Original Research Article

BIOCONTROL POTENTIAL OF *Bacillus thuringiensis* ISOLATED FROM SOIL AGAINST MOSQUITO LARVA

ABSTRACT

A major challenge for achieving successful mosquito control is overcoming insecticide resistance. This study was carried out to assess the larvicidal activity of *Bacillus thuringiensis* isolated from different soil samples within Sokoto metropolis using standard methods. Confirmatory identification of the organism was made based on biochemical characterization and microscopic observation. The larvicidal activity of *Bacillus thuringiensis* isolated was tested against the larva of mosquito using three dilutions of the Bacillus culture in a bioassay. The isolated organisms were confirmed as *Bacillus thuringiensis*. The result of the bioassay showed variation in the level of efficacy of the bacteria and depended on time of the exposure. Mortality rate greater than 20% was observed after 60 minutes and increased to 100% after time of exposure was increased for all dilutions of *B. thuringiensis* used. The results showed that *Bacillus thuringiensis* toxins can be bacteriocidal to mosquito larvae in a matter of minutes depending on the concentration ingested by the larvae. This in essence proved that *Bacillus thuringiensis* is an effective biolarvicide that can be used to reduce and possibly eradicate the nuisance of disease-causing mosquitoes and aid in the rollback of malaria.

Keywords: Mosquito control, *Bacillus thuringiensis*, bioassay, larvicidal activity

UNDER PEER REVIEW

24

INTRODUCTION

Mosquitoes are associated with the transmission of pathogens to humans and other vertebrates. Some of these include the causative agents of malaria, filariasis and dengue, as well as other mosquitoe-borne zoonotic aborvirus, like Saint Louis Encephalitis Virus (SLEV), West Nile Virus (WNV) and Eastern Equine Encephalitis Virus (EEEV) [1]. Significant morbidity and mortality are recorded as results of these diseases are as a result of the inherent difficulty of controlling mosquitoes [2, 3]. Increase in the distribution of mosquitoes was associated with the emergence of viruses and diseases in new areas [4]. WHO [3] reported malaria to be the world most important vector-borne disease. Cases of these diseases have been reported in more than 100 countries, with approximately more than 3 billion people living in endemic areas. More than 200 million cases of malaria and eight hundred thousand (800,000) malaria-related deaths are recorded every year [5]. The increase in number of malaria cases is as a result of deteriorating health systems, increase resistance of anopheline to insecticides, time taken to develop an effective vaccine and as well resistance of plasmodium to antimalarial drugs [1].

Dengue, including dengue hemorrhagic fever and Dengue Shock Syndrome (DSS) transmitted by *Aedes* mosquitoes is rapidly becoming a worldwide disease, threatening a third of the world population, with an estimate of 50-100 million cases every year [6, 7]. So also, *Lymphatic filariasis* caused by *Wuchereria bancropti*, which is transmitted by mosquitoes, affects more than 120 million people around the world [8]. Lack of effective vaccine against these diseases has left the control of mosquito population as the most effective way to prevent vector-borne diseases [9, 10].

Chemical insecticides have been used in the last century to successfully control mosquitoes of the genus *Aedes* and *Anophelles*. Current ecological and environmental protection standards halt

UNDER PEER REVIEW

the use of these chemicals, because of their adverse effects on non-target species, including humans, environmental impact, contamination soil and water and development of mosquito resistance to insecticides [11]. New strategies were created to replace the use of chemical insecticides. They include Integrated Pest management (IPM) that has guidelines. Guidelines of which are based on environmental planning, public awareness and biological control that control the mosquitoes more efficiently while preserving the environment from contamination [1]. Commercial preparations of *Bacillus thuringiensis (Bt)* as a biocontrol agent has been the greatest success in microbial pesticides, with more than 95% of the microbial pesticides sold being of this bacterial agent [12]. *Bacillus thuringiensis* (*Bt*) is a gram-positive, rod-shaped and spore forming bacteria that is mostly found in the soil and produces polymorphic crystal proteins [13]. The insecticidal activity of *Bt* is due to the proteic parasporal inclusions that are produced during sporulation [14]. The insecticidal proteinaceous crystals (ICPs) comprised one or more crystal (Cry) and Cytolytic (Cyt) proteins recognized as δ-endotoxin. When ingested by the target insect, the ICPs dissolve in the midgut of the larva releasing prototoxins that eventually lead to the formation of pores that causes cell-cytolysis [15, 16].

Despite the use of *Bacillus thuringiensis* as a biocontrol agent for over 30 years, no significant resistance was recorded. However, the search for natural *Bt* isolates with increase activity against mosquito and other insect is still encouraged. Recently, *Bt* with increased activity against *Aedes caspius* and *Culex pipiens* were isolated [17]. In this study, the larvicidal activity of *Bt* isolated from soil samples will be evaluated.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

71 **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

72 **Sample Collection**

73 A total of 4 soil samples were collected from Tamaje, Mabera, Arkilla and Kantin Daji areas of
74 Sokoto state, where there is no previous record of application of *Bacillus thuringiensis* based
75 insecticides. The soil samples were collected aseptically from top of 5cm depth. The samples
76 were placed immediately in plastic bags and labeled appropriately [18]. The soil samples were
77 transported to the laboratory and stored at room temperature. In the same vein, mosquito larva
78 was collected from stagnant waters around Sokoto metropolis.

79 **Media Preparation**

80 The media to be used to culture the bacteria such as Nutrient agar and Luria-Bertani will be
81 prepared according to the manufacturer's instruction.

82 **Nutrient Agar**

83 Twenty-eight grams (28g) of nutrient agar was weighed and dissolved in 1000ml of distilled
84 water in a sterile conical flask. The mixture was heated using a hot plate to dissolve the medium.
85 The conical flask was plugged with cotton wool stoppers and wrapped with aluminum foil. It
86 was then sterilized using an autoclave at 121°C for 15 minutes. The medium was cooled at about
87 45 - 50°C after sterilization and then poured into sterile petri dishes (about 20ml per plate) under
88 aseptic conditions. The plates were allowed to solidify and incubated at 37°C for 24 hours and
89 the sterility of the medium was checked [19].

90 **3.2.2 Luria-Bertani Agar**

91 This media is made up of Tryptone, Yeast extract, NaCl, NaOH and Bacto agar for jelling. Ten
92 grams (10g) of Tryptone, ten grams (10g) of NaCl, five grams (5g) of NaOH and ten grams
93 (10g) of yeast extract were weighed and dissolved in 950ml of distilled water in a sterile conical

UNDER PEER REVIEW

flask. The mixture was heated using a hot plate to dissolve. The final volume was added up to 1000ml and fifteen grams (15g) of Bacto agar was added. The conical flask was plugged with cotton wool stoppers and wrapped with aluminum foil. It was then sterilized using an autoclave at 121^0C for 15 minutes. The medium was cooled at about 45 – 50^0C after sterilization and then poured into sterile petri dishes (about 20ml per plate) under aseptic conditions. The plates were allowed to solidify and incubated at 37^0C for 24 hours and the sterility of the medium was checked [20].

Isolation of *Bacillus thuringiensis* from Soil

Five grams (5g) of each soil sample was weighed and added to 100ml of distilled water. The samples were heated on a hot plate for 10 minutes to eliminate all bacteria incapable of producing endospores. Since it is known that *Bacillus thuringiensis* produces spores, it will be safe to assume that if it was present in the soil, it would be in our heated sample. The samples were then diluted 5 fold to eliminate all humic materials within the samples and to reduce the overall colony forming units within each sample [18].

Culturing of *Bacillus thuringiensis*

The diluted samples were cultured on nutrient agar plates for 24 hours at 37^0C in to order to give the spores chance to germinate on media with adequate nutrients and optimal temperature [18]. The media, however, offers favorable growth for a wide range of bacteria as well as *Bacillus thuringiensis*. The colonies were sub-cultured onto Luria-Bertani plates and incubated at 37^0C for 24 hours, so as to obtain pure cultures of *B. thuringiensis*. Series of tests which include gram staining and biochemical tests were further employed to identify *Bacillus thuringiensis* after formation of colonies with smooth round shape and earthy odor.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

Gram Staining Techniques

A smear of colonies isolated after the identification were made on a clean glass slides using a sterile wire loop. They were air dried and fixed. The smears were flooded with crystal violet for about 60 seconds and were washed with tap water. They were then tipped off with lugol's iodine for 30 seconds and then washed with tap water. They were decolorized with acetone and washed off with tap water. The fixed smears were counterstained with safranin and allowed for 60 seconds and then washed off with tap water and allow to air dry. Oil immersion was added to the stained slides and viewed under a microscope using x100 objective for the morphological characteristics of the isolates [21].

Characterization of the Isolated Bacteria

The colonies that form on the T3 agar will again be confirmed by biochemical tests based on: Indole test, Catalase test, Triple Sugar Iron test (T.S.I), Methyl Red test (M.R.), Vogues-Proskauer (V.P.).

Indole Test

A test tube of sterile peptone water, enriched with 1% tryptophan will be inoculated with young culture of isolates and incubated at $37^{O}C$ for 48hrs. About 4 drops of kovac's reagent will be added and shaken gently. A red color will occur immediately at upper part of the test tube indicating a positive test. A yellow color at the surface will denote a negative result [21].

Catalase Test

The container containing 3% hydrogen peroxide solution will be shaken to expel the dissolved oxygen. One drop of the solution will be placed on a clean glass slide. Presence of gas bubbles indicates a positive test while absence of gas bubbles indicates negative reaction [19].

UNDER PEER REVIEW

140 **Triple Sugar Iron Agar (T.S.I test)**

141 A speck of the isolate will be inoculated by streaking and stabbing into the medium and will be
142 incubated at $37^{O}C$ for 24 hours. Fermentation of any of the sugar will be indicated by a change in
143 color, from red to yellow and crack or raised in the medium indicates gas production [21].

144 **Methyl Red Test (M.R.)**

145 A speck of the isolate will be inoculated into the medium, which would be incubated at $37^{O}C$ for
146 48 hours. Few drops of methyl red would be added to the culture. M.R positive test will indicate
147 red color while no changes denotes negative [21].

148 **Vogues-Proskauer Test (V.P)**

149 A speck of the isolate will be inoculated into glucose phosphate water medium and incubated at
150 $37^{O}C$ for 2 days. Ethanoic solution of 5% α-naphthol (1.2 ml) and 0.4 ml potassium hydroxide
151 solution will be added to 2ml of culture and will be shaken vigorously. It will be placed in a
152 sloping position (for maximum exposure of the culture to air) and will be examined after 30 to
153 60 minutes. The evolution of red color indicates a positive test for Voges-Proskauer [21].

154 **Coagulase Test**

155 About 2 or 3 colonies was emulsified in 0.05ml of saline contained in a serological tube. 1ml of
156 plasma was added and incubated at 35^{0}-$37^{0}C$ and it was checked after 1hour, 2hours, 3hours and
157 4hours of incubation for signs of clotting of the plasma. Increase in viscosity or complete clotting
158 indicates a positive coagulase test, while absence of viscosity or clotting indicates a negative
159 coagulase test [21].

160 **Motility Test**

161 A small quantity of each isolate was stabbed into triple sugar iron agar and incubated at $37^{0}C$ for
162 24 hours. Motility was observed by spread of the organism outwards from the stabbed area.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

Urease Test

A speck of each isolate was inoculated into Christensen's urea agar and incubated at $37^{O}c$ for 24 hours. Liberation of red color indicates urease positive test while initial yellow color indicates negative test.

Citrate Utilization Test

A speck of each isolate was inoculated into Koser's citrate medium and was incubated at $37^{O}C$ for 72 hours. A positive citrate is confirmed by the promotion of blue color while the initial green color denotes a negative result [21].

Bioassay

The *Bacillus thuringiensis* isolates selected were tested against larva of mosquito. The stock cultures of *Bacillus thuringiensis* from slant bottles were picked using a sterile wire loop and diluted five-fold 10^{-1}- 10^{-5} in sterile distilled water in five test tubes. Five (5) ml each of the cultures in the first, third and fifth test tubes was added to three (3) disposable cups containing 45ml of sterile distilled water, providing each cup with different dilution factors. Twenty-five (25) larvae were transferred into each of the disposable cups. The cups were kept at $25^{0}C$ – $30^{0}C$ for 6 hours. At intervals of 30 minutes, each cup was observed for larval presence and larval mortality rate was calculated.

RESULTS

The microscopic and biochemical characteristics of the isolated organisms are shown in table 4.1. The characteristics of which confirmed the isolated organism to be *Bacillus thuringiensis*. Table 4.2 shows the result for the bioassay of 10^{-1} diluents of *Bacillus thuringiensis* against mosquito larvae. The mortality rate was found to increase as the incubation time increases. A mortality rate of 52% was recorded after 150 minutes and a 100% mortality rate was recorded

UNDER PEER REVIEW

186 after 330 minutes. The result illustrated in table 4.3 shows the bioassay for the 10^{-3} diluents of *B.*
187 *thuringiensis* on mosquito larvae. Mortality rate of 52% was recorded after 180 minutes, after
188 which a 100% mortality rate recorded after 360 minutes. Illustrated in table 4.4 is the bioassay of
189 the 10^{-5} diluents of *B. thuringiensis* against mosquito larvae. Mortality rate of 60% was recorded
190 after 210 minutes, after which a mortality rate of 100% was recorded after 360 minutes.
191
192
193

UNDER PEER REVIEW

Table 4.1: Biochemical and morphological characteristics of *Bacillus thuringiensis*

Isolates	Gram Reaction	Catalase	Coagulase	Glucose	Sucrose	Lactose	Gas	Motility	Citrate	MR	VP	Urease	Indole
A	+ve Rod	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	+
B	+ve Rod	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	+
C	+ve Rod	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	+
D	+ve Rod	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	+

Key: + = Positive

- = Negative

Time (min)	**0**	**30**	**60**	**90**	**120**	**150**	**180**	**210**	**240**	**270**	**300**	**330**	**360**

UNDER PEER REVIEW

No. of larva	25	24	20	17	14	12	10	7	4	2	1	0	0
Control	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25
Mortality	0	1	5	8	11	13	15	18	21	23	24	25	25
Mortality rate (%)	0	4	20	32	44	52	60	72	84	92	96	100	100

Table 4.2: Bioassay of 10^{-1} Diluents of *Bacillus thuringiensis* Culture Against Mosquito Larvae .

Table 4.3: Bioassay of 10^{-3} Diluents of *Bacillus thuringiensis* Culture Against Mosquito Larvae.

Time (min)	0	30	60	90	120	150	180	210	240	270	300	330	360
No. of larva	25	24	23	21	19	16	12	9	7	6	3	1	0
Control	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25
Mortality	0	1	2	4	6	9	13	16	18	19	22	24	25
Mortality rate (%)	0	4	8	14	24	36	52	64	72	76	88	96	100

UNDER PEER REVIEW

203

204

205

206 **Table 4.4: Bioassay of 10^{-5} Diluents of *Bacillus thuringiensis* Culture Against Mosquito Larvae.**

Time (min)	**0**	**30**	**60**	**90**	**120**	**150**	**180**	**210**	**240**	**270**	**300**	**330**	**360**
No. of larva	25	25	24	23	23	21	18	10	6	3	1	0	0
Control	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25
Mortality	0	0	1	2	2	4	7	15	19	22	24	25	25
Mortality rate (%)	0	0	4	8	8	16	28	60	76	88	96	100	100

207

208

209

210

211

212

UNDER PEER REVIEW

213

UNDER PEER REVIEW

214

215 **DISCUSSION**

216 Mosquitoes are a great nuisance and they pose a serious threat to human health in the society.
217 Many chemical insecticides have been produced for the control of mosquitoes in the past years,
218 some of which have been very effective while others have done little or no good at all. Most of
219 the insecticides used are made of synthetic chemicals and were found to have negative effect on
220 the diversity of many insects, well being of humans and as well the environment. These
221 therefore, call the need for the search of biological control methods that cause less harm to
222 human health, diversity of the insects and the environment. The efficacy of *Bacillus*
223 *thuringiensis* as a larvicide for controlling mosquito larva yielded great results. The microscopic
224 and the biochemical characteristics of the organisms as shown in Table 4.1 confirmed the basic
225 characteristics of *Bacillus thuringiensis*, being Gram positive and having a rod shape. The
226 biochemical characteristics, showed the motile nature of the organism among others. These
227 characteristics are similar to what was reported by Ahmed *et al.* [22].

228 In the bioassay for the control of mosquito larvae, different diluents used showed varying degree
229 of effectiveness with 100% mortality rate recorded after 360 minutes. This might be attributed to
230 the ability of the organism to produce binary toxin (Bin) which is a primary insecticidal
231 component produced during sporulation and vegetative stage of *B. thuringiensis* in controlling
232 the growth of the mosquito larvae. This is in agreement with what was reported by Oei *et al*.
233 [23]. In all the diluents, very low mortality was recorded after 30 minutes of incubation, which
234 could be attributed to the time of exposure of the larvae as well as the number of the organisms
235 present in the container. But more than half of the larvae were death after 240 minutes, with a
236 high mortality rate of over 70% recorded in all the diluents of the *B. thuringiensis*. This could

UNDER PEER REVIEW

237 also be attributed to the time of exposure of the larvae and as well the increase in number of the
238 cells in the medium that could be attributed to the increase in the number of organisms ingested
239 by the mosquitoe larvae, which causes damage in the midgut of the larvae [24]. Thereby
240 releasing the crystallized binary toxins, that in turns are solubilized in the midgut, releasing two
241 proteins [25], that are cleaved by endogenous proteins to form active toxins [26]. This is in
242 agreement with what was reported by Aissaoui and Boudjelida [27].

243

244 **Conclusion**

245 *Bacillus thuringiensis* naturally found in the soil has proved to be a good larvicidal agent against
246 mosquito larvae in the laboratory. The organism and it product can be further studied to search
247 for novel compounds that can be use in control of mosquito borne diseases such as malaria.

248

249 **References**

250

251 1. Wilke ABB Marelli MT. Paratransgenesis: A Promising New Strategy for Mosquitoe
252 Vector Control. *Parasites and Vectors*. 2015; 8:342. DOI 10.1186/s 13071-015-0959-2.

253

254 2. World Health Organization (WHO). Progress Report 2000-2009 and Strategic Plan 2010-
255 2020 of the Global Program to Eliminate Lymphatic filariasis: Halfway Towards
256 Eliminating Lymphatic Filariasis. 2010; ISBN 978-92-4-150072-2.

257

258 3. World Health Organization (WHO). WHO Global Malaria Programme. New Report
259 Signals Showdown in The Fight Against Malaria, 2012. Geneva.

260

261 4. Fonseca DM Smith JL Wilkerson RC Fleischer RC. Pathways of Expansion and Multiple
262 Introoduction Illustrated by large Genetic Differentiation Among World Wide
263 Populations of the Southern House Mosquitoe. *American Journal of Tropical Medicine*
264 *and Hygiene*. 2006; 74: 284-289.

265

266 5. Bremen JG Egan A Keusch GT. The Intolerable Burden of Malaria: A new Look at the
267 Numbers. *American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene*. 2001; 64: iv-vii.

268

269

270 6. Reiter P. Oviposition, Dispersal and Survival in *Aedes aegypti*: Implications for the
271 Efficacy of Control Strategies. *Vector-Borne Zonotic*. 2007; 7: 261-274.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

272
273 7. Mairiang D Zhang H Sodija A Murali T Suriyaphol P Malasit P *et al*. Identification of
274 New Protein Interactions Between Dengue Fever Virus and its Hosts, Human and
275 Mosquitoes. PlosOne. 2013; 8, ee53535.
276
277 8. Pidiyar VJ Jangid K Patole MS Shouche YS. Studies on Cultured and Uncultured
278 Microbiota of *Culex quinquefasciatus* Mosquito Midgut Based on 16s ribosomal RNA
279 gene Analysis. *American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene*. 2004; 70: 597-603.
280
281 9. Pates H Curtis CF. Mosquito Behavior and Vector Control. Annual Review of
282 Entemology. 2005; 50: 53-70.
283
284 10. Vreysen M Robinson AS Hendrichs J. Area-Wide Control of Insect Pests: From Research
285 t Field Implementation. The Netherlands: Springer. 2007; P. 325-361.
286
287 11. Dorta DM Vasuki V Rajavel A. Evaluation of Organophosphorus and Synthetic
288 Pyrethroid Insecticides Against Six Vector Mosquito Species. *Rev Saude Publica*. 1993;
289 27:391-397.
290
291 12. Federici BA Hyun-Woo P Dennis KB. Overview of the Basic Biology of Bacillus
292 thurigiensis with Emphasis on Genetic Engineering of Bacterial Larvicides foe
293 Mosquitoe Control. The Open Toxicology Journal. 2010; 3: 83-100.
294
295 13. Cruz D Ian NB Bautista JR Teves FG. Isolation and Identification of *Bacillus*
296 *thuringiensis* from *Harpaphe haydeniana* and its Entemotoxic Evaluation Against *Aedes*
297 and *Culex* Larvae. *International Research Journal of Biological Sciences*. 2015; 4(3): 21-
298 26.
299
300 14. Federici BA. *Bacillus thuringiensis in Biological Control*. In: Handbook of Biological
301 Control. F Fosher (Ed.) Academic Press (Ed.). 1999; 577-593. ISBN 10: 0-12-257305-6.
302
303 15. Otieno-Ayayo ZN Zaritsky A Wirth MC Manasherob R Khasdan V Cahan R Ben-Dov E.
304 Variations in the Mosquito Larvicidal Activities of Toxins from *Bacillus thuringiensis*
305 Spp. *Israelensis*. *Environmental Microbiology*. 2008; 10: 2191-2199.
306
307 16. Angolo-Falho RC Loguercio LL. *Bacillus thuringiensis* is an Environmental Pathogen
308 and Host-Specificity has Developed as an Adaptation to Human Generated Ecological
309 Niches. *Insects*. 2014; 5: 62-91.
310
311 17. El-Kersh TA Al-akeel RA Al-Sheikh YA. Isolation and Distribution of Mosquitoes Cry
312 genes in *Bacillus thuringiensis* Strains native to Saudi Arabia. *Tropical BioMed*. 2014;
313 31: 616-632.
314
315 18. Travers RS Martin PAW Reichelderfer CF. Selective Process for Efficient Isolation of
316 Soil Bacillus sp. Applied Environmental Microbiology. 1987; 53: 1263-1266.
317

UNDER PEER REVIEW

318 19. Cheesbrough M. District Laboratory Practices in Tropical Countries (Part 2). Cambridge
319 University Press. 2002; 137-139.
320
321 20. Sambrook J Russell DW. Molecular Cloning a Laboratory Manual. Cold Spring Harbor
322 Laboratory Press. Cold Spring Harbor, NY. 2001.
323
324 21. Oyeleke SB Manga SB. Essentials of Laboratory Practicals in Microbiology 1st Edition.
325 To Best Publisher Minnaa, Niger State, Nigeria. 2008; 36-58.
326
327 22. Ahmed HA Ali SG Abdul-Raouf UM. Isolation, Characterization and Molecular
328 Identification of *Bacillus thuringiensis* Alex-13 isolated from Egypt Against *Spodoptera*
329 *littoralis*. *International Journal of Microbiology and Allied Sciences*. 2015; 2(2): 34-44.
330
331 23. Oei C Hindley J Berry C. Binding of *Bacillus sphaericus* Binary Toxin and its Deletion
332 Derivatives to *Culex Quinquefasciatus* Gut: Elucidation of Functional Binding Domains.
333 *Journal of General Microbiology*. 1992; 138: 1515-1526.
334
335 24. Wei D Cai Q Yuan Z. Mosquitocidal Toxin From *Bacillus sphaericus* Induces Stronger
336 Delayed Effects than Binary Toxin on *Culex quenquefasciatus* (Diptera; Culcidae).
337 *Journal of Medical Entemology*. 2006; 43: 726-730.
338
339 25. Berry C JacksonTap J Oei C Hindley J. Nucleotide Sequence of Two Toxin Genes from
340 *Bacillus sphaericus* IAB59: Sequence Comparisons Between Five Highly Toxigenic
341 Strains. *Nucleic Acid Research*. 1989: 17(18): 7516.
342
343 26. Darboux I Nielsen-Leroux C Charles JF Pauron D. The Receptor of *Bacillus sphaericus*
344 Binary Toxin in *Culex pipens* (*Diptera culcidae*) midgut: Molecular Cloning and
345 Expression. *Insect Biochemical and Molecular Biology*. 2001; 31(10): 981-990.
346
347 27. Aissaoui L Boudjelida H. Larvicidal Activity and Influence of *Bacillus thuringiensis*
348 (Vectobac G), on Longevity and Fecundity of Mosquito species. *European Journal of*
349 *Experimental Biology*. 2014; 4(1): 104-109.
350

UNDER PEER REVIEW