The Universal Coefficient Theorem for Homology and Cohomology an Enigma of Computations

The Universal Coefficient Theorem for Homology and Cohomology an Enigma of Computations

ABSTRACT

This article looks at the algebraic background leading to definition and explanation of some product topology as well as the kiinneth formula for computing the (co)homology group of product spaces. A detailed look at the four theorems considered as the Universal Coefficient Theorem is not ignored. Though this article does not proof the theorems, yet it states some properties of each of these products, which are enough for the calculation of (co)homology groups.

Keywords: Abelian Group, Homology, Cohomology, Exact Sequences, Tensor Product, Homomorphism, Isomorphism, Torsion Product, Extension, Kiinneth Formula and Cross Product.

1. INTRODUCTION

From the theory of topological spaces emerged algebraic topology. Objects are classified according to the nature of their connectedness [Obeng-Denteh, 2019]. At the elementary level, algebraic topology separates naturally into the two broad channels of homology and homotopy. With a simple dualization in the definition of homology, cohomology an algebraic variant of homology is formed [Hatcher, 2002]. It is therefore not surprise that cohomology groups $H^i(x)$ satisfy axioms much like the axioms for homology, except that induced homomorphisms go in the opposite direction as a result of the dualization. The basic difference between homology and cohomology is thus that cohomology groups are contravariant functors while homology groups are covariant. In terms of internal study, however, there is not much difference between homology groups and cohomology groups. The homology groups of a space determine its cohomology groups, and the converse holds at least when the homology groups are finitely generated. What is a little surprising being that contravariance leads to extra structure in cohomology.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2 .1 Exactness of a sequence

Definition 1. For a given pair of homomorphism $M \xrightarrow{x} N \xrightarrow{y} Q$ is exact at N if

$im\ (x) = \ker(y)$. Hence a sequence $.. \to M_{i-1} \to M_i \to M_{i+1} \to M_{i+2} \to \cdots$ is exact if it actually exact at every M_i that is between two homomorphisms.

Proposition. A sequence $0 \to M \xrightarrow{x} N$ is exact if provided is injective (1 to 1). furthermore, a sequence $N \xrightarrow{y} Q \to 0$ is exact if and only if g is surjective (onto).

Proof. A sequence being exact has its implicati, that is kernel x is equal to the image of the homomorphism $0 \to M$, which is zero. There is an equivalence relation to the injectivity of homomorphism x. Similarly, the kernel of zero homomorphism $Q \to 0$ is Q, and $y(N) = Q$ if and only if y is surjective

2 .2 Product Structures of Abelian Groups

2 .2. 1 Tensor product.

Definition 2. Let M and N be two abelian group then the tensor product denoted by M ⊗ N is defined to be the abelian group with generators m ⊗ n for m ∈ M, n ∈ N, and relations

$$(m + m') \otimes n = m \otimes n + m' \otimes n \text{ and}$$

$$m \otimes (n + n') = m \otimes n + m \otimes n'.$$

So the zero element of M ⊗ N is $0 \otimes 0 = 0 \otimes n = m \otimes 0$, and

$$- (m \otimes n) = -m \otimes n = m \otimes (-n).$$

Hence given the direct sums, $M = m_1 \oplus m_2 \oplus m_3 \oplus .....$ and $N = n_1 \oplus n_2 \oplus n_3 \oplus .....$

$M = \sum_i M^i$ and $N = \sum_j N^j$ then there exists an isomorphism $M \otimes N \cong \sum_{i,j} M^i \otimes N^j$.

Tensor product satisfies the following elementary properties

1. $M \otimes N \approx M \otimes N$.

2. $(M \otimes N) \otimes Q \approx M \otimes (\otimes Q)$.

3. $(\oplus_i M_i) \otimes N \approx \oplus (M_i \otimes N)$

4. $\mathbf{Z} \otimes M \approx. M \otimes \mathbf{Z} \approx M$.

5. $\mathbf{Z}_n \otimes M \approx M/nM$.

6. A pair of homomorphisms f: M→M' and g: N→N' induces a homomorphism
   f ⊗g: M⊗N→M'⊗N' via (f ⊗g) (m ⊗n) = f(m) ⊗g(n).

7. A bilinear map φ: M×N→Q induces a homomorphism M⊗N→Q sending m ⊗ n to φ(m, n).

For the calculation of tensor products of finitely generated abelian groups, properties1 to 5 may be employed. properties 1,2,3,6 and 7 remain valid for tensor products of R-modules. [Hajime, 2000]

2 .3 Homomorphism

Definition 3: let M, N be two abelian groups. A mapping $\varphi: M \to N$ is called homomorphism if for all $x, y \epsilon M, \varphi(xy) = \varphi(x)\varphi(y)$.

For abelian groups M and N, we obtain the abelian group Hom(M, N) of the homomorphism of M and N. Particularly, given that $M = \sum_i M^i$ and $N = \sum_j N^j$ are direct sums as indicated, then

$$Hom(M,N) \cong \sum_{i,j} Hom(M^i, M^j)$$

Therefore, it is important to note that for any two finitely generated abelian groups M and N the following relations hold (over $\mathbb{Z}$): $\forall \, v, k \epsilon \mathbb{Z}$

1. $Hom(\mathbb{Z}, M) \cong M$

2. $Hom(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$

3. $Hom(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}_v) \cong \mathbb{Z}_v$

4. $Hom(\mathbb{Z}_v, \mathbb{Z}) \cong 0$

5. $Hom(\mathbb{Z}_v, \mathbb{Z}_k) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{(v,k)}$

2 .4 Torsion Product

Definition 4: Given that M and N are abelian groups, the an abelian group called their torsion product over $\mathbb{Z}$, is given by $Tor\,(M.N)$ will be determined by the torsion part of M and N. That is their respective subgroups consisting of the elements whose integral multiples become 0 for some integers. [Hatcher, 2002]

Hence if M and N are $M = \sum_i M^i$ and $N = \sum_j N^j$, then the torsion product

$$Tor(M,N) \cong \sum Tor\left(M^i, N^j\right).$$

It should be noted that for any abelian groups M and N, $Tor(M,N) \cong Tor(N,M)$.

For a given abelian group M

$$Tor(\mathbb{Z}, M) \cong Tor(M, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$$

Torsion product of two finitely generated abelian groups may be determined using the following relations.

$$Tor(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$$

95 $$Tor(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}_v) \cong Tor(\mathbb{Z}_v, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$$

96 $$Tor(\mathbb{Z}_v, \mathbb{Z}_k) \cong Tor\mathbb{Z}_{(v,k)}$$

97 **2 .5 Extensions**

98 **Definition 5**: given two abelian groups M and N, an extension of M by N is a group together
99 with an exact sequence of the form:

100 $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow Q \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$

101 and is denoted by $Ext(M, N)$ for equivalent classes of extension of N by M which determine an
102 abelian group. [Hatcher, 2002]

103 moreover, if are direct sums, $M = \sum_i M^i$ and $N = \sum_j N^j$ the it can be said that there exists
104 an isomorphism

105 $$Ext(M, N) \cong \sum_{i,j} Ext(M^i, N^j)$$

106 **Lemma**: for any abelian group M,

107 $$Ext(\mathbb{Z}, M) = 0$$

108 It also follows that the following relations are equivalent

109 $$Ext(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}) \cong Ext(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}_v) = 0$$

110 $$Ext(\mathbb{Z}_v, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_v$$

111 $$Ext(\mathbb{Z}_v, \mathbb{Z}_k) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{(v,k)}$$

112 **3.   Main Thrust**

113 **3.1 The Kiinneth formula for (co)homology**

114 Let $X \times Y$ be product spaces of topological spaces $X \ and \ Y$ given their respective
115 (co)homology groups.

116 **Theorem:** *For each p, there exists a natural isomorphism*

117 $$h_p(X) \cong h_p(\bar{C}(X)),$$

118 *Where the left-hand side is the axiomatic homology of all the cell complex X which gives rise to*
119 *the chain complex $\bar{C}(X)$ computed algebraically*

120 The tensor product of the respective chains of $X \ and \ Y$ can be regarded naturally as a chain
121 on X x Y, which induces a homomorphism

122 $\times\colon H_p(X; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes H_q(Y; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_{p+q}(X \times Y; \mathbb{Z})$

123 Similarly, we now get the induced homomorphism

124 $\times\colon H^p(X; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes H^q(Y; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^{p+q}(X \times Y; \mathbb{Z})$

125 It can therefore be said that these maps are induced by the cross product. The map induce by
126 the cross product is injective. The following theorems affirms that.

127   **Theorem:** $H_n(X \times Y; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \sum_{p+q=n} H_p(X; \mathbb{Z})$ for the homology kiinneth formula

128     $$\otimes H_q(Y; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \sum_{p+q-n-1} Tor(H_p(X; \mathbb{Z}), H_q(Y; \mathbb{Z}))$$

129   **Theorem:** $H^n(X \times Y; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \sum_{p+q=n} H^p(X; \mathbb{Z})$ for the cohomology kiinneth formula

130     $$\otimes H^q(Y; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \sum_{p+q=n+1} Tor(H^p(X; \mathbb{Z}), H^q(Y; \mathbb{Z}))$$

131   ### 3.2 Cup Product

132   For a topological space X, the diagonal map

133     $\Delta: X \rightarrow X \times X,$

134   transforming $x \in X$ to $(x, x) \in X \times X$, is continuous. Hence the composition of the cross product
135   and the induced map Δ^*,

136     $$H^p(X; M) \times H^q(X; M) \xrightarrow{\times} H^{p+q}(X \times X; M) \xrightarrow{\Delta^*} H^{p+q}(X; M),$$

137   This defines a homomorphism

138     $u: H^p(X; M) \times H^q(X; M) \rightarrow H^{p+q}(X, M)$

139   Hence for $a \in H^p(X; M)$ and $b \in H^q(X; M)$, we define their cup product $a \cup b$ by

140     $a \cup b \cup = \Delta^*(a \times b) \in H^{p+q}(X; M).$

141   There is an implication in the definition that is the structure induced on a cohomology theory by
142   the cup product is homotopy invariant. The cup products satisfy the following properties

143   For $a \in H^p(X; M), b \in H^q(X; M), c \in H^r(X; M)$

144     $$(a \cup b) \cup c = a \cup (b \cup c), \quad a \cup b = (-1)^{pq}(b \cup a);$$

145   For a map $f: X \rightarrow Y, \quad f^*(a \cup b) = f^*(a) \cup f^*(b).$

146   We see a product- preserving homomorphism in f^*. The cohomology group

147     $H^*(X; M) = \sum_p H^p(X, G)$ equipped with a product structure has become a ring.

148   ### 3.3 The Universal Coefficient Theorem

149   In homology the universal coefficient theorem is a special case of the kiinneth theorem. Now
150   let's look at these four formulae considered as the universal coefficient theorem. By reminding
151   ourselves about the product structures of abelian groups, the easier it is for to comprehend
152   these theorems.

153   **Theorem:** From the corresponding integral homology and the *torsion product*, we can
154   calculate homology over a general coefficient group M:

155     $H_n(X;M) \cong H_n(X;\mathbb{Z}) \otimes M \oplus Tor(H_{n-1}(X;\mathbb{Z});M).$

156 **Theorem**: Using the corresponding integral homology and the ***extension product***, we may also
157 calculate cohomology over a general coefficient group M:

158     $H^n(X;M) \cong Hom(H_n(X;\mathbb{Z}) \otimes M \oplus Ext(H_{n-1}(X;\mathbb{Z});M).$

159 **Theorem**: We can compute cohomology over a general coefficient group M from the integral
160 cohomology and the ***torsion product***:

161     $H^n(X;M) \cong H^n(X;\mathbb{Z}) \otimes M \oplus Tor(H^{n+1}(X;\mathbb{Z});M).$

162 **Theorem**: from the integral cohomology and the ***extension product***, homology over a general
163 coefficient group M can also be computed.

164

4.   Conclusion

166 The general observation made so far is that, in our quest to look more into abelian groups such
167 as M and N for the sake of this article as defined from the beginning the tensor product,
168 Homomorphism, torsion product and extension has to be defined. It must also be noted that
169 cohomology groups become rings using the structure of a cup product.

170 The identification of tensor products of respective homology and cohomology groups belonging
171 to two topological spaces with the cohomology groups of the product spaces may be used to
172 define the. Cohomology groups of product spaces fall out from kiinneth formula and can be
173 inferred from the product structures that cross product homomorphism is injective

174

References

176 [1] Hajime S, (2000), Algebraic Topology: An Intuitive Approach, Iwanami publishers, Tokyo
177 Japan.

178 [2] Hatcher, A. (2002) Algebraic Topology, Cambridge University Press, New York.

179 [3] Obeng-Denteh, W. (2019) Algebraic Topology: Lecture Notes, KNUST, Kumasi

180

181

The Universal Coefficient Theorem for Homology and Cohomology an Enigma of Computations

ORIGINALITY REPORT

25%

SIMILARITY INDEX

PRIMARY SOURCES

1	www.math.umn.edu Internet	92 words — **6%**
2	83.143.248.39 Internet	65 words — **4%**
3	"Handbook of K-Theory", Springer Nature, 2005 Crossref	32 words — **2%**
4	Joseph J. Rotman. "An Introduction to Homological Algebra", Springer Nature, 2009 Crossref	31 words — **2%**
5	tavernini.com Internet	22 words — **1%**
6	www.jmilne.org Internet	21 words — **1%**
7	Cegarra, A.M.. "Homotopy classification of graded Picard categories", Advances in Mathematics, 20070820 Crossref	18 words — **1%**
8	Cegarra, A.M.. "Homotopy classification of braided graded categorical groups", Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra, 200705 Crossref	17 words — **1%**
9	Frank W. Anderson, Kent R. Fuller. "Rings and Categories of Modules", Springer Nature, 1992 Crossref	15 words — **1%**

10	Satya Deo. "Algebraic Topology", Springer Nature, 2003 Crossref	15 words — 1%
11	historylink101.net Internet	12 words — 1%
12	faculty.ksu.edu.sa Internet	11 words — 1%
13	tel.archives-ouvertes.fr Internet	11 words — 1%
14	Basic Modern Algebra with Applications, 2014. Crossref	9 words — 1%
15	www.math.cornell.edu Internet	9 words — 1%
16	openaccess.dogus.edu.tr Internet	9 words — 1%
17	Peyman Niroomand. "On the tensor square of non-abelian nilpotent finite-dimensional Lie algebras", Linear and Multilinear Algebra, 2011 Crossref	8 words — < 1%
18	I. B. S. Passi. "Polynomial functors", Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society, 11/1969 Crossref	7 words — < 1%