1	Original Research Article
2	Litter in potential eucalypts genotypes implanted in Eldorado do Sul, Rio Grande
3	do Sul, Brazil
4	ABSTRACT: The objective of this study was to estimate the amount of litter on the
5	soil in genotypes of Eucalyptus at 49-month-old, located in Eldorado do Sul, Rio
6	Grande do Sul, Brazil. For each genotype, a sampling unit of 720 m <sup>2</sup> was demarcated,
7	where in each of them 15 random collections were carried out. The litter biomass
8	ranged from 4.51 to 10.77 Mg ha <sup>-1</sup> , highlighting the <i>E. dunnii</i> and and the hybrid of <i>E</i> .
9	urophylla x E. globulus with the lowest and largest production respectively. The leaves
10	corresponded, on average, between 48.56% and 73.03% of the total litter. The
11	differentiation between the genotypes occurred as to the accumulated litter production.
12	
13	Keywords: Litter biomass, Leaves, Eucalyptus stands, Sustainability.
14	
15	
16	1. INTRODUCTION
17	Tree plantations have many beneficial ecological interactions with the ecosystem,
18	such as watershed protection, increased organic matter and soil nutritional status
19	through the production of litter [2]. This dynamic, represented by the deposition of litter
20	via deposition and exit via decomposition is essential to the maintenance of forests or
21	forest stands [3], especially in highly weathered soils, where plant biomass is the main
22	nutrient reservoir [10].
23	The persistence of litter on the soil causes this material to be reused in the nutrient
24	cycle of the system, through its decomposition and the release of the constituent

minerals for a later reabsorption by the roots of the plants, also increasing the organic
matter content in the soil [4]. In this context, nutrient return via litter is the most
important route of the biogeochemical cycle [10].

In general, an increase in litter deposition is observed until the age at which the trees reach maturity, when the crowns are closed. After this phase, a slight decrease or stabilization in the deposition may be observed [9].

The accumulation of litter varies according to the origin, species, forest cover, successional stage, age, collection season, forest type and site. In addition to these factors, others such as, edaphoclimatic conditions and water regime, climatic conditions, site, understory, silvicultural management, proportion of canopy, as well as rate of decomposition and natural disturbances such as fire and insect or artificial attack such as litter removal and crops, occurring in the forest or in the stand, also influence the accumulation of litter [5].

38 The litter deposition is higher in the period of greater physiological activity of the 39 individuals, causing an intensification of foliage exchange and senescent material 40 release to give rise to a new and photosynthetically more active foliage [13].

Knowledge of the amount of litter deposited in different eucalyptus species and
provenances is of fundamental importance in order to maintain a sustainable
management of soils and mineral resources [12].

44 The present study had as objective to estimate the biomass of litter in different
45 *Eucalyptus* genotypes established in Eldorado do Sul, Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil.

46

47

#### 48 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

49 The research was developed with different genotypes of eucalypts (Table 1), in an 50 area belonging to the company Celulose Riograndense - CMPC, in the city of Eldorado do Sul, RS, Brazil (Figure 1). The area is under the geographic coordinates of 30  $^{\circ}$ 51 11'303 "south latitude and 51 ° 37'477" west longitude. 52 53 The climate is characterized as subtropical humid (Cfa), according to the climatic classification of Köppen, presenting average temperature corresponding to 19 °C. The 54 55 average annual precipitation of 1,400 mm [1]. The soil in the area is classified as Red-Yellow Argisol. Table 2 presents the 56 chemical and physical attributes of the soil a depths from 0 to 130 cm. 57

58

59

## Table 1. Characterization of the studied genotypes

Conotypos	Age	Spacing	G
Genotypes	(months)	(m)	(m² ha)
E. benthamii (Provenance 1)	49	3 x 3	24,4
E. benthamii (Provenance 2)	49	3 x 3	22,7
E. saligna	49	3 x 3	23,7
E. dunnii	49	3 x 3	16,7
E. uropylla x E. globulus (E. uroglobulus)	49	3 x 3	22,2
E. uropylla x E. grandis (E. urograndis)	49	3 x 3	26,4

*E. benthamii* (Provenance 1) is a provenance proven in Guarapuava, Paraná, Brazil; and *E. benthamii* (Provenance 2) is a source from Telêmaco Borba, Paraná, Brazil.

62

63 Figure 1. Location of the municipality of Eldorado do Sul, Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil



Table 2. Physical and chemical attributes of soil in the area implanted with different
genotypes of *Eucalyptus*, at 49-months-old, in Eldorado do Sul, Rio Grande do Sul,
Brazil

Granulometric composition					
Depth	Coarse sand	Thin sand	Silt	Clay	<b>O.</b> C
	2-0.2	0.2-0.05	0.05-0.002	<0.002	
(cm)		mm			%
0-30	24.5	16.5	29.5	29.5	0.9
30-60	40.5	8.0	6.0	45.5	0.8
60-90	33.5	6.0	5.5	55.0	0.7
90-100	15.5	6.0	15.5	63.0	0.4
100-130	15.5	6.5	13.0	65.0	0.2

Depth	$\mathbf{V}$	m	Т	pН	Ν
(cm)	%		$cmol_c dm^{-3}$	H <sub>2</sub> O	%
0-30	35	34	10	5.0	0.1
30-60	11	71	14	4.3	0.1
60-90	15	69	15	4.4	0.1
90-100	17	64	12	4.6	0.1
100-130	20	61	10	4.7	0.0
Donth	р	17	C.	14.	C
Deptil	P	K	Ca	Mg	6
(cm)	mg g <sup>-1</sup>	K 	$-\text{cmol}_{c} \text{ dm}^{-3}$	Mg	mg dm3
(cm)	mg g <sup>-1</sup> 2.0	<b>K</b>	$\frac{\text{Ca}}{\text{-cmol}_{c} \text{ dm}^{-3} - \cdots - 3}$	Mg 	mg dm3
(cm) 0-30 30-60	mg g <sup>-1</sup> 2.0 1.6	0.1 0.1	ca -cmol <sub>c</sub> dm <sup>-3</sup> 3.3 0.9	Mg 0.9 0.5	mg dm3 19.4 32.5
(cm) 0-30 30-60 60-90	P mg g <sup>-1</sup> 2.0 1.6 1.0		$     Ca      -cmol_c dm^{-3}     3.3     0.9     1.0     1.0     $	0.9 0.5 0.8	mg dm3 19.4 32.5 61.7
(cm) 0-30 30-60 60-90 90-100	P      mg g <sup>-1</sup> 2.0       1.6       1.0       0.7	K           0.1           0.1           0.1           0.1	$     Ca      -cmol_c dm^{-3}     3.3      0.9      1.0      1.0      1.0$	Mg 0.9 0.5 0.8 0.9	mg dm3 19.4 32.5 61.7 60.9

O.C: organic carbon; V = saturation by bases; m = saturation by aluminum; T = total
CTC

74

In the preparation of the area, the subsoiling was performed at a depth of 60 cm, and a liming treatment was applied consisting of 2 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup> of limestone, and 200 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> of single superphosphate. The fertilizer used during planting consisted of, 110 g plant<sup>-1</sup> of N-P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>-K<sub>2</sub>O (06:30:06) + 0.3% Zn and 0.2% Cu. For coverage fertilization 200 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> of N-P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>-K<sub>2</sub>O (12:00:20) + 0.7% of B were applied, and for the maintenance fertilization, 300 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> of N-P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>-K<sub>2</sub>O (24:00:26) + 0.5% B were applied. The litter collections were carried out in June 2016. To perform the work, in each of the genotypes studied, a plot of 720  $m^2$  was demarcated, where 15 samples were collected, randomly, totaling 90 samples.

The litter samples were collected using an iron frame (Figure 2) of 0.25 m x 0.25 m (0.0625 m<sup>2</sup>), which was placed on the surface of the land, and all the organic material present in its soil. After collection, the sampled materials were stored in plastic bags and sent to the laboratory where they were separated into three fractions: leaves, branches and miscellaneous (peels, reproductive materials and non-identifiable residues).

89 Subsequently, the fractions were placed in paper containers to dry in a circulation 90 oven and air renovation at 70 °C until weight stabilization. Finally, the samples were 91 weighed in a precision scale (0.01 g) and the dry weights were extrapolated to values 92 per hectare to obtain the mass of the litter.

93

94

95

97 Figure 2. A: Canopy of a stand of *Eucalyptus* sp.; Deposition of the litter on the soil;
98 and C: Removal of the litter on the soil for quantification.



111 Statistical analyzes were performed with the aid of the statistical program Assistat 112 7.7 ® [14] at the level of 5% probability of error. The Tukey test was used for the 113 comparison of means.

114

115

# 116 **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS**

In Table 3 it is possible to verify the distribution of litter for the different fractions
of the studied genotypes. The litter biomass was higher in hybrid *E. urophylla x E. globulus* (10.77 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and lower in *E. dunnii* (4.51 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup>), reaching intermediate

120 values in *E. benthamii* (P1) (8, 38 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup>).

121 Table 3. Litter production for the different fractions of the eucalypts genotypes at 49-

# 122 months-old

#### 123

	Leaves	Miscellaneous	Branches	Total	
Genotypes	(Mg ha <sup>-1</sup> )				
	6.12ab	0.53a	1.73bc	8.38a	
E. benthamii (P1)	*(2.15)	(0.45)	(1.74)	(3.31)	
$E_{1}$ hth	3.27cd	0.65a	1.14c	5.06b	
E. benthamii (P2)	(1.41)	(0.61)	(0.57)	(1.64)	
E selieur	4.63bc	0.43a	4.10a	9.13a	
E. saligna	(1.26)	(0.25)	(2.59)	(3.04)	
	2.19d	0.95a	1.46bc	4.51b	
E. aunnii	(1.24)	(1.17)	(1.35)	(2.81)	
	6.72a	0.32a	3.82a	10.77a	
E. uropnylla x E. globulus	(2.93)	(0.27)	(2.08)	(3.95)	
	5.88ab	0.53a	3.11ab	9.49a	
E. uropnyua x E. granais	(1.65)	(0.44)	(2.03)	(2.87)	
CV %	38.97	108.2	71.67	38.26	

124 CV: Coefficient of variation.

Mean of each variable in the different treatments followed by equal letters, do not differ
significantly by the Tukey test at the 5% level of error. \* Values in parentheses are the
standard deviation of the mean.

The litter presented a value lower than that found by [15], in a plantation of hybrid *E. urophylla* x *E. globulus* at four-years-old in Eldorado do Sul, RS, Brazil (14.0 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup>); by [12], in stands of *E. grandis*, *E. cloesiana* and *E. urophylla*, with nine-years-old in Santa Maria, RS, Brazil (16.8, 16.5 and 12.6 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup>); by [11] in a stand of *E. saligna* at four and five years of age in São Gabriel, RS, Brazil (12.76 and 12.00 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup>); and by [4] in a planting of *E. uroglobulus*, with 5.5-years-old in Eldorado do Sul, RS, Brazil (19.5 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup>).

In another stand of *E. grandis*, at seven-years-old, in Seropédica, RJ, Brazil, [3], reported an amount of 11.84 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup> litter. In the Southwest region of Brazil, in different forest sites, [7] evaluating litter production in eucalypts plantations, also at seven-years-old, observed that the amount of litter ranged from 4.2 to 37.6 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup>. A similar result was found by [6], in a stand of *E. grandis*, at the nine-years-old, in the city of Alegrete, RS, Brazil (5.41 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup>).

The production and accumulation of litter presents a great variability, which can be due to variation in the climatic conditions, the quality of the site, the age of the stand, the characteristics of the species, as well as in the inclusion of the understory litter, and the degree of forest stability [10].

In relation to total biomass, the hybrid *E. urophylla* x *E. globulus* presented a production of 58.12% and 53.02% higher than the clones *E. dunnii* and *E. benthamii* (P2). According to [6], the litter rates accumulated in forest plantation soils can vary significantly between different species in the same sites.

The leaves fraction corresponded on average, between 48.56% and 73.03% of the total litter (Figure 3). *E. dunnii* was the clone that presented the lowest percentage of leaves deposited among the species studied, and *E. benthamii* (P1) the largest. The

153 leaves usually constitute the largest proportion of the biomass of the residues that fall to 154 the soil. This proportion grows with age to a certain extent, and then decreases due to 155 the increase in the fall of branches and bark [10].

156





158

In this context, [8] studied the litter in a twelve-year-old eucalypts stand, observed that the branches presented greater accumulation on the ground, with 38.8%. According to the author, this occurred because the eucalypts stands suffer a more intense process of natural desrama, in relation to other species, which leads to greater accumulation of branches on the ground.

164 [12], studying the litter in three species of eucalypts (*E. urophylla*, *E. cloesiana* 165 and *E. grandis*), at nine-year-old, in Santa Maria, RS, Brazil, observed that the branches 166 fraction was the most representative in all species. According to the authors this may 167 mean a propensity of these species for the natural desrama. For the branches fraction, the highest yields occurred in the clones *E. saligna* and *E. uroglobulus*, with 2.48 and 2.24 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup>, and lower production occurred in *E. dunnii*, with 2.19 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup>. The miscellaneous fraction varied from 0.32 to 0.95 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup>, the lowest value found in hybrid *E. urophylla* x *E. globulus* and the highest in *E. dunnii*, not statistically different from the other clones.

The existence of a great variability in the accumulation of organic litter blanket in 173 relation to other works carried out with eucalypts species can be explained based on the 174 variation of climate, sites, age and forest density, different genetic characteristics of 175 each species and the stability achieved by the stand, depending on the time elapsed 176 since the last intervention that may have influenced the litter. These factors will affect 177 the balance between the amount of material deposited and the time required for its 178 decomposition, reflecting the greater or less accumulation of litter in the forest soil and 179 the percentage composition of the different fractions that compose it [12]. 180

181

#### 182 4. CONCLUSIONS

183 The highest production of litter occurred in hybrid *E. urophylla* x *E. globulus*, 184 providing greater protection to the soil, in contrast the lower production of litter 185 occurred in *E. dunnii*.

186 The leaf fraction presented the highest contribution in all genetic materials187 studied.

188 The differentiation between the genotypes occurred as to the accumulated litter189 production.

190

193

195

### 194 COMPETING INTERESTS DISCLAIMER:

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist. The products used for this research are commonly and predominantly use products in our area of research and country. There is absolutely no conflict of interest between the authors and producers of the products because we do not intend to use these products as an avenue for any litigation but for the advancement of knowledge. Also, the research was not funded by the producing company rather it was funded by personal efforts of the authors.

203

## 204 6. BIBLIOGRAPHIC REFERENCES

205 1. Alvares CA, Stape JL, Sentelhas PC, Gonçalves JLM, Sparovek G. Köppen's climate

classification map for Brazil. Meteorologische Zeitschrift. 2013; 22 (6): 1-18.

207

208 2. Ashagrie Y, Zech W. Litter production and nutrient cycling in two plantations and

209 Podocarpus falcatus dominated natural forest ecosystems in south-eastern highlands of

Ethiopia. African Journal of Agricultural Research. 2013; 8 (38): 4810-4818.

211

3. Balieiro FC, Franco AA, Pereira MG, Campello EFC, Dias LE, Faria SM et al. Litter

dynamics and nitrogen transfer to the soil, in plantations of Pseudosamanea guachapele

and Eucalyptus grandis. Pesquisa Agropecuária Brasileira. 2004; 39 (6): 597-601.

215

4. Brun EJ, Ferraz MO, Araújo EF. Relation between soil litter accumulation and

217 dendrometric variables in hybrid Eucalyptus urophylla x E. globulus Maidenii stands, in

Eldorado do Sul / RS. Revista Ecologia e Nutrição Florestal. 2013; 1 (1): 24-31.

220	5. Boiler MVW. Quantification of litter and nutrients - Mixed Ombrophylous Forest
221	Montana - Paraná. Academic Review. 2007; 5 (2): 101-116.
222	
223	6. Freitas R, Schumacher MV, Boiler MVW, Spathelf P. Biomass and nutrient content
224	in Eucalyptus grandis stands W. Hill ex Maiden planted in soil subject to sand blasting,
225	in the municipality of Alegrete-RS. Biomass & Energy. 2004; 1 (1): 93-104.
226	
227	7. Gama-Rodrigues EF, Barros NF, Viana AP, Santos, GA. Changes in biomass and
228	microbial activity of litter and soil, due to the replacement of native forest cover by
229	eucalyptus plantations, in different sites of the Southeastern Region of Brazil. Brazilian
230	Journal of Soil Science. 2008; 32 (4): 1489-1499.
231	
231 232	8. Kleinpaul IS, Schumacher MV, Brun EJ, Brun FRK, Kleinpaul JJ. Sample
231 232 233	8. Kleinpaul IS, Schumacher MV, Brun EJ, Brun FRK, Kleinpaul JJ. Sample sufficiency for accumulated litter collection on the soil in Pinus elliottii engelm,
231 232 233 234	<ol> <li>Kleinpaul IS, Schumacher MV, Brun EJ, Brun FRK, Kleinpaul JJ. Sample sufficiency for accumulated litter collection on the soil in Pinus elliottii engelm, Eucalyptus sp. and Seasonal Deciduous Forest. Tree Review. 2005; 29 (6): 965-972.</li> </ol>
231 232 233 234 235	8. Kleinpaul IS, Schumacher MV, Brun EJ, Brun FRK, Kleinpaul JJ. Sample sufficiency for accumulated litter collection on the soil in Pinus elliottii engelm, Eucalyptus sp. and Seasonal Deciduous Forest. Tree Review. 2005; 29 (6): 965-972.
231 232 233 234 235 236	<ul> <li>8. Kleinpaul IS, Schumacher MV, Brun EJ, Brun FRK, Kleinpaul JJ. Sample sufficiency for accumulated litter collection on the soil in Pinus elliottii engelm, Eucalyptus sp. and Seasonal Deciduous Forest. Tree Review. 2005; 29 (6): 965-972.</li> <li>9. Poggiani F, Schumacher MV. Nutrient cycling in native forests. In: Gonçalves JLM,</li> </ul>
231 232 233 234 235 236 237	<ul> <li>8. Kleinpaul IS, Schumacher MV, Brun EJ, Brun FRK, Kleinpaul JJ. Sample sufficiency for accumulated litter collection on the soil in Pinus elliottii engelm, Eucalyptus sp. and Seasonal Deciduous Forest. Tree Review. 2005; 29 (6): 965-972.</li> <li>9. Poggiani F, Schumacher MV. Nutrient cycling in native forests. In: Gonçalves JLM, Benedetti V. (Ed.). Forest nutrition and fertilization. Piracicaba; 2004.</li> </ul>
231 232 233 234 235 236 237 238	<ul> <li>8. Kleinpaul IS, Schumacher MV, Brun EJ, Brun FRK, Kleinpaul JJ. Sample sufficiency for accumulated litter collection on the soil in Pinus elliottii engelm, Eucalyptus sp. and Seasonal Deciduous Forest. Tree Review. 2005; 29 (6): 965-972.</li> <li>9. Poggiani F, Schumacher MV. Nutrient cycling in native forests. In: Gonçalves JLM, Benedetti V. (Ed.). Forest nutrition and fertilization. Piracicaba; 2004.</li> </ul>
231 232 233 234 235 236 237 238 239	<ul> <li>8. Kleinpaul IS, Schumacher MV, Brun EJ, Brun FRK, Kleinpaul JJ. Sample sufficiency for accumulated litter collection on the soil in Pinus elliottii engelm, Eucalyptus sp. and Seasonal Deciduous Forest. Tree Review. 2005; 29 (6): 965-972.</li> <li>9. Poggiani F, Schumacher MV. Nutrient cycling in native forests. In: Gonçalves JLM, Benedetti V. (Ed.). Forest nutrition and fertilization. Piracicaba; 2004.</li> <li>10. MGF Kings, Barros NF. Nutrient cycling in eucalyptus plantations. In: Barros NF,</li> </ul>
231 232 233 234 235 236 237 238 239 240	<ul> <li>8. Kleinpaul IS, Schumacher MV, Brun EJ, Brun FRK, Kleinpaul JJ. Sample sufficiency for accumulated litter collection on the soil in Pinus elliottii engelm, Eucalyptus sp. and Seasonal Deciduous Forest. Tree Review. 2005; 29 (6): 965-972.</li> <li>9. Poggiani F, Schumacher MV. Nutrient cycling in native forests. In: Gonçalves JLM, Benedetti V. (Ed.). Forest nutrition and fertilization. Piracicaba; 2004.</li> <li>10. MGF Kings, Barros NF. Nutrient cycling in eucalyptus plantations. In: Barros NF, Novais RF. (Eds.). Relationship between soil and eucalyptus. Viçosa; nineteen ninety.</li> </ul>

242	11. Santos JC, Schumacher MV, Witschoreck R, Araújo EF, Lopes VG. Nutrients in
243	litter accumulated in a settlement of Eucalyptus saligna Smith in São Gabriel, RS.
244	Revista Ecologia e Nutrição Florestal. 2014; 2 (1): 1-8.
245	
246	12. Schumacher MV, Bauermann, GC, Copetti L, Brun EJ, König F. Litter fractionation
247	in three eucalyptus species in the municipality of Santa Maria - RS: Eucalyptus
248	urophylla, Eucalyptus cloesiana and Eucalyptus grandis. In: CONESUL forest update
249	cycle. Santa Maria; 2002.
250	
251	13. Schumacher MV, Brun EJ, Rodrigues LM, Santos EM. Return of nutrients via
252	deposition of litter in a settlement of Acácia in Rio Grande do Sul. Revista Árvore.
253	2003; 27 (6): 791-798.
254	
255	14. Silva FAS, Azevedo CAV. Main components analysis in the software assistat
256	statistical attendance. In: Word congress on computers in agriculture 7, Reno-NV-USA:
257	American Society of Agricultural and Biological Engineers, 2009.
258	
259	15. Viera M, Schumacher MV, Boiler MVW. Decomposition and Nutrient Dynamics in
260	Planting of Eucalyptus urophylla $\times$ Eucalyptus globulus in Southern Brazil. Forest and
261	Environment. 2013; 20 (3); 351-360.
262	

\_\_\_\_