

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9

# Performance Evaluation of the Portable Ginger Slicing Machine

---

## ABSTRACT

The performance of a simple and affordable portable ginger slicing machine was conducted at various levels of impeller speed, impeller gang, and slicing compartment. The indices for the performance evaluation were the slicing efficiency and output capacity. The machine was powered by one horse power petrol engine and ginger moisture content of 77.44%. Data collected were subjected to statistical analysis using Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) to test the significance level of the experimental factors and their interactions; and those found significant were further subjected to Duncan Multiple Range Test (DMRT) for mean separations at ( $P=.05$ ), respectively. The results showed that the machine slicing efficiencies for the cushion and spring compartments were: 63.5 and 50% while the output capacities were: 58.32 and 6.32 kg/h, respectively.

10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19

*Keywords: Evaluation, DMRT, ginger slicing, spring and cushion compartments*

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Ginger (*Zingiber officinale roscoe*) is a root crop grown in many parts of the world (India, China, Indonesia, Nigeria, Brazil, Philippines and Thailand). [7] and [12] reported that, India is the largest producer of ginger in the World with a volume of 1,109,000 metric tonnes and Japan is the largest importer in the World. However, Nigeria is the fourth in the world but largest producer in Africa with a volume of 522,964 metric tonnes. The crop is an important source of foreign exchange for Nigeria. It can be used in pharmaceutical, bakery, culinary,

20 cosmetic preparation and soft drink in beverage industries [14]. As reported by [4], ginger  
21 has a moisture content of 80 - 85% wet basis when freshly harvested and 10 - 12% moisture  
22 content dry basis for storage. It can be consumed fresh or dried [6]. The plant is grown in  
23 different parts of Nigeria such as Kaduna, Nasarawa, Sokoto, Zamfara, Akwalbom, Oyo,  
24 Abia and Lagos States, although Kaduna is the largest producer of fresh ginger in Nigeria  
25 [10].

26 Ginger enters the international markets as fresh, preserved or dried forms. However, the  
27 most important commercial form is the dried ginger (split or whole) [1]. Report by [2], stated  
28 that demand for dry ginger locally and internationally is becoming greater. Slicing  
29 longitudinally is to enable maximum surface exposure for quick and uniform drying thereby  
30 retaining the aroma, flavour and pungency which are the qualities requirements in ginger  
31 trade [14]. Traditional method of slicing is the most practiced. It involves use of kitchen knife  
32 which has different edge directions, the moisture content and the cross sectional area has  
33 significant influence over the cutting energy. Slicing 14 - 15 kg of ginger takes about 5 man-  
34 hours which is relatively time consuming. The aim of this study is to evaluate the functional  
35 performance of the developed portable ginger rhizomes longitudinally slicing machine.

36

## 37 **2. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

38 The developed ginger slicing machine consists of the following components: frame, hoppers,  
39 slicing units, and power transmission unit as in Figure1.

### 40 *Frame*

41 The machine had trapezoid dimensions of the parallel sides as 600 mm and 960 mm,  
42 and height of 300 mm. The frame was fabricated with 30 mm × 30 mm × 3mm angle iron.  
43 Mounted on the frame are bearings, shaft, slicing units, hoppers and a prime mover.

### 44 *Hopper*

45 The hoppers are rectangular in cross section and made from 3 mm mild steel sheets. They  
46 had  $190\text{ mm} \times 150\text{ mm} \times 65\text{ mm}$  dimensions as length, breath and width with an inclination  
47 of  $42^\circ$ .

#### 48 *Slicing units*

49 The chamber is composed of two types of slicing chambers, spring and cushion  
50 compartments to accommodate the irregular thickness of ginger rhizomes. The slicing  
51 chambers had cross sections of  $300\text{mm} \times 300\text{mm}$  and widths of  $50\text{ mm}$ . The widths of  
52 chambers were to accommodate all thickness of ginger. It compresses/deflects when a  
53 bigger size is fed into the chamber.

54 The cutting blades (saw blade) are sharpened at one side and were stationary positioned at  
55 a tension through adjustable to prevent distortion during operation. It has overall dimensions  
56 of  $400\text{ mm} \times 30\text{ mm} \times 1.6\text{ mm}$ .

57 Impeller of  $145\text{ mm} \times 20\text{ mm} \times 5\text{ mm}$  cross section were fabricated and keyed to a rotating  
58 shaft. The impellers were curved and spaced equally at  $7\text{ mm}$  across the cutting blades  
59 lateral cross sections and along the shaft's longitudinal axis to avoid obstruction.

#### 60 *Power transmission unit*

61 The V- belt and pulley assembly were used to transmit the power from the prime mover to  
62 the slicing chambers at different levels of impeller speeds. The prime mover is mounted on a  
63 frame slit to facilitate adjustment of the belt tension.

64



65

66 Figure 1: The pictorial view of the portable ginger slicing machine

67

68 *Principle of operation*

69 The machine was operated by one horse power petrol engine through a V-belt. The  
70 rhizomes were washed to remove all the soil particles. Each rhizome sample was prepared  
71 by cutting off the fingers from the interconnecting tangled clumps (NwadiKom and Njoku,  
72 1988; Guwo, 2008). The ginger rhizome was fed manually into the hopper. It slides down to  
73 the slicing chamber to meet rotating impeller. The centrifugal force of rotating impeller  
74 forced the fallen ginger rhizome on a thin-sharp stationary cutter to accomplish the slicing  
75 process. The sliced ginger rhizome was discharged through the outlet directly blow by  
76 gravity and it was collected in a container. The impeller speed was varied at five levels (250,  
77 300, 350, 400 and 450 rpm) and also, the number of impeller into four (one, two, three and  
78 four gang arrangements).

79 *Instrumentation*

80 The instruments used for measuring mass, shaft speed and time were: Mettler Model  
81 (PN20001) top loading balance with capacity of 2 kg and accuracy of 0.1 gram, Lutron  
82 Digital Photo Tachometer that can measure a range of 0.5 to 100,000 rpm and accuracy of  
83 0.05 % + 1 digit and digital stop watch.

#### 84 *Experimental procedure*

85 The constructed ginger slicing machine was evaluated based on slicing efficiency and output  
86 capacity. Equal weights of 500 gram were used for the experiment for the respective slicing  
87 units (spring and cushion compartments). The collected sliced ginger were separated and  
88 weighed to determine the slicing efficiency. Times for slicing were recorded for each  
89 experiment to determine the output capacity of the machine. The experimental unit was  
90 taken at three replications.

91



92

93 Figure 2: The pictorial view of unsliced ginger



94

95 Figure 3: The pictorial view of sliced ginger

96 *Statistical analysis*

97 The experiment was conducted using Completely Randomized Design (CRD) with the  
98 experimental factors arranged in  $5 \times 4 \times 2 \times 1$  (impeller speed, number of impeller, type of  
99 compartment and crop) factorial design. Data from the performance evaluation was  
100 subjected to statistical analysis using Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) to test the significance  
101 of experimental factors and their interactions. Mean separation with observed significant  
102 differences was compared using Duncan's Multiple Range Tests (DMRT) using SAS 9.13  
103 portable package. The ANOVA was computed at probability level of ( $P=.05$ ).

#### 104 *Determination of slicing efficiency*

105 The slicing efficiency is the ratio of effective capacity to theoretical capacity expressed in  
106 percentage. The slicing efficiency was determined as given by [8]; [14], [9] and [13] in  
107 Equation (1):

$$108 \quad SE(\%) = \frac{Q_{CS}}{Q_{CO}} \times 100 \quad (1)$$

109 Where:

110 SE= slicing efficiency, %

111  $Q_{CS}$  = Total quantity of ginger completely sliced, g

112  $Q_{CO}$  = total quantity of ginger collected at outlet, g

#### 113 *Determination of output capacity*

114 The output capacity of the machine is the ratio of the mass/weight/quantity of ginger that can  
115 be sliced per time. Output capacity was determined as given by [8]; [14], [9] and [13] in  
116 Equation (2):

$$117 \quad OC(g/sec) = \frac{Q_{CO}}{t} \quad (2)$$

118 Where:

119 OC= output capacity, g/sec

120  $Q_{CO}$  = total quantity of ginger collected at outlet, g

121  $t$  = time taken to complete splitting, second

122

### 123 **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

124

125 The results of the performance evaluation of the developed portable ginger slicing machine  
126 are shown in Table 1 and 2, respectively.

127 The results of interaction of type of compartment, number of impeller and speed of impeller  
128 on slicing efficiency are shown in Table 1. The combination do not have definite pattern.  
129 The highest mean slicing efficiency recorded with cushion compartment was 63.5% at 350  
130 rpm speed of impeller and one impeller gang. However, the highest mean recorded with  
131 spring compartment was 50% at the same conditions. This is because of the friction at the  
132 surface of the cushion material which enabled it held the ginger rhizome tightly before  
133 accomplishing the slicing. The lowest mean slicing efficiency of 30.7 and 27.2% was  
134 observed with cushion and spring compartments at the same speed of impeller for four and  
135 two impeller gangs, respectively. The slicing efficiency obtained is within the range reported  
136 as mean splicing efficiency by [15], [3], [8], and [1].

137

138 The results of the variation on output capacity in type of compartment, different number of  
139 impeller gangs and speed of impellers is shown in Table 2. The combination do not have  
140 definite pattern. The highest mean output capacity was obtained as 26.3 g/sec (94.68 kg/h)  
141 at 300 rpm for one impeller gang with spring compartment and 24.9 g/sec (89.64 kg/h) at  
142 300 rpm for one impeller gang with cushion compartment, respectively. This is because,  
143 ginger rhizome slides with relatively low resistance with spring compartment than cushion

144 compartment. The machine output capacity obtained was similar to [14], [13], and [8]. The  
 145 difference in results obtained by [8] may be due to the thickness of the knife used.

146

147 **Table 1: Interaction of type of compartment, number of impeller and speed of impeller on**  
 148 **slicing efficiency**

Treatment	Mean	Slicing	Mean	Treatment	Mean	Slicing	Mean
	Efficiency (%)		Ranking		Efficiency (%)		Ranking
<b><u>Cushion compartment, c<sub>1</sub></u></b>				<b><u>Spring compartment, C<sub>2</sub></u></b>			
S <sub>3</sub> N <sub>1</sub> C <sub>1</sub>	63.5		a	S <sub>3</sub> N <sub>1</sub> C <sub>2</sub>	50.0		d-g
S <sub>1</sub> N <sub>2</sub> C <sub>1</sub>	60.6		ab	S <sub>4</sub> N <sub>2</sub> C <sub>2</sub>	49.8		efg
S <sub>1</sub> N <sub>4</sub> C <sub>1</sub>	60.4		ab	S <sub>1</sub> N <sub>1</sub> C <sub>2</sub>	49.7		efg
S <sub>1</sub> N <sub>1</sub> C <sub>1</sub>	59.2		ab	S <sub>1</sub> N <sub>4</sub> C <sub>2</sub>	46.5		f-k
S <sub>3</sub> N <sub>2</sub> C <sub>1</sub>	58.2		abc	S <sub>2</sub> N <sub>2</sub> C <sub>2</sub>	44.7		g-l
S <sub>2</sub> N <sub>3</sub> C <sub>1</sub>	57.5		bc	S <sub>2</sub> N <sub>3</sub> C <sub>2</sub>	44.6		g-l
S <sub>1</sub> N <sub>3</sub> C <sub>1</sub>	56.0		bcd	S <sub>1</sub> N <sub>2</sub> C <sub>2</sub>	42.8		i-n
S <sub>4</sub> N <sub>2</sub> C <sub>1</sub>	53.2		cde	S <sub>2</sub> N <sub>1</sub> C <sub>2</sub>	42.1		k-o
S <sub>2</sub> N <sub>1</sub> C <sub>1</sub>	52.6		c-f	S <sub>2</sub> N <sub>4</sub> C <sub>2</sub>	41.9		k-o
S <sub>2</sub> N <sub>2</sub> C <sub>1</sub>	49.1		e-h	S <sub>3</sub> N <sub>4</sub> C <sub>2</sub>	39.2		l-p
S <sub>4</sub> N <sub>1</sub> C <sub>1</sub>	48.5		e-i	S <sub>4</sub> N <sub>1</sub> C <sub>2</sub>	38.1		m-p
S <sub>3</sub> N <sub>3</sub> C <sub>1</sub>	48.3		e-i	S <sub>5</sub> N <sub>2</sub> C <sub>2</sub>	38.1		m-p
S <sub>4</sub> N <sub>3</sub> C <sub>1</sub>	47.2		e-k	S <sub>5</sub> N <sub>1</sub> C <sub>2</sub>	38.0		m-p
S <sub>5</sub> N <sub>2</sub> C <sub>1</sub>	43.8		g-m	S <sub>4</sub> N <sub>4</sub> C <sub>2</sub>	36.3		o-q
S <sub>5</sub> N <sub>1</sub> C <sub>1</sub>	43.4		h-n	S <sub>1</sub> N <sub>3</sub> C <sub>2</sub>	35.2		pq
S <sub>5</sub> N <sub>3</sub> C <sub>1</sub>	42.3		j-o	S <sub>3</sub> N <sub>3</sub> C <sub>2</sub>	34.5		pq
S <sub>4</sub> N <sub>4</sub> C <sub>1</sub>	37.5		n-p	S <sub>5</sub> N <sub>4</sub> C <sub>2</sub>	34.1		pq
S <sub>2</sub> N <sub>4</sub> C <sub>1</sub>	34.9		pq	S <sub>5</sub> N <sub>3</sub> C <sub>2</sub>	34.0		pq



S <sub>4</sub> N <sub>5</sub> C <sub>1</sub>	33.1	pq	S <sub>4</sub> N <sub>3</sub> C <sub>2</sub>	33.0	pq
S <sub>3</sub> N <sub>4</sub> C <sub>1</sub>	30.7	qr	S <sub>3</sub> N <sub>2</sub> C <sub>2</sub>	27.2	r

149 Means followed by same letter(s) on the same column and row are not different statistically at P=0.05 using DMRT.

150 S= impeller speed, N= number of impeller, C1=cushion compartment, C2= spring compartment

151 **Table 2: Interaction between type of compartment, number of impeller and speed of impeller on**  
 152 **output capacity**

Treatment	Mean Output capacity (g/sec)	Mean Ranking	Treatment	Mean Output capacity (g/sec)	Mean Ranking
<b><u>Cushion compartment, C<sub>1</sub></u></b>			<b><u>Spring compartment, C<sub>2</sub></u></b>		
S <sub>2</sub> N <sub>1</sub> C <sub>1</sub>	24.9	ab	S <sub>2</sub> N <sub>1</sub> C <sub>2</sub>	26.3	a
S <sub>1</sub> N <sub>1</sub> C <sub>1</sub>	20.7	cde	S <sub>1</sub> N <sub>4</sub> C <sub>2</sub>	22.5	bc
S <sub>1</sub> N <sub>2</sub> C <sub>1</sub>	19.7	c-g	S <sub>5</sub> N <sub>3</sub> C <sub>2</sub>	21.3	cd
S <sub>4</sub> N <sub>1</sub> C <sub>1</sub>	18.4	d-i	S <sub>1</sub> N <sub>1</sub> C <sub>2</sub>	20.4	cde
S <sub>4</sub> N <sub>2</sub> C <sub>1</sub>	17.9	d-j	S <sub>1</sub> N <sub>2</sub> C <sub>2</sub>	20.0	c-f
S <sub>1</sub> N <sub>4</sub> C <sub>1</sub>	16.2	g-l	S <sub>2</sub> N <sub>4</sub> C <sub>2</sub>	19.4	c-g
S <sub>3</sub> N <sub>1</sub> C <sub>1</sub>	16.2	g-l	S <sub>3</sub> N <sub>4</sub> C <sub>2</sub>	18.8	d-h
S <sub>2</sub> N <sub>4</sub> C <sub>1</sub>	15.7	h-l	S <sub>3</sub> N <sub>1</sub> C <sub>2</sub>	18.7	d-h
S <sub>5</sub> N <sub>2</sub> C <sub>1</sub>	15.5	h-l	S <sub>2</sub> N <sub>2</sub> C <sub>2</sub>	18.4	d-i
S <sub>5</sub> N <sub>1</sub> C <sub>1</sub>	15.4	h-l	S <sub>4</sub> N <sub>1</sub> C <sub>2</sub>	17.2	e-k
S <sub>3</sub> N <sub>2</sub> C <sub>1</sub>	15.3	h-l	S <sub>1</sub> N <sub>3</sub> C <sub>2</sub>	16.6	f-l
S <sub>3</sub> N <sub>4</sub> C <sub>1</sub>	15.3	h-l	S <sub>4</sub> N <sub>2</sub> C <sub>2</sub>	16.6	f-l
S <sub>2</sub> N <sub>2</sub> C <sub>1</sub>	14.7	i-n	S <sub>5</sub> N <sub>1</sub> C <sub>2</sub>	16.1	g-l
S <sub>2</sub> N <sub>3</sub> C <sub>1</sub>	14.6	i-n	S <sub>4</sub> N <sub>4</sub> C <sub>2</sub>	15.2	h-m
S <sub>4</sub> N <sub>3</sub> C <sub>1</sub>	14.6	i-n	S <sub>5</sub> N <sub>4</sub> C <sub>2</sub>	15.2	h-m
S <sub>3</sub> N <sub>3</sub> C <sub>1</sub>	14.0	k-n	S <sub>4</sub> N <sub>3</sub> C <sub>2</sub>	14.8	i-n
S <sub>1</sub> N <sub>3</sub> C <sub>1</sub>	13.4	k-n	S <sub>3</sub> N <sub>3</sub> C <sub>2</sub>	14.3	j-n

S <sub>4</sub> N <sub>4</sub> C <sub>1</sub>	13.2	lmn	S <sub>2</sub> N <sub>3</sub> C <sub>2</sub>	13.6	k-n
S <sub>5</sub> N <sub>3</sub> C <sub>1</sub>	13.1	lmn	S <sub>5</sub> N <sub>2</sub> C <sub>2</sub>	12.9	lmn
S <sub>5</sub> N <sub>4</sub> C <sub>1</sub>	11.5	mn	S <sub>4</sub> N <sub>2</sub> C <sub>2</sub>	11.3	n

153 *Means followed by same letter(s) on the same column and row are not different statistically at P=0.05 using DMRT.*

154 *S= impeller speed, N= number of impeller, C1=cushion compartment, C2= spring compartment*

#### 155 **4. CONCLUSION**

156

157 The performance evaluation of a portable ginger slicing machine was carried out to suit the  
 158 need of the farmers. It was powered by one horse power petrol engine. At ginger moisture  
 159 content of 77.44% wet basis, and at operating speed of 350 rpm, the machine has an  
 160 average slicing efficiency and output capacity of 63.5 %, 58.32 kg/h, and 50 % and 67.32  
 161 kg/h for cushion and spring compartments, respectively.

162

#### 163 **COMPETING INTERESTS**

164

165 Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

166

167

#### 168 **REFERENCES**

169

- 170 1. Ajavi E. A. and Ogunlade C. A. Physical Properties of Ginger (Zingiber Officinale),  
 171 Global Journal of Science Frontier Research: D Agricultural and Veterinary, 2014; 14(1):  
 172 1- 8.
- 173 2. Alakali, J. S. and Satimehin, A. A. Moisture adsorption characteristics of Ginger  
 174 (Zingiber officinale) Powders, Agricultural Engineering International. The CIGR Ejournal.  
 175 Manuscript 1289. 2009; 10: 1 - 19.

- 176 3. Aniyi, S. O. Design and Evaluation of a Ginger Slicing Machine, *Journal of Agricultural*  
177 *Engineering and Technology (JAET)*, 2006; 14(1): 12 – 17.
- 178 4. Emehute, J. K. U. Eds. *Proceedings of Three Training Workshops on Ginger*  
179 *Production, Processing, Utilization and Marketing held at the National Roots Crop*  
180 *Research Institute, Umudike. 2002; 46 - 50.*
- 181 5. Emmanuel, L. *Technology and Ginger Farm Performance, Path of Production*  
182 *Efficiencies Overtime, Agriculture Economics Journal. 2008; 2: 297 - 306.*
- 183 6. Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO). *Ginger: Post-Production Management for*  
184 *Improved Market Access, Code Manual Version 1.4f, LBNL-49625- Rev. 1. 2004.*
- 185 7. Food and Agriculture Organization Statistics, (FAOSTAT) *Production Quantity of Ginger*  
186 *in the World Total 2011-2016. 2016; Retrieved from [www.factfish.com/statistics/](http://www.factfish.com/statistics/). 31*  
187 *October, 2018.*
- 188 8. Guwo, A. N. *Development of a Ginger Splitting Machine. M.Sc. thesis, Department of*  
189 *Agricultural Engineering, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria, Published. 2008.*
- 190 9. Murumkar, R. P., Borkar, P. A., Bhojar, S. M., Rathod, P. K. and Dorkar, A. R. *Testing*  
191 *of Turmeric Slicer for Potato Slicing. International Journal of Advanced Research (IJAR).*  
192 *2016; 4(10): 701 - 709.*
- 193 10. Nmadu, J. N. and Marcus, P. L. *Efficiency of Ginger Production in Selected Local*  
194 *Government Areas of Kaduna State, Nigeria. International Journal of Food and*  
195 *Agricultural Economics. 2012; 2(1):39 – 52.*
- 196 11. Nwandikom G.I. and Njoku B.O. *Design related physical properties of Nigerian ginger*  
197 *(Zingiber Officinale roscoe). In: B.O. Njoku et al. (Ed), Proceedings of the First National*  
198 *Ginger Workshop, Umudike. 1988; 101 - 107.*
- 199 12. Sanjay, M. R., Arpitha, G. R., Laxmana L. N., and Yogesha B. *Design and Fabrication of*  
200 *Ginger Harvesting Machine, World Journal of Engineering and Technology, 2015; 33:*  
201 *320 – 338.*

- 202 13. Silva, F. H. C. A. and Jayatissa, D. N. Design and Development of a Ginger Slicer for  
203 Small Scale Spice Processors, International Journal of Trend in Research and  
204 Development, 2017; 4(1):385 – 389.
- 205 14. Simonyan, K. J., Eke, B. N., Adama, A. B., Ehiem, J. C., Onwuka, J. C., Okafor-yadi,  
206 U. N., ... Okapara D. A. Design and Development of a Motorized Ginger Rhizomes  
207 Splitting Machine, Journal of Applied Agricultural Research. 2014; 6(1): 121 – 130.
- 208 15. Simonyan, K. J., Jegede, K. M. and Lyocks, S. W. J. Development of a Motorised  
209 Ginger Slicer. *Agricultural Mechanization in Asia, African and Latin America*. 2003;  
210 34(1): 37 – 41.

211  
212  
213  
214  
215  
216

UNDER PEER REVIEW