



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Applied Chemistry Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJACR_48651
Title of the Manuscript:	Validated Stability Indicating HPTLC, UHPLC and UV-Spectrophotometric Techniques for the Determination of Bepotastine Besilate
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Because of the lack of similar papers in the literature, the mentioned paper presents some scientific novelty. It could be interesting for pharmacists from the industry as well as for scientists working on APIs stability.</p> <p>The presented methods were properly projected and validated. However, some parts of the manuscript should be corrected to avoid some discrepancies.</p> <p>The two main problems to me are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -separation between the drug and its oxidative degradation product in HPTLC method -rather scarce information about MS analysis <p>Other:</p> <p>Conclusion: Novel, simple and accurate method for the determination of bepotastine besilate in laboratory-prepared mixtures of bepotastine besilate with its oxidative degradate and in pharmaceutical formulations."</p> <p>It sounds strange.</p> <p>"HPTLC method was applied over the concentration range of 0.5-5. µg/mL, while UHPLC method was linear over the concentration 2- 12 µg / band."</p> <p>The units for concentration ranges seem to be wrong.</p> <p>"TLC plates used were 20 x 20 cm precoated with silicagel 60 F 254 (Flukachemie, Switzerland), a camag Linomate 5 sample applicator equipped with a 100 µL syringe (Hamilton, Germany) 20 x 10 cm twin through glass chamber (Camag)."</p> <p>The proper names should be given.</p> <p>Table 5: which reference, 5 or 6, is the proper one?</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Anna Gumieniczek</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>University of Lublin, Poland</i>