



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_48472
Title of the Manuscript:	MICROBIAL ASSESSMENT OF SELECTED, LOCALLY- FERMENTED AND READY-TO-EAT CASSAVA PRODUCTS SOLD IN LOKOJA, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>In the present studies the authors assessed the microbial quality of fermented and ready-to-eat cassava products sold in Lokoja. The paper is apparent, concise and well written. The introduction provides useful information for the readers. The methods are appropriate. The results are clear and compelling. The manuscript should be of interest to the readers of Asian Food Science Journal. The authors correctly cited literature with similar findings to theirs.</p> <p>Specific comments follow.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Lack of keywords after the abstract ✓ Place tables inside the text and present as per their appearance in the text. ✓ Convert microorganism numbers to log CFU/g (table 3) ✓ Add the units for microbial load (log cfu/g) to the text (to abstract and discussion) ✓ Express mean microbial count with standard deviation ✓ Inappropriate reference citations ignoring the journal's format. ✓ Journal names abbreviated (NCBI databases). ✓ List the first six authors followed by et al. [12, 18] 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Anna Szosland-Fałtyn</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Institute of Agricultural and Food Biotechnology, Poland</i>