SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_48877
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of the Concentrations of some heavy metals(Pb, Cd and Cr) and long term exposure due to daily Consumption of ready-to-eat foods sold at Petrol station's Atmospheric conditions (AF) in Calabar Metropolis.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Abstract – Give place and duration of study Introduction - The authors used in references given in brackets need to be numbered sequentially in square bracket like [1], [2] same to be mentioned in reference section. Methods - References to be sequentially numbered from introduction and put in square bracket; mention statistical analysis followed Result – to this only add discussion at relevant places mention the values of metals present in ready to eat foods even you can give to bars in the graph and also X-axis require type or name of the food sample Discussion - combine discussion with result and references to be sequentially numbered	
Minor REVISION comments	mentioned from introduction, methods and discussion in square bracket References – the authors numbered in introduction, methods and discussion need to be given from 1 to sequentially.	
TE VISION COMMENTS	The required corrections are mentioned at relevant places	
Optional/General comments		

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Prabha R
Department, University & Country	Karnataka Veterinary, Animal and Fisheries Sciences University, India

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)