

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_43087
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of the nutritional status and acceptability of powdered reconstituted Kunu-zaki; an index of increasing shelf I
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed
		highlight that part in the manua
		his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	 Abstract – make it in the format as mentioned in author instruction of the journal 	
	> Introduction -	
	 Numbered References should be put in a square bracket and not as superscript Leave space before any unit 	
	 Materials and Methods (not only Methods) - Numbered References should be put in a square bracket and not as superscript Leave space before any unit 	
	 Result and discussion – (Combine and not separately) Numbered References should be put in a square bracket Leave space before any unit 	
	> References –	
	 All the numbered references mentioned in introduction, method and discussion should be compiled with proper spacing 	
Minor REVISION comments	All the corrections shown in note box	
Optional/General comments	Good study	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	R. Prabha
Department, University & Country	Dairy Science College, KVAFSU, Karnataka State, India

lf life

ed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and nuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write