



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_48079
Title of the Manuscript:	<u>Factors Affecting Farm Mechanization – A Case Study In Assam</u>
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript is a good result of a research problem, but I suggest some correction</p> <p>Abstract: Autor(s) mention that (The present study is an attempt to study the effect of mechanization on income and limiting factors) effect of mechanization on income, but no parameter was studied regarding the relationship between mechanization and income of the farmers.</p> <p>Introduction: Good, introduce the research problem but it should be brief.</p> <p>Materials and methods: Correct according to the problem, but same corrections suggested regarding the the relationship between mechanization and income of the farmers. No study was done for this parameter.</p> <p>Results and Discussion: Showing good results of studied problem.</p> <p>Conclusion: Conclusion also showing the same repetitions of income and mechanization in the particular area. If author(s) studied the same than include in the manuscript, otherwise correct it.</p> <p>References: References are in two formats, simple and "Vancouver" format. Please change the article as per the journal requirement.</p> <p>Line 13: correct Line 24: correct Line 126-128: repeated</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Virendra Singh
Department, University & Country	IFTM University, India