#### SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



### **SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_48485
Title of the Manuscript:	Quantitative Analysis of Total Carotenoids in some Vegetables Consumed in Akwa Ibom State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link: (http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed wit
		part in the manuscript. It is manda
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol> <li>Write the common names of the vegetables used in the study before the scientific names in the abstract.</li> <li>The binomial name in the manuscript <i>Veronia amygdalina</i> is wrongly spelt throughout the manuscript. Correct it to <i>Vernonia amygdalina</i>.</li> <li>Change 4oC to 4°C in line 83.</li> <li>Section 2.2 should not read method of analysis instead it should read carotenoid determination.</li> <li>There should be a section 2.3 titled data analysis which should indicate the statistical tool used to analyze your data. Since you claimed you collected your data in triplicates, did you use ANOVA, chi-square, T-test etc to analyze your data?</li> <li>Subject Table 1 to T-test analysis to determine significant difference in the quantity of carotenoid between treatments.</li> <li>Figures 1 and 2 are repetitions. They convey the same meaning with the results in Table 1. Please, remove them from the manuscript. It has no significance and no meaning based on the objectives of the research. It is just hanging. Please, remove it.</li> <li>The Beer lamberts law states that the absorbance is proportional to concentration. It is an error separating absorbance and concentration under the spectrophotometric method.</li> <li>Arrange the manuscript according to the journal specifications.</li> <li>Make compulsory grammatical corrections throughout the entire manuscript.</li> </ol>	
Minor REVISION comments	Authors need to improve on the manuscript.	
Optional/General comments	Information on the subject matter is poor. There is need for serious improvement.	

# <u>PART 2:</u>

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with
		part in the manuscript. It is mandat
	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?		
·		

# **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Liamngee Kator
Department, University & Country	Benue State University, Nigeria

#### with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that ndatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)

with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that adatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)