savi T, Alberti R, Nardini A, Bacaro G. Sampling intraspecific
 variability in leaf functional traits: Practical suggestions to maximize collected information.
 Ecology and Evolution. 2017;7(24): 1–10. Doi: 10.1002/ece3.3617.

9. Violle C, Navas ML, Vile D, Kazakou E, Fortunel C, Hummel I, Garnier E. Let the concept of trait be functional! Oikos. 2007;116(5): 882–892. Doi: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0030-1299.2007.15559.x.

168 10. Díaz S, Cabido M. Vive la différence: plant functional diversity matters to ecosystem 169 processes. Trends in Ecology and Evolution. 2001;16(3): 646-655. Doi: 170 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-5347(01)02283-2.

171 11. Lavorel S, Grigulis K, McIntyre S, Williams NS, Garden D, Dorrough J, Bonis A.
172 Assessing functional diversity in the field-methodology matters! Functional Ecology.
173 2007;22(1): 134–147. Doi: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2435.2007.01339.x.

174 12. Cornelissen JHC, Quested HM, Van Logtestijn RSP, Pérez-Harguindeguy N, Gwynn175 Jones D, Díaz S, Aerts R. Foliar pH as a new plant trait: can it explain variation in foliar
176 chemistry and carbon cycling processes among subarctic plant species and types?
177 Oecologia. 2006;147(2): 315–326. Doi: DOI 10.1007/s00442-005-0269-z.

178 13. Donovan LA, Maherali H, Caruso CM, Huber H, Kroon H. The evolution of the worldwide
leaf economics spectrum. Trends in Ecology and Evolution. 2011;26(2): 88-95. Doi:
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2010.11.011.

181

14. Diaz S, Hodgson JG, Thompson K, Cabido M, Cornelissen JH, Jalili A, Band SR. The
plant traits that drive ecosystems: Evidence from three continents. Journal of Vegetation
Science. 2004;15(3): 295–304. Doi: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1654-1103.2004.tb02266.x.

185 15. Wright M, Filatotchev I, Hoskisson RE, Peng MW. 'Strategy research in emerging
economies: challenging the conventional wisdom'. Journal of Management Studies. 2005;
42(1): 1–33. Doi: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-6486.2005.00487.x.

188 16. Albert CH, Thuiller, W, Yoccoz, NG, Soudant A, Boucher F, Saccone P, Lavorel S.
189 Intraspecific functional variability: extent, structure and sources of variation. Journal Ecology.
2010;98(3): 604-613. Doi: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2745.2010.01651.x.

17. Albert CH, Grassein F, Schurr FM, Vieilledent G, Violle C. When and how should intraspecific variability be considered in trait-based plant ecology? Perspect. Plant Ecol. Evol. Syst. 2011;13(3): 217-225. Doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ppees.2011.04.003.

18. Albert CH, Thuiller W, Yoccoz NG, Douzet R, Aubert S, Lavorel S. Intraspecific trait
variability matters. Journal of Vegetation Science.2015;26(6): 7-8. Doi:
https://doi.org/10.1007/s40823-018-0031-y.

197 19. Siefert A, Violle C, Chalmandrier L, Albert CH, Taudiere A, Fajardo A, Dantas V. A global
198 meta-analysis of the relative extent of intraspecific trait variation in plant communities.
199 Ecology Letters. 2015;18(1): 1406–1419. Doi: https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.12508.

20. Apgaua, DMG, Tng DY, Cernusak LA, Cheesman AW, Santos RM, Edwards WJ,
201 Laurance SG. Plant functional groups within a tropical forest exhibit different wood functional
202 anatomy. Functional Ecology. 2017;31(3): 582–591. Doi: 10.1111/1365-2435.12787.

203 21. Martin AR, Rapidel B, Roupsard O, Van Den Meersche K, Melo Virginio Filho E, Barrios
 204 M, Isaac ME. Intraspecific trait variation across multiple scales: The leaf economics

205 spectrum in coffee. Functional Ecology. 2017;31(1): 604–612. Doi: 10.1111/1365-206 2435.12790.

207 22. Lohbeck M, Poorter L, Lebrija-Trejos E, Martínez-Ramos M, Meave JA, Paz H, Bongers
208 F. Successional changes in functional composition contrast for dry and wet tropical forest.
209 Ecology. 2013; 94(6): 1211–1216. Doi: https://doi.org/10.1890/12-1850.1.

23. Lohbeck M, Lebrija-Trejos E, Martínez-Ramos M, Meave JA, Poorter L, Bongers F.
Functional Trait strategies of trees in dry and wet tropical forests are similar but differ in their
consequences for succession. Plos one. 2015;10(4): 1-15. Doi:
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0123741.

214 24. Silva MAM, Pinto ADVF, Nascimento LM, Lins-e-Silva, ACB, Lima ALA, Rodal MJN.
215 Does the plant economics spectrum change with secondary succession in the forest? Trees.
2015;29(1): 1521-1531. Doi: 10.1007/s00468-015-1232-1.

217 25. Silva MAM, Pinto ADVF, Nascimento LM, Lins-e-Silva ACB, Lima ALA, Sampaio
218 EVDSB, Rodal MJN. Traits and functional strategies as predictors of demographic variations
219 over a chronosequence. Brazilian Journal of Botany. 2017;40(3), 761-770. Doi:
220 10.1007/s40415-017-0389-9.

221 26. Valladares F, Wright SJ, Lasso E, Kitajima K, Pearcy RW. Plastic phenotypic response
222 to light of 16 congeneric shrubs from a panamanian rainforest. Ecology. 2000;81(7): 1925–
223 1936. Doi: https://doi.org/10.1890/0012-9658(2000)081[1925:PPRTLO]2.0.CO;2.

27. Rozendaal DMA, Hurtado VH, Poorter L. Plasticity in leaf traits of 38 tropical tree species
in response to light; relationships with light demand and adult stature. Functional Ecology.
2006;20(1): 207–216. Doi: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2435.2006.01105.x.

227 28. Leite MJH, Silva VF, Silva AMM, Lins e Silva ACB, Silva GH, Aguiar MMB, Lima ALA,
228 Rodal MJN. Ecological Variability Prediction Based on Functional Characteristics of an
229 Urban Rainforest. 2019;33(5): 1-12. Doi: 10.9734/JEAI/2019/v33i530155.

230 29. Ibge, Instituto Brasileiro de Geografia e Estatística. Série: Manuais Técnicos em
231 Geociências: Manual Técnico da Vegetação Brasileira, n.1, 2 ed. Lato Sensu Televirtuais
232 Rio de Janeiro; 2012.

30. Pernambuco. Decreto nº 40.547, de 28 de março de 2014. Amplia os limites da unidade
de conservação Parque Estadual de Dois Irmãos. Diário Oficial do Estado de Pernambuco,
2014.

31. Coutinho RQ, Lima Filho MF, Souza-Neto JB, Silva ED. Características climáticas,
geológicas, geomorfológicas e geotécnicas da Reserva Ecológica de Dois Irmãos. In:
Machado IC, Lopes AV, Porto KC. Reserva Ecológica de Dois Irmãos: estudos em um
remanescente de Mata Atlântica em área urbana (Recife Pernambuco-Brasil). p. 21–50;
1998.

241 32. Magnusson WE, Lima AP, Luizão R, Luizão F, Costa FR, Castilho CVD, Kinupp VF. 242 RAPELD: a modification of the Gentry method for biodiversity surveys in long-term 243 ecological research sites. Biota Neotropica. 2005: 1–6. 2(1): Doi: 244 http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S1676-06032005000300002.

33. Freitas MA, Costa F, Morais A. 2011. Manual de instalação parcelas RAPELD: Protocolo
de instalação de parcelas terrestres. INPA. Disponível em: http://ppbio.inpa.gov.br/manuais.
Acesso em: 05 de setembro de 2017.

34. APG IV. The Angiosperm Phylogeny Group. An update of the Angiosperm Phylogeny
Group classification for the orders and families of flowering plants: APG IV. Botanical Journal
of the Linnean Society.2016;181(1): 1–20. Doi: https://doi.org/10.1111/boj.12385.

- 251 35. Venturoli F, Franco AC, Fagg CW, Felfili JM. Regime de luz em uma floresta estacional 252 semidecídua sob manejo, em pirenópolis, goiás. Revista Árvore. 2012;36(1): 1135-1144.
- 36. Frazer GW, Canham CD, Lertzman KP. Gap Light Analyzer (GLA), Version 2.0: Imaging
 software to extract canopy structure and gap light transmission indices from true-colour
 fisheye photographs, user's manual and program documentation. Simon Fraser University,
 Burnaby, British Columbia, and the Institute of Ecosystem Studies, Millbrook, New York;
 1999.
- 37. Mccune B, Grace JB. Analysis of ecological communities. Gleneden Beach, Oregon:
 MjM software design; 2002.
- 38. Darling ES, Alvarez-Filip L, Oliver TA, McClanahan TR, Côté IM. Evaluating life-history
 strategies of reef corals from species traits. Ecology Letters. 2012;15(12): 1378-1386. Doi:
 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2012.01861.x.
- 39. Pérez-Harguindeguy N, Diaz S, Gamier E, Lavorel S, Poorter H, Jaureguiberry P,
 Urcelay C. New handbook for standardized measurement of plant functional traits worldwide.
 Australian Journal of Botany. 2013;61(1): 167–234.
- 40. Slik JWF, Aiba SI, Brearley FQ, Cannon CH, Forshed O, Kitayama K, Poulsen AD.
 Environmental correlates of tree biomass, basal area, wood specific gravity and stem density
 gradients in Borneo's tropical forests. Global Ecology and Biogeography. 2010;19(1): 50–60.
 Doi: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1466-8238.2009.00489.x.
- 41. Réjou-Méchain M, Tymen B, Blanc L, Fauset S, Feldpausch TR, Monteagudo A, Chave
 J. Using repeated small-footprint lidar acquisitions to infer spatial and temporal variations of
 a high-biomass Neotropical forest. Remote Sensing of Environment. 2015;169(1): 93–101.
 Doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2015.08.001.
- 42. Boukili VK, Chazdon RL. Environmental filtering, local site factors and landscape context
 drive changes in functional trait composition during tropical forest succession. Perspectives
 in Plant Ecology, Evolution and Systematics. 2017;24(1): 37–47. Doi:
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ppees.2016.11.003.
- 43. R core team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna: R
 Foundation for Statistical Computing. Available:[Access: 3 Feb.
 2016]
- 44. Laurans M, Martin O, Nicolini E, Vincent G. Functional traits and their plasticity predict
 tropical trees regeneration niche even among species with intermediate light requirements.
 Journal of Ecology. 2012;100(6): 1440–1452. Doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2745.2012.02007.x.
- 45. O'Neal ME, Landis DA, Isaacs R. An inexpensive, accurate method for measuring leaf
 area and defoliation through digital image analysis. Jornal of Economic Entomology.
 2002;95(1): 1190–1194. Doi: https://doi.org/10.1603/0022-0493-95.6.1190.
- 46. Poorter L. Leaf traits show different relationships with shade tolerance in moist versus
 dry tropical forests. New Phytologist. 2009; 181(1): 890–900. Doi:
 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2008.02715.x.
- 47. Malhi Y, Girardin CA, Goldsmith GR, Doughty CE, Salinas N, Metcalfe DB, Aragão LE.
 The variation of productivity and its allocation along a tropical elevation gradient: a whole
 carbon budget perspective. New Phytologist. 2016;214(1): 1019–1032. Doi:
 10.1111/nph.14189.
- 48. Niinemets Ü, Valladares F. Photosynthetic acclimation to simultaneous and interacting
 environmental stresses along natural light gradients: optimality and constraints. Plant
 Biology, 2004;6(3): 254-268. Doi: 10.1055/s-2004-817881

- 49. Turnbull JE, Gomberg ESL. The Structure of Drinking-Related Consequences in Alcoholic Women. 1991;15(1): 29-38.
- 50. Popma J, Bongers F, Werger MJ. A. Gap-dependence and leaf characteristics of trees in a tropical lowland rain forest in Mexico. Oikos. 1992;63(1): 207-214.
- Straus-Debenedetti S, Berlyn GP. Leaf anatomical responses to light in five tropical
 Moraceae of different successional status. American Journal of Botany, v. 81, p. 1582–1591,
 1994.
- 304
- 52. Veneklaas EJ, Poorter L. Growth and carbon partitioning of tropical tree seedlings in
 contrasting light environments. In: Lambers H, Poorter H, Van Vuuren MMI. (Ed.) Inherent
 variation in plant growth: physiological mechanisms and ecological consequences. Leiden:
 Backhuys; 1998.
- 309 53. Kitajima K, Mulkey, SS, Wright SJ. Variation in crown light utilization characteristics
 310 among tropical canopy trees. Annals of Botany. 2005; 95(3): 535–547. Doi:
 311 https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mci051.
- 54. Dorn LA, Pyle, EH, Schmitt J. Plasticity to light cues and resources in *Arabidopsis thaliana*: testing for adaptive value and costs. Evolution, v, 54, p. 1982–1994, 2000. Doi:
 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0014-3820.2000.tb01242.x.
- 315
- 55. Van Kleunen M, Fischer M. Constraints on the evolution of adaptive phenotypic plasticity
 in plants". New Phytologist. 2005;166(1): 49–60. Doi: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.14698137.2004.01296.x
- 319
- 56. Valladares F, Niinemets, Ü. Shade tolerance, a key plant feature of complex nature and
 consequences. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics, v. 39, p. 237–257,
 2008. Doi: https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.39.110707.173506.
- 323
- 324 57. Yamashita T, Higuchi H, Tohyama M. The p75 receptor transduces the signal from myelin 325 associated glycoprotein to Rho. J Cell Biol. 2002;157(4): 565-70. Doi: 10.1083/jcb.200202010.
- 326
- 327 328