



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Archives of Current Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_45464
Title of the Manuscript:	EXPERIMENTAL INVESTIGATION OF THE INFLUENCE OF GRADATION PARAMETERS ON ATTERBERG LIMITS OF SOIL
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The only instance where both Atterberg limits and gradation are required is when we analyse missed soils. It is good to see someone looking at potential correlations of both. But there has to be a purpose and added value. I do not see both in this paper: Soils have been selected with no justification and there is no independent verification of results. Also, the presentation of the graphs are very poor and un-scientific.	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Hiroshan Hettiarachchi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>United Nations University, Japan</b>