



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_48485
Title of the Manuscript:	Quantitative Analysis of Total Carotenoids in some Vegetables Consumed in Akwa Ibom State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>Details of the methods used Is not necessary for the abstract.</p> <p>The literature review is sufficient, however, the author should compare the results of their studies with previous similar works.</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>Author should do a grammatical check on the paper.</p>	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>The work will be robust if the author can check for phenolic contents of the extract and thereby check for any relationship between the carotenoid content and the phenolic content.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Temitope Oyedepo</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Adeleke University, Nigeria</i>